

Maryland Transportation Authority

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2024

MARYLAND TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY 2310 BROENING HWY BALTIMORE, MD 21224

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA NOVEMBER 7, 2024 – 9:00 a.m.

This meeting will be livestreamed on the Finance and Administration Committee Meeting Archive | MDTA (maryland.gov)

NOTE: This is an Open Meeting being conducted via livestreaming. The public is welcomed to watch the meeting at the link listed above. If you wish to comment on an agenda item please email your name, affiliation, and agenda item to cdickinson@mdta.state.md.us no later than noon on Wednesday, November 6, 2024. You MUST pre-register in order to comment. Once you have pre-registered you will receive an email with all pertinent information.

AGENDA

OPEN SESSION

Call to Order

1.	<u>Approval</u> –	Open Meeting Minutes from October 10, 2024	Chairman von Paris	5 min.
2.	<u>Approval</u> –	Debt Policy – Update to the MDTA's Debt Policy, which establishes the guidelines for the financing program	Allen Garman	5 min.
3.	<u>Update</u> –	Traffic and Revenue Forecast Update – A review of the annual updates to the traffic and revenue forecasts for all facilities	Chantelle Green Kelly Morison, CDM	20 min. Smith
4.	<u>Approval</u> –	FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget – Approval of the preliminary operating budget	Jeffrey Brown	10 min.
5.	<u>Approval</u> –	Final FY 2025-2030 Consolidated Transportation Program – Approval of the six-year capital budget	Jennifer Stump	10 min.
6.	<u>Update</u> -	Unofficial FY 2025-2030 Financial Forecast – Includes proposed FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget & Final FY 2025-2030 Consolidated Transportation Program	Chantelle Green	10 min.
7.	<u>Update</u> –	Bi-annual Review of Revenue Sufficiency – Review of revenues as required by the MDTA Board Operating Policy	Chantelle Green	5 min.

Vote to Adjourn

ITEM

1

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE MONTHLY MEETING THURSDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2024 OPEN MEETING HELD VIRTUALLY

OPEN SESSION

MEMBERS ATTENDING: Cynthia Penny-Ardinger

Dontae Carroll Jeffrey Rosen John von Paris

STAFF ATTENDING: Jeffrey Brown

Darryl Campbell
Kevin Cullity
Percy Dangerfield
Donna DiCerbo
Cheryl Dickinson
Tonya Dorsey
Allen Garman
Bruce Gartner
Chantelle Green
James Harkness
Richard Jaramillo
Heather Koeberle
Cheryl Lewis-Orr

Kimberly Millender, Esq.

Ebony Moore

Kenneth Montgomery

Bradley Ryon Tim Sheets

Linda Sfakianoudis Cheryl Sparks Jennifer Stump

OTHERS ATTENDING: William Seymour – SB and Company

At 9:00 a.m., Member John von Paris, Chair of the Finance and Administration Committee, called the Finance and Administration Committee Meeting to order.

Member von Paris began the meeting by requesting a moment of silence in memory of David Swan Sharpless, III.

<u>APPROVAL – OPEN MEETING MINUTES FROM AUGUST 8, 2024 MEETING</u>

Member von Paris called for the approval of the meeting minutes from the Open Meeting held on August 8, 2024. Member Cynthia Penny-Ardinger made the motion, and Member Jeffrey Rosen seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved.

<u>APPROVAL – PROPOSED CHANGES TO CODE OF MARYLAND REGULATIONS</u> (COMAR) 11.07.07.05

Ms. Heather Koeberle requested a recommendation of approval from the Finance and Administration Committee to present the proposed changes to the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 11.07.07.05 to the full Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA) Board at its next scheduled meeting.

The MDTA pays a monthly maintenance fee of nearly 83 cents for each *E-ZPass*® Maryland account. There are currently 358,537 accounts that have been identified as inactive. The purpose of this change in regulation is to save the agency nearly \$300,000 per month by terminating an inactive account that has no tolls posted or funds added to the account for at least 24 months. Accounts will only be refunded if they have an amount of \$3.50 or more in their account.

Member von Paris called for a motion to recommend approval of this item to the full MDTA Board at the next scheduled meeting. Member Carroll made the motion and Member Penny-Ardinger seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved.

<u>UPDATE – INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR THE FY 2024 SERVICE ORGANIZATION CONTROLS 1 AND CONTROLS 2 REPORT</u>

Mr. William Seymour, Engagement Partner from SB & Company, briefed the Finance and Administration Committee on the results of the FY 2024 Service Organization Controls (SOC) 1 Type 2 and SOC 2 Type 2 Reports for the Maryland *E-ZPass*® System. Mr. Seymour commented that while one exception (finding) for the FY 2024 audit period was noted, unmodified opinions were issued for the SOC 1 Type 2 and SOC 2 Type 2 audits.

<u>UPDATE – FOURTH QUARTER OPERATING BUDGET VERSUS ACTUAL SPENDING</u>

Mr. Jeffrey Brown updated the Finance and Administration Committee on actual versus projected year-to-date spending for the fourth quarter of FY 2024. As of June 30, 2024, 94% of the operating budget was spent compared to the target of 100%. The primary variance drivers are contractual services expenses, employee overtime, and higher insurance premiums due to market pressures.

<u>UPDATE - FOURTH QUARTER CAPITAL BUDGET VERSUS ACTUAL SPENDING</u>

Ms. Jennifer Stump updated the Finance and Administration Committee on actual year-to-date spending for the fourth quarter FY 2024 compared to projected spending as shown in the FY 2024-2029 Draft Consolidated Transportation Program (CTP). As of June 30, 2024, 75.3% of the FY 2024 capital budget was spent as compared to the target of 100%. The total budget for FY 2024 is \$539.6 million. Actual spending through the fourth quarter of FY 2024 was \$406.4 million.

<u>UPDATE – CTP PROCESS/ADDITIONS</u>

Ms. Jennifer Stump provided the Finance and Administration Committee with an overview of the MDTA's CTP process and an update of additions to the capital program. The CTP is released yearly and presents the MDTA's ongoing and new capital projects for a six-year rolling period for all MDTA facilities. Following the MDTA Board's approval of the Draft CTP in June of each year, the CTP is presented to local elected officials and citizens throughout the State of Maryland for review and comment. When the Final CTP is approved by the MDTA Board in November, it becomes part of the Governor's budget to the Maryland General Assembly in January of the following year. This process is required by statute and applies to the MDTA and the Maryland Department of Transportation modes.

Ms. Stump explained that projects in the CTP are categorized into three programs (1) the System Preservation – Minor Projects Program; (2) the Development and Evaluation (D&E) Program - Major Projects; and (3) the Construction Program. The three programs include ongoing projects and projects scheduled to begin construction within the six-year period. The D&E program includes major projects that are being prepared for possible future addition to the Construction Program. Projects are moved from the D&E Program to the Construction Program as funds and resources become available, based on the merits of the projects.

Ms. Stump reported that 11 new projects were added to the FY 2025-2030 CTP. Funding availability to budget for identified projects is based on the MDTA's six-year financial forecast, which considers estimates of traffic and revenue (prepared annually), the operating budget and capital budget, debt service payments, the potential need for future bond sales and toll increases, and compliance with financial standards (Trust Agreement covenant, debt service coverage, unrestricted cash balance).

<u>UPDATE – FOURTH QUARTER TRAFFIC AND REVENUE</u>

Ms. Cheryl Lewis-Orr provided the Finance and Administration Committee with a quarterly and year-to-date update regarding traffic and toll revenue trends compared to the previous year and the forecast. The key takeaways regarding year-to-date traffic and revenue performance are that (1) passenger vehicle traffic levels consistently reached pre-pandemic levels and have matched or exceeded FY 2023 levels during much of the fiscal year; (2) commercial vehicle traffic levels continue to outperform traffic trends observed prior to the pandemic; (3) following the allision of the M/V DALI causing the collapse of the Francis Scott Key Bridge (FSK), commercial vehicle traffic declined beginning in the fourth quarter; (4) *E-ZPass*® revenue continued to exceed the forecast due to higher than forecasted daily average traffic for FY 2024; (5) Video toll Notices-of-Toll-Due (NOTDs) and Central Collection Unit collections were lower than forecasted; (6) Administrative Toll revenue was below the forecast mostly due to lower than anticipated civil penalties; and (7) systemwide, transactions and revenue were below the forecast by 1.5% and 1.1%, respectively. It should be noted that an updated forecast was prepared in June 2024 to reflect the significant decrease in toll revenue on the FSK. The forecast comparison to actuals reflect actual traffic and revenue trends compared to the June 2024 updated forecast.

<u>UPDATE – HUMAN RESOURCES INFORMATION SYSTEM</u>

Ms. Tonya Dorsey provided an update to the Finance and Administration Committee regarding the implementation of Human Resources and Information System (MDOT HRIS). The MDOT's Human Resources Information System was outdated and in dire need of replacement. The Secretary's Office in conjunction with the modes, worked for more than a year to develop the new MDOT HRIS to meet the needs of the Department. This required dedicated support from the Human Resources, Information Technology and the Environment, Safety and Risk Management teams to ensure that the new system contained the necessary data to ensure a smooth upgrade.

<u>UPDATE – HUMAN RESOURCES INFORMATION SYSTEM</u>

Dr. Percy Dangerfield and Mr. Darryl Campbell updated the Finance and Administration Committee on the MDTA Buddy Program and the Stay Interview Program.

The Buddy Program is an onboarding and knowledge-sharing program designed to orient new MDTA employees. A new employee is assigned a buddy who serves as a dependable and knowledgeable point of contact, offering ongoing support, guidance, and general resource during the new employee's first four months of employment.

The Stay Interview Program aims to assess employee job satisfaction and retention by engaging current employees, at all levels, in proactive discussions about their experiences at the MDTA. The goal is to understand what is working well and to identify opportunities for improvement. When actively involved in this program, a division or team participates in an in-person Stay Interview session consisting of an anonymous survey captured in SurveyMonkey. Also, they participate in a review of survey responses as a group, small and large group discussions, and a mock strategies session. Following the aforementioned sessions, the division, or team, is provided with a summary of the results, the themes identified, and the recommended considerations for further discussion.

There being no further business, the meeting of the Finance and Administration Committee adjourned at 10:04 a.m., following a motion by Member Rosen, and seconded by Member Penny-Ardinger.

John von Paris, Chairman

ITEM

2



Wes Moore, Governor

Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor Paul J. Wiedefeld, Chairman

Board Members:

Dontae Carroll Cynthia D. Penny-Ardinger

William H. Cox, Jr. Jeffrey S. Rosen

W. Lee Gaines, Jr. Samuel D. Snead, MCP, MA

Mario J. Gangemi, P.E. John F. von Paris

Bruce Gartner, Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance and Administration Committee

FROM: Debt Administrator Yaw Berkoh

Deputy Director Finance Allen W. Garman

SUBJECT: Debt Policy – Annual Review

DATE: November 7, 2024

PURPOSE OF MEMORANDUM

Complete required annual review of the Debt Policy and request recommendation of the Finance and Administration Committee to present to the full Board for approval.

SUMMARY

➤ Following the annual review by internal staff and an external municipal advisory firm, there is one recommended update to the policy incorporating the legislatively increased bonding limit.

On April 9, 2024, the General Assembly enacted legislation that amended the Transportation Article 4-101, increasing the MDTA's bonding limit to \$4 billion from \$3 billion. The most recently adopted financial forecast demonstrated a bonding limit need within the 6-year program period. With debt outstanding of \$2.1 billion there was no immediate need for a Debt Policy revision, so the policy incorporation was scheduled for the normal annual review this month.

I. Limitations on Indebtedness

a. **Policy Statement 9.** The statutory ceiling on the level of outstanding toll revenue bond debt shall not exceed \$4,000,000,000 on June 30 of any year.

The Debt Policy includes legal requirements within MD State Law and the Trust Agreement, as well as Board directives to ensure financial strength.

These guidelines and mandates support credit quality and access to the capital markets at the lowest possible financing rates.

The following table highlights key sections.

Key Elements	Section
State Law References	1
Unrestricted Cash Target	5
Debt Limit	9
Debt Service Coverage Target	10
Level Debt Service Goal	15
Capitalization of Interest	17
Variable Rate Debt	18
Credit Ratings Goal	33
Annual Policy Review Required	36

RECOMMENDATION

Finance and Administration Committee concurrence with the policy change and recommendation to move forward to the full MDTA Board for approval.

ATTACHMENT

Debt Policy



Policy No.: MDTA 7009Effective Date: August 16, 2005

Revised: November 2129, 202423

Approved by:	Approval Signature	Date:					
Approved by:	Form and Legal Sufficiency Review, Office of Attorno	Date: ey General					
Debt Management							

Purpose

The purpose of this Policy is to establish guidelines for the process by which the Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA) issues and manages debt, and provides guidance to the MDTA Board and staff to ensure that a sound debt position and strong credit quality are maintained.

References

- Title 4 of the Transportation Article, Annotated Code of Maryland (Repl. Vol. 2008, as amended)
- §5-7B-02 of the State Finance and Procurement Article, Annotated Code of Maryland
- (Repl. Vol. 2009, as amended)
- Second Amended and Restated Trust Agreement between the Maryland Transportation
 Authority and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee, dated as of September 1, 2007, as
 amended (2007 Trust Agreement)
- Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR 11.07.09.09, Vehicle Parking Facilities)
- Governor's Executive Order 01.01.1998.07
- Budget Committee Narrative Fiscal 2023
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)
- Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB)
- Federal Tax Code and Regulations
- MDTA Board Policy: Investment Management
- MDTA Board Policy: Preparation of Financial Forecasts

Scope:

This Policy is applicable to MDTA Finance Division staff.

Responsible Party:

Implementation of the procedures is the responsibility of the CFO and MDTA Division of Finance staff. Any deviation from the procedures must be promptly reported to the MDTA Finance Committee and the MDTA Board.

Division of Finance to approve document change.

Debt Management

I. Purpose and Uses of Debt

a. Policy Statement 1. In accordance with Title 4 of the Transportation Article of



the Annotated Code of Maryland, MDTA may issue revenue bonds, notes, or other evidences of obligation to finance the cost of:

- Transportation facilities projects as defined in said Article;
- ii. A vehicle parking facility located in a priority funding area as defined in §5-7B-02 of the State Finance and Procurement Article;
- iii. Any other project for transportation facilities that the MDTA Board authorizes to be acquired or constructed; and
- iv. Any additions, improvements, or enlargements to any of these projects, whenever authorized by the MDTA Board.
- b. **Policy Statement 2.** Debt will be used only to finance capital projects (including land) and capital equipment that are reasonably necessary for governmental purposes.
- c. **Policy Statement 3**. The MDTA will finance its projects with a prudent issuance of debt through the sale of revenue bonds, notes, or other evidences of indebtedness within the constraints of the MDTA Financial Forecast Policy.
- d. **Policy Statement 4.** Capital financing proposals received by MDTA that involve a pledge or extension of credit through sale of securities, loans or leases, shall be referred to the Division of Finance for review.
- e. **Policy Statement 5.** The MDTA Consolidated Transportation Program (CTP) will be funded through a combination of cash reserves, revenues and appropriate levels of debt in accordance with affordability guidelines.
 - i. To provide adequate liquidity, MDTA will maintain unrestricted cash balances at the end of each fiscal year of at least \$400 million.
 - ii. Unrestricted cash shall include funds on deposit in the following MDTA trust accounts: Operating (reserve portion), Capital (cash funded), General, and the Maintenance and Operating (M&O) Reserve.
- f. **Policy Statement 6.** The Chief Financial Officer (CFO), under the direction of the Executive Director, has the responsibility to oversee and coordinate the sale and issuance of MDTA debt.
 - i. The CFO shall make recommendations to the Executive Director and MDTA Board regarding necessary actions related thereto.
 - ii. The CFO and Executive Director shall obtain MDTA Board approval thereof as evidenced by authorizing MDTA Board Resolutions.
- g. **Policy Statement 7.** The MDTA shall endeavor to finance a portion of the CTP on a "pay-as-you-go" basis.
- h. **Policy Statement 8.** Debt financings will be limited to capital projects included in the CTP.

II. Limitations on Indebtedness

- a. **Policy Statement 9.** The statutory ceiling on the level of outstanding toll revenue bond debt shall not exceed \$43,000,000,000 on June 30 of any year.
- b. Policy Statement 10. The amount of planned MDTA debt will be limited by affordability guidelines relating to debt service coverage, the rate covenant set forth below, and as further determined by the Executive Director and CFO in consultation with the municipal advisors. The amount of planned toll revenue-backed debt will be shown in the Financial Forecasts that are prepared at least twice per year.
 - i. The 2007 Trust Agreement requires that in each Bond Year (July 1 June 30, as defined in the Trust Agreement) net revenues (revenues less operating expenditures) must cover 120% of debt service requirements



- and 100% of the amount annually budgeted for deposit to the M&O Reserve Account.
- ii. The MDTA will maintain a minimum annual debt service coverage level of 200% of debt service for planning purposes.
- iii. The MDTA will allow at least \$100 million in programmed bonding capacity in reserve for contingencies during Years 3 through 6 of the Financial Forecast planning period.
- iv. Planned debt issuances will be based on reasonable estimates of future toll adjustments and capital funding requirements.
- v. The period of planned debt issuances will coincide with the 6-year CTP, and may be done for additional (e.g., 10 years) for longer range strategic planning.
- c. **Policy Statement 11.** Debt service coverage for non-recourse debt (not backed by MDTA toll revenue) will be determined on a case by case basis for projects where MDTA is a non-recourse debt issuer.
 - i. Non-recourse financings shall generally have minimum debt service coverage requirements of 120% of debt service.
 - ii. An exception to this minimum for non-recourse financings may occur if there is a debt service "guarantee" from a rated municipality, authority, or entity with investment grade ratings.
 - iii. Non-recourse financings shall not pledge MDTA's toll revenues.
 - iv. The MDTA has a self-imposed outstanding debt limit on non-recourse financings of \$700 million, excluding GARVEE bonds (hereinafter defined).
- d. **Policy Statement 12.** Pursuant to §4-320 of the Transportation Article, and the statutory limits set forth therein, the aggregate principal amount of debt issued and secured by a pledge of future federal aid known as Grant and Revenue Anticipation (GARVEE) bonds will not exceed \$750 million, and the maturity date for such debt may not be later than 12 years after the date of issuance.
- e. **Policy Statement 13.** The MDTA must adhere to the Governor's Executive Order 01.01.1998.07 (Executive Order) which requires annual review and approval of planned State agency debt by the Department of Budget and Management (DBM) and the Governor. The Budget Committee Narrative of fiscal 2023 also requests that any rating agency reports associated with a financing be forwarded to the State Treasurer's Office in advance of the sale or concurrently. For clarification, credit ratings are not required for all financings.
 - i. Notice must be given to DBM at least 30 days in advance of any bond issuance of \$25 million or greater.
 - ii. The MDTA will comply with the annual reporting requirements as set forth in the Executive Order and the Budget Committee Narrative for rating reports if applicable/available as part of a financing.

III. Debt Structural Features

- a. **Policy Statement 14.** The weighted average maturity (WAM) of the tax-exempt debt issued by MDTA cannot exceed the weighted average life of the improvements for any project.
 - i. The MDTA debt must not exceed a term of 40 years per Maryland law, §4-302(b) of the Transportation Article.
- b. **Policy Statement 15.** Debt will generally be structured to achieve the lowest possible net financing costs pursuant to MDTA's policies and objectives.



- i. Whenever feasible, structuring debt with level debt service costs over the life of the issue is preferred.
- ii. Backloading will be considered in order to match debt service requirements with project revenues during the early years of the project's operation.
- iii. At the CFO's discretion, certain issuance costs and fees (e.g. underwriter's discount, bond counsel, municipal advisors, rating agencies, feasibility consultants, Trustees, printers, auditors, etc.) may be paid from unrestricted cash instead of bond proceeds.
- iv. In accordance with the Code of Maryland Regulations 11.07.09.09, MDTA may charge an application fee or other fees reasonably related to the expenses it incurs in processing a financing proposal or issuing debt in connection with a Vehicle Parking Facility.
- v. As a non-recourse issuer, MDTA may charge the obligor an annual administrative fee to recapture its costs incurred over the life of the bonds.
 - 1. Examples of such costs include, but are not limited to, arbitrage rebate calculations, trustee fees and auditor expenses.
- c. **Policy Statement 16.** Optional redemption provisions will generally be included in MDTA bond issues upon the recommendation of the Executive Director, CFO and municipal advisors.
 - i. Depending upon market conditions, call provisions will be evaluated for each bond issue.
- d. **Policy Statement 17.** Capitalization of interest (borrowing funds to pay interest on a debt obligation) will generally be limited to the interest due on debt during construction of the facilities.
 - i. When deemed appropriate by the Executive Director, CFO and municipal advisors, capitalized interest may extend beyond the construction period, but in no event, will it extend beyond one year after project completion in accordance with Maryland law, §4-101(c) of the Transportation Article.
- e. **Policy Statement 18.** MDTA may issue variable rate securities with interest rates tied to an index according to a predetermined formula or based upon the results from a periodic remarketing of securities for toll revenue-backed or non-recourse debt.
 - The decision to issue variable rate debt must be approved by the MDTA Board upon the recommendation of the Executive Director, CFO and municipal advisors.
 - ii. MDTA has a self-imposed limit that at time of issuance, no more than 15% of its toll revenue-backed debt will be in variable rate mode.
 - iii. Limits on variable rate non-recourse debt will be determined on a case by case basis taking into consideration debt service coverage and obligor cash reserves.
- f. **Policy Statement 19.** Upon the approval of the MDTA Board, MDTA may enter into financing agreements involving interest rate swaps, floating/fixed rate auction securities, or other forms of debt bearing synthetically determined interest rates.
 - i. MDTA will consider the use of such financing agreements on a case by case basis and any use shall be consistent with the Trust Agreement, State policy and financial prudence.
- g. **Policy Statement 20.** When it is determined to be prudent by the Executive Director, CFO and municipal advisors, and subject to approval of the MDTA



Board, MDTA may issue bond anticipation notes or other short-term indebtedness, in accordance with applicable statutory law and trust agreements, as a source of interim construction financing.

IV. Method of Sale

- a. **Policy Statement 21.** The MDTA shall sell and issue debt, subject to MDTA Board approval, either through a competitive bidding process or by a negotiated sale (including a direct bank loan). A competitive bond sale is the preferred method unless it is determined by the Executive Director, CFO, the municipal advisors and legal counsel that this method is unlikely to produce the best sale results. Factors to consider in selecting the sale method include, but are not limited to, bond issue size and related issuance costs, repayment terms, market conditions, credit history and the timing of the need for funds.
 - Competitive sales will be awarded to qualified bidder(s) based upon the lowest true interest cost method, with additional consideration of the probable call of the premium coupon securities through the lowest call option adjusted True Interest Cost Plus (TIC Plus) methodology.
 - ii. In the event of a negotiated sale, the underwriting team for the negotiated sale will be selected through a competitive solicitation process and approved by the MDTA Board.
 - iii. In the event of a direct bank loan, a competitive solicitation will be conducted and the bonds will be awarded based upon lowest true interest cost or TIC Plus unless the Executive Director and CFO determine that it is in the best interest of the MDTA to accept an alternative bid with more favorable terms and conditions.
- b. **Policy Statement 22.** Documentation of MDTA bond sales and closings will be prepared by bond counsel, municipal advisors, the MDTA Office of the Attorney General, the MDTA Division of Finance, and other applicable parties for approval by the MDTA Board, and in the case of non-recourse debt, the State Board of Public Works or other appropriate officials, as required.

V. Refundings

- a. Policy Statement 23. The CFO and municipal advisors will periodically review MDTA outstanding debt to identify refunding opportunities. Refunding will be considered when there is net economic benefit or the refunding is advisable to modernize bond trust covenants essential to operations and management. The CFO, the Executive Director and staff from MDTA's Division of Finance shall consider additional factors that they deem appropriate in determining specific bonds that shall be refunded.
 - i. In general, refunding for economic savings will be considered when net present value (NPV) savings may be achieved. Projected NPV savings shall be discounted at the All-In True Interest Cost. Alternately, NPV savings may be calculated using discount factors from the funding yield curve for each individual maturity.
 - ii. In concert with NPV savings analysis, Refunding Efficiency shall be calculated for each individual maturity. Refunding Efficiency measures the percentage of the call option value captured through present value cash flow savings. Maturity refundings that are projected to capture more than 85% of the option value may be viewed favorably and worthy of



- consideration, though a calculated efficiency below 95% suggests that greater savings may be achieved by delaying the financing.
- iii. A refunding is subject to MDTA Board approval, either for economic reasons or when existing bond trust covenants or other factors impinge on prudent and sound financial management, and such a restructuring is in MDTA's overall best financial interests.

VI. Disclosure/Arbitrage Compliance

- a. **Policy Statement 24.** The MDTA is committed to full and complete financial disclosure, and will abide by the provisions of SEC Rule 15c2-12 concerning primary and secondary market disclosure.
 - i. The MDTA Division of Finance, with the MDTA Office of the Attorney General and bond counsel, will determine the appropriate primary market disclosure that is required in connection with the offer and sale of bonds.
 - **ii.** The CFO and the MDTA Division of Finance shall establish and maintain written procedures to follow for the collection, review and public dissemination of secondary market disclosure.
 - **iii.** At a minimum, such disclosure procedures shall address responsibility for:
 - **1.** Maintaining a record of all Continuing Disclosure Agreements and the requirements set forth therein;
 - Assigning staff to collect information and determine the method of disclosure, i.e. inclusion in the MDTA Financial Statements or by a separate posting to disseminate information, using the Electronic Municipal Market Access System (EMMA), or to such other approved national repository; and
 - **3.** Setting guidelines to determine when a voluntary or significant event has occurred that warrants posting to EMMA, or to such other approved national repository.
- b. **Policy Statement 25**. The MDTA is committed to compliance with Federal arbitrage tax law and regulations which govern the issuance and management of tax exempt debt.
 - i. The MDTA Division of Finance is responsible for the system of record keeping and reporting necessary to meet the arbitrage rebate compliance requirements of the Federal tax code.

VII. Investment of Bond Proceeds

a. **Policy Statement 26.** Bond proceeds shall be invested in accordance with provisions of the applicable Trust Agreement and MDTA's Investment Management Policy.

VIII. Consultant Selection

- a. **Policy Statement 27.** The MDTA will retain municipal advisors who are registered with the SEC, to be selected for a term of up to six years through a competitive process administered by the MDTA Division of Finance and the Division of Procurement.
 - i. The Executive Director and CFO shall determine on a case by case basis, and pursuant to an applicable municipal advisory services contract, when to use the services of the municipal advisors for bond sales or other



financial matters and related advice.

- ii. To ensure independence and to avoid any potential conflicts of interest, when the MDTA engages the services of the municipal advisors for bond sales, it shall be with the understanding that neither the municipal advisors, their firms, or affiliates, will bid on or underwrite any MDTA debt issue, or perform any other services relating to the sale or issuance of such debt, unless specifically disclosed to the MDTA and authorized by the Executive Director and CFO upon approval by the MDTA Board.
- iii. When the MDTA engages with services of the municipal advisors for general advice and work, including but not limited to, investments, cash modeling, forecasts, rating agency surveillance, legislative and regulatory updates and analyses, it shall be with the understanding that the municipal advisors, their firms or affiliates will provide the MDTA with written confirmation of their compliance with, and disclosure relating to, the fiduciary duties and standards imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act, and specifically the Municipal Advisor Rule (Release No. 34-70462) issued by the SEC and Rule G-42 issued by the MSRB.
- b. **Policy Statement 28.** The MDTA and the Maryland Attorney General will retain qualified bond counsel as required for debt issues. Bond counsel will issue an opinion as to the legality of the debt issuance and the tax-exempt status of any such obligations.
 - i. The Principal Counsel of the MDTA Office of the Attorney General (MDTA Principal Counsel) shall act as procurement officer on behalf of the Maryland Office of the Attorney General and procure competitive proposals from outside law firms.
 - ii. If necessary, the MDTA Principal Counsel shall form a review committee to evaluate written proposals and to conduct oral interviews of the proposers.
 - iii. After the review committee completes its evaluation, the MDTA Principal Counsel shall make recommendations to the Maryland Attorney General regarding the selection of one of more firms to serve as bond counsel.
 - iv. The solicitation and selection process for such services will be accomplished according to the legally appropriate procurement process utilized by the Maryland Attorney General. The Maryland Attorney General's Office shall make such selection, and the MDTA Principal Counsel shall notify the MDTA Board of the selection. The contract(s) shall be awarded, subject to available funding for the contract(s).
- c. **Policy Statement 29.** The MDTA Division of Finance shall be responsible for qualifying underwriting firms to provide services for debt issued in a negotiated sale.
 - i. Underwriters will be required to demonstrate sufficient capitalization, experience, and competitive pricing in order to qualify to underwrite debt.
 - ii. A review committee will be formed to evaluate written proposals and to conduct oral interviews if necessary.
 - iii. The formal selection of the qualified underwriting firms for all negotiated bond sales (except direct bank loans) will be presented to the MDTA Board for approval upon recommendation by the review committee.
- d. **Policy Statement 30**. The MDTA Division of Finance, in conjunction with the MDTA Office of the Attorney General, will approve the selection of the underwriter's counsel, in the event of a negotiated bond sale. The cost of the



- underwriter's counsel will be payable from bond proceeds of each specific issue and allocated to underwriter's costs.
- e. **Policy Statement 31**. The CFO shall have the authority to periodically select other service providers (e.g., trustees, arbitrage consultants, etc.) as necessary to meet legal requirements and to minimize net debt costs.
- f. **Policy Statement 32**. Compensation for bond counsel, underwriter's counsel, municipal advisors, and other financial services will be as low as possible (through the competitive and any legally required procurement process), given desired qualification levels, and consistent with industry standards.

IX. Credit Ratings

- a. Policy Statement 33. The MDTA seeks to maintain the highest possible investment grade credit ratings for revenue bonds, notes and other evidences of indebtedness issued under the provisions of Title 4 of the Transportation Article, consistent with this policy and other Department guidelines.
 - i. For issues secured by toll revenues, MDTA will request ratings prior to the sale of securities from at least two of the three major rating agencies for municipal bond issues: Moody's Investors Service, S&P Global, and Fitch Ratings.
 - ii. For non-recourse financing issues, the decision to request underlying credit ratings will be on a case by case basis as determined by the Executive Director, CFO, the municipal advisors, the obligor and the underwriter for the bonds in the case of a negotiated sale.
 - iii. The MDTA may provide written and/or oral presentations to the rating agencies to assist the agency credit analysts.
- b. **Policy Statement 34.** MDTA shall consider the use of credit enhancements such as debt service reserves, bond insurance, letters of credit, and surety bonds when such credit enhancement proves cost-effective.
 - i. The net debt service on the bonds should be reduced by more than the net carrying costs of the enhancement. A credit enhancement should result in lower net financing costs and may result in higher credit ratings.
- c. **Policy Statement 35**. The Executive Director, CFO and the municipal advisors are responsible for maintaining relationships with the rating agencies. This effort includes providing periodic updates on MDTA's general financial condition along with meetings and presentations in conjunction with a new debt issuance.

X. Annual Review

a. **Policy Statement 36.** This Debt Policy is to be reviewed by the MDTA Finance Committee at least annually.

XI. Definitions

a. None

XII. Authorized/Supporting Documents

XIII. Policy History

- a. Approved 8.16. 2005
- b. Reviewed 8.10, 2006
- c. Amended 8.9.2007 as of 9.20.2007



- d. Amended 9.11.2008
- e. Amended 8.25.2009
- f. Amended 11.24.2010
- g. Reviewed 9.22.2011
- h. Amended 9.27.2012
- i. Amended 8.22.2013
- j. Amended 8.28.2014
- k. Amended 8.27.2015
- I. Amended 8.25.2016
- m. Amended 9.7.2017
- n. Amended 8.30.2018
- o. Amended 8.29.2019
- p. Amended 8.27.20
- q. Amended 8.26.21
- r. Amended 8.25.22
- s. Amended 8.31.23
- t. Amended 11.29.23

t.u. Amended 11.21.24

ITEM

3



Wes Moore, Governor

Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor Paul J. Wiedefeld, Chairman

Board Members:

Dontae Carroll Cynthia D. Penny-Ardinger

William H. Cox, Jr. Jeffrey S. Rosen

W. Lee Gaines, Jr. Samuel D. Snead, MCP, MA Mario J. Gangemi, P.E. John F. von Paris

Bruce Gartner, Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance and Administration Committee FROM: Director of Finance Chantelle Green SUBJECT: Traffic and Revenue Forecast Update

DATE: November 7, 2024

PURPOSE OF MEMORANDUM

To brief the Finance and Administration Committee on the annual update to the traffic and revenue (T&R) forecasts for all facilities.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The current T&R forecast (FY 2024-2030) decreases by \$46 million, or 1%, compared to the June 2024 the Francis Scott Key (FSK) T&R Forecast Update.
 - The decline in revenue is mostly attributable to a reduction in the MDTA's assumed Notices-of-Toll-Due (NOTD) collection rate and the re-benchmarking of traffic across all MDTA facilities to align with prior year actuals. These reductions are partially offset by revised construction-related diversion impacts resulting from the FSK Bridge Collapse and a modest increase in the assumed civil penalty collection rate.
- Intercounty Connector (ICC) and I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETL) in-lane revenue decreases by \$0.1 million, or 0.02%, throughout the forecast period.
 - O ICC revenue is projected to increase in FY 2025 and taper down in the succeeding fiscal years due to re-benchmarking which includes a reduction in the average toll collected following a more comprehensive analysis of the vehicle class distribution and trip length on the facility.
 - o I-95 ETL revenue reduction reflects FY 2024 trends as well as reduction in the tolled distance on Section 100 of the northbound ETL.
- Administrative toll revenue increases by \$27 million in response to a 10% reduction in the assumed video toll collection rate. By reducing the share of customers that pay at the NOTD level, there is an assumed larger pool of customers that may pay a civil penalty at the citation level.

SUMMARY

Each fall, an update to the ten-year traffic and revenue forecast is prepared by independent consultants. The Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA) selected CDM Smith through a competitive process to provide the T&R forecast. The forecast is built on historical data from the MDTA's facilities and national, regional, and State socioeconomic data, such as population, employment, unemployment, real income per capita, real gross domestic product, inflation, and fuel prices. As noted in Table 4-1 of the T&R Report, the forecast also accounts for, among other things, video toll collection and enforcement, traffic impacts associated with the Francis Scott Key (FSK) Bridge, and near-term T&R growth trends.

ANALYSIS

Legacy Facilities

Figures 1 and **2** compare the two most recent traffic forecasts for the legacy facilities. Through FY 2030, legacy facility transactions are forecasted to decrease by 11.7 million compared to the June 2024 FSK T&R Forecast Update (June 2024 Forecast). Similarly, in-lane revenue is forecasted to decrease by \$72.8 million compared to the June 2024 Forecast. Transactions and revenue are forecasted to decrease mostly due to a reduction in the MDTA's assumed Notices-of-Toll-Due (NOTD) collection rate and the re-benchmarking of traffic across the MDTA's legacy facilities to align with prior year actuals.

Intercounty Connector (ICC) & I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETL) Facilities

Figures 3 and 4 compare the two most recent traffic forecast for the ICC and ETL facilities. Through FY 2030, total trips on these facilities are forecasted to increase by 7.9 million compared to the June 2024 forecast. (Trips account for each vehicle traveling on the facility regardless of miles traveled/gantries crossed.) In-lane revenue is forecasted to decrease by approximately \$0.1 million compared to the June 2024 forecast. The increase in trips is due to the re-benchmarking of trips on the ICC based on FY 2024 actuals. While revenue on the ICC is 3.3 percent higher in FY 2025, it tapers down in the succeeding fiscal years due to rebenchmarking which includes a reduction in the average toll collected following a more comprehensive analysis of the vehicle class distribution and trip length on the facility. Regarding the I-95 ETL, the current forecast reflects a 1 percent reduction in traffic and a corresponding 5.4 percent reduction in revenue through FY 2030. Near-term revenue projections were revised to reflect FY 2024 trends as well as a reduction in the tolled distance on Section 100 of the northbound ETL.

Administrative Toll Revenue

Figure 5 shows the administrative toll revenue forecast through FY 2030. Revenue is expected to increase by \$27.3 million compared to the June 2024 forecast. In FY 2024, administrative toll revenue came in 5.5 percent lower than forecasted due to underperformance in civil penalty

Traffic and Revenue Forecast Update Page Three

collections. Beginning in FY 2025, administrative toll revenue is forecasted to exceed the June 2024 forecast in response to a 10 percent reduction in the assumed video toll collection rate. By reducing the share of customers that pay at the NOTD level, there is an assumed larger pool of customers that may pay a civil penalty at the citation level.

All Facilities


Figure 6 provides a comparison of the two most recent traffic forecasts for the FY 2024-2030 forecast period for all facilities. In total, forecasted revenues through FY 2030 are expected to decrease by \$45.7 million, or 0.8 percent, compared to the previous forecast. Transactions and revenue are forecasted to decrease mostly due to a reduction in the MDTA's assumed NOTD collection rate and the re-benchmarking of traffic across all MDTA facilities to align with prior year actuals. These reductions are partially offset by revised construction-related diversion impacts resulting from the FSK Bridge Collapse and a modest increase in the assumed civil penalty collection rate.

Future Outlook

As shown in the table and graph below, when comparing the MDTA's current forecast to the previous forecast, revenues are expected to decrease by \$71.3 million, or 0.9 percent. The forecast declines each year in the range of 0.6 to 1.6 percent, excluding FY 2028 which has a positive impact from the construction schedule change for the Baltimore Harbor Tunnel allelectronic (AET) conversion project. This project was pushed into FY 2029 and FY 2030 to align with the reopening of the Key Bridge Rebuild in FY 2028, allowing the new bridge to absorb some of the diversion away from I-895. The decrease in the remaining years is from the adjustment to the NOTD collection rates. While these assumptions are based on the latest FY 2024 video collection data, there is still some uncertainty regarding video and civil penalty collections. As the MDTA AET system continues to mature, more customers will continue to shift to the *E-ZPass*[®] and Pay-by-Plate categories, leaving a smaller share of frequent and timely paying customers in the video category.

MDTA Official Traffic and Revenue Forecasts

Fiscal Year	June 2024 FSK Update		Fall 2024 Annual Update		\$ Change		% Change				
\$ in millions											
2024	\$	791.8	\$	783.0	\$	(8.8)	-1.1%				
2025	\$	752.6	\$	748.4	\$	(4.2)	-0.6%				
2026	\$	767.4	\$	757.5	\$	(9.9)	-1.3%				
2027	\$	771.2	\$	766.1	\$	(5.1)	-0.7%				
2028	\$	773.7	\$	782.0	\$	8.3	1.1%				
2029	\$	821.6	\$	808.9	\$	(12.7)	-1.5%				
2030	\$	838.1	\$	824.8	\$	(13.3)	-1.6%				
2031	\$	844.9	\$	835.6	\$	(9.3)	-1.1%				
2032	\$	851.9	\$	844.8	\$	(7.1)	-0.8%				
2033	\$	859.2	\$	850.0	\$	(9.2)	-1.1%				
Total	\$	8,072.4	\$	8,001.1	\$	(71.3)	-0.9%				



Traffic and Revenue Forecast Update Page Five


ATTACHMENTS

- FY 2025 T&R Forecast Update Presentation
- Maryland Transportation Authority FY 2025 Traffic and Toll Revenue Forecast Update, Fall 2024, prepared by CDM Smith

Figure 1

Traffic Legacy Facilities


	June 2024	Fall 2024	
	FSK	Annual	
Fiscal Year	Update	Update	Difference
2024	117.0	115.1	(1.9)
2025	112.7	111.4	(1.3)
2026	114.4	112.2	(2.2)
2027	114.2	113.1	(1.1)
2028	112.0	114.4	2.4
2029	122.3	118.9	(3.4)
2030	125.6	121.4	(4.2)
Total	818.2	806.5	(11.7)



Systemwide Toll Lane & Toll Administrative Revenue

	June 2024		Fall 2024				
		FSK	,	Annual			
Fiscal Year	ı	Update	Update		Dif		ference
2024	\$	791.8	\$	783.0		\$	(8.8)
2025	\$	752.6	\$	748.4		\$	(4.2)
2026	\$	767.4	\$	757.5		\$	(9.9)
2027	\$	771.2	\$	766.1		\$	(5.1)
2028	\$	773.7	\$	782.0		\$	8.3
2029	\$	821.6	\$	808.9		\$	(12.7)
2030	\$	838.1	\$	824.8		\$	(13.3)
Total	\$	5,516.4	\$	5,470.7		\$	(45.7)

Figure 2



Traffic ICC & ETL Facilities

June 2024		Fall 2024	
	FSK	Annual	
Fiscal Year	Update	Update	Difference
2024	43.4	43.1	(669.7)
2025	44.1	45.2	1.1
2026	45.9	47.1	1.2
2027	47.0	48.4	1.4
2028	50.1	51.7	1.6
2029	53.1	54.5	1.4
2030	54.4	55.9	1.5
Total	338.0	345.9	7.9

Figure 3





Figure 4

ICC & ETL Toll Lane Revenue


	Jur	ne 2024	Fa	II 2024		
		FSK	Δ	nnual		
Fiscal Year	U	pdate	Update		Difference	
2024	\$	86.2	\$	83.1	\$	(3.1)
2025	\$	87.1	\$	87.8	\$	0.7
2026	\$	93.1	\$	93.4	\$	0.3
2027	\$	95.5	\$	96.0	\$	0.5
2028	\$	103.6	\$	104.2	\$	0.6
2029	\$	110.9	\$	111.3	\$	0.4
2030	\$	113.7	\$	114.1	\$	0.4
Total	\$	690.0	\$	689.9	\$	(0.1)



Toll Administrative Revenue

	Jui	ne 2024	Fall 2024						
		FSK	4	Annual					
Fiscal Year	U	pdate	ι	Jpdate	Diff	erence			
2024	\$	75.4	\$	71.2	\$	(4.2)			
2025	\$	48.1	\$	54.8	\$	6.7			
2026	\$	46.6	\$	51.6	\$	5.0			
2027	\$	46.9	\$	52.0	\$	5.1			
2028	\$	47.1	\$	52.7	\$	5.6			
2029	\$	49.1	\$	53.2	\$	4.1			
2030	\$	49.6	\$	54.5	\$	4.9			
Total	\$	362.7	\$	390.0	\$	27.3			


Figure 5



Systemwide Toll Lane & Toll Administrative Revenue

	June 2024 FSK		Fall 2024 Annual			
Fiscal Year	ı	Jpdate	Update		Difference	
2024	\$	791.8	\$	783.0	\$	(8.8)
2025	\$	752.6	\$	748.4	\$	(4.2)
2026	\$	767.4	\$	757.5	\$	(9.9)
2027	\$	771.2	\$	766.1	\$	(5.1)
2028	\$	773.7	\$	782.0	\$	8.3
2029	\$	821.6	\$	808.9	\$	(12.7)
2030	\$	838.1	\$	824.8	\$	(13.3)
Total	\$	5,516.4	\$	5,470.7	\$	(45.7)

Figure 6








FY 2025 T&R FORECAST UPDATE

NOVEMBER 2024





TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST



Summary

- Investment grade forecast updated annually in the Fall by T&R consultants
- Current forecast totals \$5.5B (FY 2024-2030), down \$45.7M or 0.83% from the June 2024 FSK Update
 - Excluding FY 2028, total revenue declines in each year compared to the previous forecast






TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST - REVENUE

In-lane & Administrative Toll Revenue All Facilities

1						
			F	all 2024		
	Ju	ne 2024		Annual		
Fiscal Year	FS	K Update		Update	Diff	erence
2024	\$	791.8	\$	783.0	\$	(8.8)
2025	\$	752.6	\$	748.4	\$	(4.2)
2026	\$	767.4	\$	757.5	\$	(9.9)
2027	\$	771.2	\$	766.1	\$	(5.1)
2028	\$	773.7	\$	782.0	\$	8.3
2029	\$	821.6	\$	808.9	\$	(12.7)
2030	\$	838.1	\$	824.8	\$	(13.3)
Total	\$	5,516.4	\$	5,470.7	\$	(45.7)



2030






TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST —REVENUE (CONTINUED)

In-lane Toll Revenue Legacy Facilities

			F	all 2024			
	Ju	ne 2024	Annual				
Fiscal Year	FSK Update		Update			Difference	
2024	\$	630.2	\$	628.7		\$	(1.5)
2025	\$	617.5	\$	605.7		\$	(11.8)
2026	\$	627.7	\$	612.5		\$	(15.2)
2027	\$	628.8	\$	618.1		\$	(10.7)
2028	\$	623.0	\$	625.1		\$	2.1
2029	\$	661.5	\$	644.4		\$	(17.1)
2030	\$	674.8	\$	656.2		\$	(18.6)
Total	\$	4,463.5	\$	4,390.7		\$	(72.8)








TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST — REVENUE (CONTINUED)

In-lane Toll Revenue ICC & ETL Facilities

	June 2024		Fall 2024 Annual				
Fiscal Year	FSK Update		Update		Diff	Difference	
2024	\$	86.2	\$	83.1	\$	(3.1)	
2025	\$	87.1	\$	87.8	\$	0.7	
2026	\$	93.1	\$	93.4	\$	0.3	
2027	\$	95.5	\$	96.0	\$	0.5	
2028	\$	103.6	\$	104.2	\$	0.6	
2029	\$	110.9	\$	111.3	\$	0.4	
2030	\$	113.7	\$	114.1	\$	0.4	
Total	\$	690.0	\$	689.9	\$	(0.1)	








Administrative Toll Revenue


	June 2024			all 2024 Annual			
Fiscal Year	FSK	Update	Update		Diff	ifference	
2024	\$	75.4	\$	71.2	\$	(4.2)	
2025	\$	48.1	\$	54.8	\$	6.7	
2026	\$	46.6	\$	51.6	\$	5.0	
2027	\$	46.9	\$	52.0	\$	5.1	
2028	\$	47.1	\$	52.7	\$	5.6	
2029	\$	49.1	\$	53.2	\$	4.1	
2030	\$	49.6	\$	54.5	\$	4.9	
Total	\$	362.7	\$	390.0	\$	27.3	








Forecasted Revenue by Facility/Category
FY 2024-2030
(\$ in Millions)







Forecasted Legacy Revenue by Class FY 2024-2030 (\$ in Millions)









Forecasted Legacy Revenue by Payment Type FY 2025 & FY 2026 Combined (\$ in Millions)

Passenger Vehicles \$649.6M



Commercial Vehicles


\$468.6M







TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST - ASSUMPTIONS



Key Forecast Assumptions


- The growth forecast produced by the econometric update in 2021 and used in the last two annual forecasts was used for this study. Based on recent in-lane trends, minor adjustments were made to the near-term growth in FY 2025 and FY 2026.
- Traffic impacts on FSK from the deck replacement and Curtis/Bear Creek projects were removed due to planned completion prior to the bridge reopening. Duration of significant impacts from I-895 AET conversion extended to 18 months, schedule shifted to occur after completion of new FSK bridge.
- The new FSK bridge will be open to traffic in the fall of 2028, assuming November 1st.
- Collection rates were reduced in the forecast based on recent trends in FY 2024
 - NOTD collection rates fell below what was forecasted last year and the latest trends fed the assumption for this year's forecast.
 - Collection rates at NOTD level were reduced by 10 percentage points compared to last forecast, but a slight increase in civil penalties was also assumed
 - The rate of increase in collection rates over the next three years was tampered.
- No future systemwide toll rate changes are assumed, but a correction to the I-95
 ETL northbound existing tolled distance is assumed to occur in January 2025
 when the first phase of the northbound extension is assumed to open.





TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST – CHANGES BY FACILITY


Fiscal Year	June 2024 FSK Update		Fall 2024 Annual Update		Dif	ference
2024	\$	791.8	\$	783.0	\$	(8.80)
2025	\$	752.6	\$	748.4	\$	(4.20)
2026	\$	767.4	\$	757.5	\$	(9.90)
2027	\$	771.2	\$	766.1	\$	(5.10)
2028	\$	773.7	\$	782.0	\$	8.30
2029	\$	821.6	\$	808.9	\$	(12.70)
2030	\$	838.1	\$	824.8	\$	(13.30)
Total	\$	5,516.4	\$	5,470.7	\$	(45.7)



In-lane & Administrative Toll Revenue All Facilities

- Fall 2024 Forecast:
 - Near-term NOTD collection rate reduced resulting in a corresponding increase in the percentage of civil penalty collections
 - Total revenue reduction: \$46M↓

	June 2024		Fall 2024				
		FSK	1	Annual			
Fiscal Year	ľ	Jpdate	Ī	Jpdate		Dif	ference
2024	\$	630.2	\$	\$ 628.7		\$	(1.50)
2025	\$	617.5	\$	605.7		\$	(11.80)
2026	\$	627.7	\$	612.5		\$	(15.20)
2027	\$	628.8	\$	618.1		\$	(10.70)
2028	\$	623.0	\$	625.1		\$	2.10
2029	\$	661.5	\$	644.4		\$	(17.10)
2030	\$	674.8	\$	656.2		\$	(18.60)
Total	\$	4,463.5	\$	4,390.7		\$	(72.8)



In-lane Toll Revenue Legacy Facilities


- Fall 2024 Forecast:
 - Near-term NOTD collection rate reduced by 10 percentage points to correspond with recent payment trends
 - Updated construction-related impacts associated with the Bear Creek, Curtis Creek, and BHT AET projects
 - Slight reduction in combined lost revenue estimate for Key Bridge due to revised diversion impacts, refinements to vehicle class distribution, and video collection rates
 - Total revenue reduction: \$73M↓






TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST – CHANGES BY FACILITY

			Fa	II 2024			
	Jur	ne 2024	Annual				
Fiscal Year	FSK	Update	Update		Dif	Difference	
2024	\$	86.2	\$	83.1	\$	(3.09)	
2025	\$	87.1	\$	87.8	\$	0.72	
2026	\$	93.1	\$	93.4	\$	0.35	
2027	\$	95.5	\$	96.0	\$	0.54	
2028	\$	103.6	\$	104.2	\$	0.62	
2029	\$	110.9	\$	111.3	\$	0.37	
2030	\$	113.7	\$	114.1	\$	0.35	
Total	\$	690.0	\$	689.9	\$	(0.1)	



			Fall 2024				
	Jur	ne 2024	Annual				
Fiscal Year	FSK	Update	Update			Difference	
2024	\$	75.4	\$	71.2		\$	(4.2)
2025	\$	48.1	\$	54.8		\$	6.7
2026	\$	46.6	\$	51.6		\$	5.0
2027	\$	46.9	\$	52.0		\$	5.1
2028	\$	47.1	\$	52.7		\$	5.6
2029	\$	49.1	\$	53.2		\$	4.1
2030	\$	49.6	\$	54.5		\$	4.9
Total	\$	362.7	\$	390.0		\$	27.3



In-lane Toll Revenue ICC & ETL Facilities

- Fall 2024 Forecast:
 - Near-term projections on ICC and I-95 ETL re-benchmarked based on FY 2024 trends
 - Tolled distance on Section 100 of the I-95 ETL reduces slightly beginning in January 2025
 - Revenue growth expected on I-95 ETL beginning in FY 2025 due to opening of Phase I (FY 2025) and Phase II (FY 2028) extensions
 - Total revenue reduction: \$0.1 M ↓

Administrative Toll Revenue


- Fall 2024 Forecast:
 - Increased civil penalty collections assumed based on NOTD payment rate adjustments
 - Total revenue increase: \$27M个






TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECAST – FUTURE OUTLOOK

MDTA Official Traffic & Revenue Forecasts





- Fall 2024 Forecast:
 - Decline in revenue compared to June 2024 T&R Forecast \$71.3 ↓
- Some uncertainty remains
 - Video collection rates
 - Impact of enforcement measures on civil penalty collections

Maryland Transportation Authority FY 2025 Traffic and Toll Revenue Forecast Update






Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 System Description	1-1
1.2 Toll Rate and Civil Penalty Structure	1-5
1.2.1 Standard Toll Rates	1-5
1.2.2 FY 2023 Temporary Business Rule Changes	1-10
1.2.3 Upcoming Toll Rate Changes	1-11
1.2.4 Civil Penalties	1-11
1.3 Report Structure	1-11
2. Historical Trends	2-1
2.1 Maryland Vehicle Miles Traveled	2-1
2.2 MDTA Traffic and Revenue Trends	2-2
2.2.1 Collected Transactions and Revenue	2-2
2.2.2 In-Lane Traffic	2-9
2.3 Historical Traffic on Other Major Highways	2-15
2.4 MDTA E-ZPass Market Share	2-17
3. Corridor Growth Review	3-1
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Recent Growth Trend Explanatory Factors	
3.2.1 Port of Baltimore Cargo Trends	
3.2.2 Baltimore/Washington International Airport (BWI) Passengers	
3.3 Socioeconomic Variables	
3.3.1 Population	
3.3.2 Employment	3-8
3.3.3 Unemployment	
3.3.4 Per Capita Personal Income	3-13
3.3.5 Gross Domestic/Regional Product	3-14
3.3.6 Inflation	3-17
3.3.7 Fuel Prices	3-19
4. Forecasts by Facility	4-1
4.1 Assumptions	4-1
4.2 Legacy System	4-2
4.2.1 Forecast Methodology	4-2
4.2.2 Francis Scott Key Bridge Collapse	4-3
4.2.3 Construction Impacts	4-4
4.2.4 Forecast Results	4-5
4.3 Intercounty Connector	4-11
4.3.1 Forecast Methodology and Assumptions	4-11
4.3.2 Forecast Results	4-11
4.4 I-95 ETLs	4-14
4.4.1 Forecast Methodology and Assumptions	4-14
4.4.2 Forecast Results	4-15



4.5 Other Revenue	4-17
4.5.1 Forecast Methodology and Assumptions	4-17
4.5.2 Forecast Results	4-19
5. Total Forecast Results	5-1
6. Forecast Comparisons	6-1
List of Figures	
Figure 1-1. Facility Location Map, Maryland Toll Facilities	1 2
Figure 1-2. I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETL) Existing & Future Configuration w/I-695 DC	
Figure 1-3. FY 2024 MDTA Share of Toll Revenue by Facility and Total Revenue by Type	
Figure 2-1. Historical Collected Transactions and Collected Toll Revenue by Facility	
Figure 2-2. Transactions Per Week by Fiscal Year – Legacy System	
Figure 2-3. Transactions Per Week by Fiscal Year – Intercounty Connector	
Figure 2-4. Transactions Per Week by Fiscal year – I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETL)	
Figure 2-5. Collected Transaction E-ZPass Marketshare Trends by Facility	
Figure 3-1. Geographies Profiled	
Figure 3-2. Port of Baltimore Cargo Tons vs. Legacy Facilities CV Traffic, FY 2018-2024 (Month	
Figure 3-3. BWI Airport Passengers vs. ICC PC Traffic, FY 2018-2024 (Monthly)	
Figure 3-4. Historical Unemployment Rates (More Geographies)	
Figure 3-5. Historical Unemployment Rates (Maryland Regions)	3-11
Figure 3-6. Forecast U.S. Unemployment Rate	3-12
Figure 3-7. Forecast Mid-Term Real GDP Growth	
Figure 3-8. Historical Inflation (CPI-U)	3-18
Figure 3-9. Forecast Inflation (CPI-U)	
Figure 3-10. Historical Fuel Prices (Current \$)	
Figure 3-11. Forecast Fuel Prices (Current \$)	3-20
Figure 4-1. Harbor Crossings Cumulative Impact Since FSK Collapse	
Figure 5-1. Share of Collected Transactions/Trips, FY 2025 and FY 2034	
Figure 5-2. Share of Collected Total Revenue, FY 2025 and FY 2034	5-3
List of Tables	
Table 1-1. Standard MDTA Legacy System Toll Rates and Toll Collection Direction	1-6
Table 1-2. Other MDTA Legacy System Discount Toll Rate Programs and Rates	1-8
Table 1-3. Intercounty Connector Two-Axle E-ZPass Toll Rates by Movement and Time Period	
Table 1-4. I-95 Express Toll Lane Toll Rates	
Table 2-1. National and Statewide Trends in Vehicle Miles Traveled	
Table 2-2. MDTA Passenger Car Historic Collected Transactions and Toll Revenue	
Table 2-3. MDTA Commercial Vehicle Historic Collected Transactions and Toll Revenue	
Table 2-4. MDTA Total Traffic Historic Collected Transactions and Toll Revenue	
Table 2-5. Average Annual Percent Change in Collected Transactions and Revenue by Facility	2-7



Table 2-6. MDTA In-Lane Traffic by Fiscal Year	2-11
Table 2-7. Average Annual Daily Traffic Trends on Major Highways	
Table 3-1. Socioeconomic Variables: Terms and Sources	3-6
Table 3-2. Historical Population	3-7
Table 3-3. Forecast Population Growth	3-8
Table 3-4. Historical Employment	
Table 3-5. Forecast Employment Growth	3-10
Table 3-6. Short-Term Forecast U.S. Unemployment Rate	3-12
Table 3-7. Historical Real Personal Income Per Capita (2022\$)	3-13
Table 3-8. Forecast Real Personal Income Per Capita Growth	
Table 3-9. Historical Real Gross Domestic/Regional Product Growth (2022\$)	3-15
Table 3-10. Forecast Real Gross Domestic/Regional Product Growth	
Table 3-11. Forecast Short-Term Real GDP Growth	3-16
Table 4-1. Detailed Forecast Assumptions	4-2
Table 4-2. Total Legacy System Forecasted Transactions and Toll Revenue Collected by Class	4 - 5
Table 4-3. Legacy System Historical and Forecasted Transactions and Toll Revenue Collected	l by
Facility	4-6
Table 4-4. Monthly Collected Transactions by Method of Payment FY 2025 and FY 2026	4-8
Table 4-5. Monthly Collected Toll Revenue by Method of Payment FY 2025 and FY 2026	4-9
Table 4-6. Estimate of Lost Transactions and Revenue from Key Bridge Collapse	4-10
Table 4-7. Intercounty Connector Forecasted Collected Annual Trips and Collected Toll Reve	nue4-12
Table 4-8. Intercounty Connector Forecasted Collected Monthly Trips and Collected Toll Rev	enue 4-13
Table 4-9. I-95 ETL Total with Extensions Forecasted Collected Annual Trips and Toll Reven	ue4-15
Table 4-10. I-95 ETL Forecasted Monthly Collected Trips and Toll Revenue	
Table 4-11. Other Revenue by Facility	
Table 4-12. Forecasted Monthly Other Revenue	
Table 5-1. Total System Collected Transactions/Trips	5-1
Table 5-2. Total System Collected Toll and Other Revenue	
Table 5-3. Total System Collected Monthly Transactions, Toll Revenue, and Other Revenue	5-4
Table 6-1. Legacy System Toll Revenue Comparison	
Table 6-2. Intercounty Connector Comparison	6-2
Table 6-3. I-95 ETLs Comparison	6-2
Table 6-4. Other Revenue Comparison	6-3
Table 6-5 Total System Revenue Comparison	6-4



Chapter 1

Introduction

This letter report includes ten-year forecasts through FY 2034 for the seven "Legacy" toll facilities operated by MDTA, for the Intercounty Connector (ICC), and for the I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETLs). It summarizes the study analysis, including a presentation of historical traffic and revenue trends, relevant socioeconomic conditions and forecasts, and the ten-year forecast results.

1.1 System Description


The nine facilities operated by MDTA are listed below. Collectively, the first seven facilities in the list below are referred to as the Legacy System.

- Thomas J. Hatem Memorial Bridge (Hatem Bridge, TJH)
- John F. Kennedy Memorial Highway, excluding the I-95 Express Toll Lanes (Kennedy Highway, JFK)
- Baltimore Harbor Tunnel (Harbor Tunnel, BHT)
- Fort McHenry Tunnel (Fort McHenry Tunnel, FMT)
- Francis Scott Key Bridge (Key Bridge, FSK)
- William Preston Lane Jr. Memorial Bridge (Bay Bridge, WPL)
- Governor Harry W. Nice Memorial/Senator Thomas "Mac" Middleton Bridge (Nice/Middleton Bridge, HWN)
- Intercounty Connector (ICC/MD 200)
- I-95 Express Toll Lanes (I-95 ETLs)

Figure 1-1 shows the locations of the MDTA Legacy system, ICC, and I-95 ETLs toll facilities and toll gantries in a regional context. As can be implied by the geographic distribution of the different facilities, the MDTA system serves a variety of travel purposes within the regional transportation system and consequently has a diverse mix of traffic classes and payment types.

In the north, the Hatem Bridge and the Kennedy Highway form two parallel crossings of the Susquehanna River. The Hatem Bridge carries US 40 over the river and is the oldest of the MDTA's facilities, having been open to traffic since August 1940. The existing structure replaced an older bridge that first opened in 1910. The John F. Kennedy Memorial Highway is a 50-mile segment of I-95 that was opened in November 1963. It currently has one mainline toll plaza located just east of the Susquehanna River.







FACILITY LOCATION MAP MARYLAND TOLL FACILITIES

The I-95 ETLs are a separate eight-mile toll facility on the Kennedy Highway between I-895 and MD 43 in Northeast Baltimore. The facility, which opened in December 2014, includes two express toll lanes in each direction in between the general purpose lanes on this segment of I-95. A northern extension of only the northbound I-95 ETL facility is planned to open in phases within the forecasting horizon of this report. The assumed opening dates of this extension are included in the assumptions in Chapter 4. **Figure 1-2** shows the assumed access and tolling points on the I-95 ETL extension.


There are three alternative MDTA toll routes that cross the Baltimore Harbor in the center of the region: the Baltimore Harbor Tunnel (I-895), the Francis Scott Key Bridge (I-695), and the Fort McHenry Tunnel (I-95), which are collectively referred to as the Baltimore Harbor crossings. The oldest of the three Baltimore Harbor crossings is the Harbor Tunnel which opened in November 1957. The Key Bridge was built to alleviate congestion and delays at the Harbor Tunnel and was opened in March 1977. The newest of these facilities, the Fort McHenry Tunnel, is an eight-lane crossing that opened in November 1985.

In the early hours of March 26th, 2024, the Key Bridge collapsed due to the collision between a cargo ship and one of the bridge supports. This halted all traffic and severely impacted activity at the Port of Baltimore. Since the collapse, traffic has had to divert to the Fort McHenry Tunnel, Baltimore Harbor Tunnel, or other local roads as the rebuilding of the bridge will take a couple years to complete. Additional details of this event and how it has been considered in the forecast will be discussed further in Chapter 4.

The ICC facility is in the northern Washington D.C. metro region and connects I-370 in the Gaithersburg area to I-95 and US 1 near Laurel. The ICC opened in phases. The initial segment between I-370 and MD 97 opened to traffic in February 2011 and began collecting tolls in March 2011. The segment from MD 97 to I-95 opened to traffic in November 2011 and began collecting tolls in December 2011, and the final segment between I-95 and US 1 opened and began collecting tolls in November 2014.

The southern region contains two facilities which carry US 301 to diverse destinations. The Governor Harry W. Nice Memorial/Senator Thomas "Mac" Middleton Bridge was originally opened in December 1940, connecting Maryland with Virginia, thereby allowing travelers making regional through-trips to bypass the Washington DC area. A replacement of the bridge opened on October 12, 2022 which widened the bridge from two lanes per direction to four lanes per direction, improved safety with barrier-separated medians, provided a taller 135-foot clearance for ships to pass underneath, and replaced the toll booths with all-electronic tolling technology. The William Preston Lane Jr. Memorial (Bay) Bridge was first opened to traffic in July 1952 and crosses the Chesapeake Bay. Twenty-one years later in June 1973, a parallel span carrying westbound traffic was opened, with the original span carrying eastbound traffic. A Tier 1National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Study, called the Chesapeake Bay Crossing Study, was completed and considers alternatives to address congestion on the Bay Bridge. A Record of Decision (ROD) on the study and Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) were approved in April 2022 along with the Selected Corridor Alternative. Final project design and construction will follow final agency decisions based on completion of Tier 2 NEPA Study documents. Currently, there is no timetable for construction of a new crossing.







I-95 EXPRESS TOLL LANES (ETL) EXISTING & FUTURE CONFIGURATION W/ I-695 DC

For context in this letter report, **Figure 1-3** shows the share of MDTA toll revenue by facility and total revenue by type for the most recent full fiscal year. As shown, three quarters of toll revenue is from the Kennedy Highway, Fort McHenry Tunnel, Harbor Tunnel, and Key Bridge, which make up the I-95 corridor and parallel Interstate crossings near downtown Baltimore. Total revenue includes 32 percent commercial vehicle toll revenue, about 59 percent passenger car toll revenue, and about 9 percent other revenue. Other revenue includes a combination of revenue collected and revenue deductions from unused Commuter Plan and Shoppers Plan trips, transponder fees and sales, the Hatem Bridge E-ZPass program, violation recovery (civil penalties), and commercial vehicle fees and discounts (post-usage discount, high frequency discount, and oversized permit fees).




Figure 1-3
FY 2024 MDTA Share of Toll Revenue by Facility and Total Revenue by Type

1.2 Toll Rate and Civil Penalty Structure

1.2.1 Standard Toll Rates

Table 1-1 provides the standard Legacy system toll rates and toll collection direction. Toll rates vary by facility, method of payment, and vehicle class. The toll rates are grouped into three categories: Maryland E-ZPass, base toll rates which includes out-of-state E-ZPass and the pay-by-plate payment method, and video payment. Pay-by-Plate was introduced as another payment option for customers on April 29, 2021, which allows customers to pre-register their vehicle's license plate for video payment and receive the prior cash toll rate. A discount for early payment of video tolls was also introduced on April 29, 2021. This allows customers to receive a 15 percent discount (up to \$5.00) when they pay their video tolls before an invoice is mailed. Maryland E-ZPass toll rates apply to drivers who register for an E-ZPass account and receive a



transponder from MDTA. These customers receive a discount compared to the base toll rate customers and can also enroll in discounts like the shopper and commuter rates and programs further described in **Table 1-2**. The base toll rate applies to out-of-state registered E-ZPass and pay-by-plate customers. Video customers pay a 50 percent surcharge over the base toll rate. Cash was a payment option at five of the seven Legacy facilities up until March 17, 2020 when cashless collection was initiated as a safety precaution related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Hatem Bridge and Key Bridge facilities had already been converted to all-electronic tolling in October 2019. Permanent cashless tolling on the facilities that offered a cash payment option before the pandemic was announced on August 6, 2020.

Table 1-1
Standard MDTA Legacy System Toll Rates and Toll Collection Direction

Class	Hatem Bridge (Eastbound)	Kennedy Highway (Eastbound)	Harbor Facilities: FMT, BHT, FSK (Both)	Bay Bridge (Eastbound)	Nice/ Middleton Bridge (Westbound)					
Maryland E-ZPass Payment Type										
Commuter ¹	\$2.80	\$2.80	\$1.40	\$1.40	\$2.10					
Shopper ¹	NA	NA	NA	\$2.00	NA					
2-axle	\$6.00	\$6.00	\$3.00	\$2.50	\$4.50					
3-axle	\$11.20	\$16.00	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$12.00					
4-axle	\$16.80	\$24.00	\$12.00	\$12.00	\$18.00					
5-axle	\$48.00	\$48.00	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$36.00					
6-axle+	\$60.00	\$60.00	\$30.00	\$30.00	\$45.00					
Base Toll F	Rates: Other E-	ZPass Payment	Type and Pay-	By-Plate Paym	ent Type ²					
2-axle	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$4.00	\$4.00						
3-axle	\$16.00	\$16.00	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$12.00					
4-axle	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$12.00	\$12.00	\$18.00					
5-axle	\$48.00	\$48.00	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$36.00					
6-axle+	\$60.00	\$60.00	\$30.00	\$30.00	\$45.00					
		Video Payr	ment Type ³							
2-axle	\$12.00	\$12.00	\$6.00	\$6.00	\$9.00					
3-axle	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$12.00	\$12.00	\$18.00					
4-axle	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$18.00	\$18.00	\$27.00					
5-axle	\$63.00	\$63.00	\$36.00	\$36.00	\$51.00					
6-axle+	\$75.00	\$75.00	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$60.00					

¹Commuter and shopper programs for 2-axle vehicles only. Rates shown are if all trips are used



²ITOLs (video images matched to existing E-ZPass accounts) are charged the base toll rate.

³Customers that pay their video toll before an invoice is mailed are eligible for a 15% discount

Table 1-2 provides a description of the other MDTA Legacy system discount toll rate programs available to Maryland E-ZPass customers. The programs available for two-axle vehicles aim to provide discounts for drivers who use the MDTA facilities frequently. Commuter plans are available for the Baltimore Harbor crossings, the Nice/Middleton Bridge, and the Bay Bridge. These plans allow customers to complete a set number of trips within a 45-day period at a fixed price on specific facilities. Specific details of the commuter programs are shown in **Table 1-2**. In addition to the commuter plan at the Bay Bridge, there is a shopper plan that allows drivers to take ten trips Sunday through Thursday for \$20 over a 90-day period on the Bay Bridge. The Hatem Bridge has two plans offered: Hatem Plan A and Hatem Plan B. Both plans provide unlimited trips for a flat annual fee of \$20 and vary slightly in account setup and associated fees.

Two discount plans are offered for commercial vehicles with five-or-more axles: the post usage discount and supplemental rebate plan. The post usage discount reimburses business accounts a percentage of monthly tolls in the range of 10 to 20 percent based on the toll amount accrued in a 30-day period. The supplemental rebate program provides a similar structure for individual accounts by providing a discount in the range of 10 to 20 percent for accounts that make more than 60 trips per month. Also listed in **Table 1-2** are the Baltimore Harbor Tunnel Childs Street ramp and Key Bridge Broening Highway Turnaround tolls which are a lower toll rate for three-ormore axle vehicles using specific ramps near the Harbor Tunnel and Key Bridge

Tolls on the ICC differ from the Legacy system in that they're assessed on particular interchange-to-interchange movements, as shown in **Table 1-3**. The ICC is a cashless facility with E-ZPass, Pay-by-Plate or video payment options. This table provides the two-axle E-ZPass toll rates, which vary from \$0.40 to \$3.86 depending on the length of the trip and time of day. Higher toll rates are assessed on weekdays during the Peak Periods, which are 6:00 to 9:00 AM and 3:00 to 7:00 PM, compared to the Overnight (11:00 PM to 5:00 AM) and Off-Peak (all other hours) time periods. Tolls differ on the weekends for the Overnight and Off-Peak periods. E-ZPass toll rates are higher for commercial and recreational (boat and camper) vehicles based on the number of axles. Unlike toll rates on the Legacy system, E-ZPass rates are the same on the ICC for customers holding their accounts through MDTA and through other agencies. All video toll customers pay a 50 percent surcharge over the E-ZPass rate with a minimum of \$1 and maximum of \$15 above the E-ZPass rates. Pay-by-Plate customers pay a rate in between the video toll and E-ZPass customers.

The I-95 ETLs are an express lane facility with a single tolling point in each direction. Similar to the ICC, toll rates vary by vehicle type and time period. It is a cashless facility with payment method options of E-ZPass, Pay-by-Plate, or video tolling. As shown previously in **Figure 1-2**, a northbound extension of the I-95 ETLs is also planned to open within the forecasting period. **Table 1-4** provides the current toll rates by axle and payment type for the existing section from I-895 to MD 43, as well as the assumed toll rates for the two northbound extension tolling points, which extend through MD 24. Unlike toll rates on the Legacy system, E-ZPass rates are the same on the I-95 ETLs for customers holding their accounts through MDTA and through other agencies. Video toll customers pay a 50 percent surcharge over the E-ZPass rate with a minimum of \$1 and maximum of \$15 above the E-ZPass rates. Pay-by-plate customers pay a rate that is in between video toll and E-ZPass customers.



Table 1-2 Other MDTA Legacy System Discount Toll Rate Programs and Rates

Program	Details
Baltimore Region Commuter Discount Plan	For E-ZPass Maryland accounts holders driving two-axle vehicles . The Baltimore Regional Plan is \$70 for 50 trips on the Fort McHenry Tunnel, Harbor Tunnel, Key Bridge, Kennedy Hlghway, or Hatem Bridge. Two "trips" are deducted for each crossing of the Kennedy Highway and Hatem Bridge . Plans end after 45 days or when all of the trips are used, whichever comes first.
Nice Bridge Commuter Discount Plan	For E-ZPass Maryland accounts holders driving two-axle vehicles . The Nice bridge plan is \$52.50 and offers 25 trips. The plans ends after 45 days or when all of the trips are used, whichever comes first.
Bay Bridge Commuter Discount Plan	For E-ZPass Maryland accounts holders driving two-axle vehicles . The Bay Bridge Plan is \$35.00 and offers 25 trips. The plan ends after 45 days or when all of the trips are used, whichever comes first.
Bay Bridge Shopper Discount Plan	For E-ZPass Maryland accounts holders driving two-axle vehicles . The Bay Bridge Shopper plan is \$20.00 for ten two-axle trips that can be used Sunday through Thursday. The plan ends after 90 days or when all of the trips are used, whichever comes first.
Hatem Bridge Discount Plan A	An E-ZPass account with transponders valid only at the Hatem Bridge. This plan applies only to two-axle vehicles , and includes unlimited trips. This plan is subject to a flat annual fee of \$20.00. There are NO account fees, prepaid toll deposits or account statements.
Hatem Bridge Discount Plan B	This discount plan is attached to a normal Maryland E-ZPass account. This plan applies only to two-axle vehicles , and includes unlimited trips. This plan is subject to a flat annual fee of \$20.00. Account fees apply as with the normal Maryland E-ZPass account.
Post Usage Discount Plan	Business accounts operating five-or-more-axle vehicles qualify for an E-ZPass post-usage discount based on the tolls paid in every 30-day period, with a 10 percent discount offered for total monthly tolls of \$150.00 to \$1,999.99, 15 percent for total monthly tolls of \$2,000.00 to \$7,500.00 and 20 percent for total monthly tolls of over \$7,500.00.
Supplemental Rebate Plan	A supplemental rebate program is offered to five-or-more-axle vehicles with individual transponders making 60 or more trips per month. As of July 1, 2015, a 10 percent discount is offered for five- or more-axle vehicle transponders making 60-79 trips per month, 15 percent for 80-99 trips per month, and 20 percent for 100 or more per month.
Baltimore Harbor Childs Street Ramps and Key Bridge Broening Highway Turnaround Toll	Vehicles with a valid E-ZPass Maryland account and transponder will pay \$2 per axle for 3, 4, 5 and 6+ axle vehicles to use the I-895/Childs Street ramps at the Baltimore Harbor Tunnel and when making the Broening Highway Turnaround on the Key Bridge.



Table 1-3
Intercounty Connector Two-Axle E-ZPass Toll Rates by Movement and Time Period

		Exit							
Entrance	Time Period ¹	I-370 / Shady Grove Rd.	SR 97 / Georgia Ave.	SR 182 / Layhill Rd.	SR 650 / New Hampshire Ave.	US 29 / Briggs Cheney Rd.	I-95	Konterra Dr. / US 1	
1 270, Chady	Peak		\$1.24	\$1.74	\$2.37	\$2.92	\$3.52	\$3.86	
I-370; Shady Grove Rd.	Off-Peak		\$0.96	\$1.35	\$1.83	\$2.26	\$2.72	\$2.98	
Grove na.	Overnight		\$0.40	\$0.56	\$0.75	\$0.93	\$1.12	\$1.23	
CD 07 / Coorsia	Peak	\$1.24		\$0.50	\$1.13	\$1.68	\$2.28	\$2.61	
SR 97 / Georgia Ave.	Off-Peak	\$0.96		\$0.40	\$0.87	\$1.30	\$1.76	\$2.02	
Ave.	Overnight	\$0.40		\$0.40	\$0.40	\$0.53	\$0.72	\$0.83	
CD 402 / L	Peak	\$1.74	\$0.50		\$0.62	\$1.18	\$1.78	\$2.11	
SR 182 / Layhill Rd.	Off-Peak	\$1.35	\$0.40		\$0.48	\$0.91	\$1.37	\$1.63	
nu.	Overnight	\$0.56	\$0.40		\$0.40	\$0.40	\$0.56	\$0.67	
SD SEC / N	Peak	\$2.37	\$1.13	\$0.62		\$0.55	\$1.15	\$1.49	
SR 650 / New Hampshire Ave.	Off-Peak	\$1.83	\$0.87	\$0.48		\$0.43	\$0.89	\$1.15	
Hampsinic Ave.	Overnight	\$0.75	\$0.40	\$0.40		\$0.40	\$0.40	\$0.47	
US 20 / D d	Peak	\$2.92	\$1.68	\$1.18	\$0.55		\$0.60	\$0.94	
US 29 / Briggs Cheney Rd.	Off-Peak	\$2.26	\$1.30	\$0.91	\$0.43		\$0.46	\$0.72	
cheffey Ru.	Overnight	\$0.93	\$0.53	\$0.40	\$0.40		\$0.40	\$0.40	
	Peak	\$3.52	\$2.28	\$1.78	\$1.15	\$0.60		\$0.44	
I-95	Off-Peak	\$2.72	\$1.76	\$1.37	\$0.89	\$0.46		\$0.40	
	Overnight	\$1.12	\$0.72	\$0.56	\$0.40	\$0.40		\$0.40	
	Peak	\$3.86	\$2.61	\$2.11	\$1.49	\$0.94	\$0.44		
Konterra Dr. / US 1	Off-Peak	\$2.98	\$2.02	\$1.63	\$1.15	\$0.72	\$0.40		
03 1	Overnight	\$1.23	\$0.83	\$0.67	\$0.47	\$0.40	\$0.40		

¹Time periods are:

Peak Period is defined as 6:00 to 9:00 AM and 4:00 to 7:00 PM on Weekdays (excluding federal holidays).

Off-Peak Period is defined as 5:00 to 6:00 AM, 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM, and 7:00 to 11:00 PM on Weekdays and 5:00 AM to 11:00 PM on Weekends and federal holidays.

Overnight is defined as 11:00 PM to 5:00 AM every day.



Table 1-4
I-95 Express Toll Lane Toll Rates

	Existing Section (I-895 to MD 43)				nd Extensio 0 43 to MD 1		Northbound Extension Phase 2 (MD 152 to MD 24)			
Class	Peak	Off-Peak	Overnight	Peak	Off-Peak	Overnight	Peak	Off-Peak	Overnight	
				E-ZPass Pay	ment Type					
2-axle	\$1.54	\$1.19	\$0.49	\$1.54	\$1.19	\$0.49	\$0.51	\$0.40	\$0.40	
3-axle	\$3.08	\$2.38	\$0.98	\$3.08	\$2.38	\$0.98	\$1.01	\$0.78	\$0.40	
4-axle	\$4.62	\$3.57	\$1.47	\$4.62	\$3.57	\$1.47	\$1.52	\$1.17	\$0.48	
5-axle	\$9.24	\$7.14	\$2.94	\$9.24	\$7.14	\$2.94	\$3.04	\$2.35	\$0.97	
6-axle+	\$11.55	\$8.93	\$3.68	\$11.55	\$8.93	\$3.68	\$3.80	\$2.93	\$1.21	
			Pa	y-By-Plate F	Payment Typ	oe .				
2-axle	\$1.93	\$1.49	\$0.61	\$1.93	\$1.49	\$0.61	\$0.64	\$0.50	\$0.50	
3-axle	\$3.85	\$2.98	\$1.23	\$3.85	\$2.98	\$1.23	\$1.26	\$0.98	\$0.50	
4-axle	\$5.78	\$4.46	\$1.84	\$5.78	\$4.46	\$1.84	\$1.90	\$1.46	\$0.60	
5-axle	\$11.55	\$8.93	\$3.68	\$11.55	\$8.93	\$3.68	\$3.80	\$2.94	\$1.21	
6-axle+	\$14.44	\$11.16	\$4.59	\$14.44	\$11.16	\$4.59	\$4.75	\$3.66	\$1.51	
				Video Payr	nent Type					
2-axle	\$2.54	\$2.19	\$1.49	\$2.54	\$2.19	\$1.49	\$1.51	\$1.40	\$1.40	
3-axle	\$4.62	\$3.57	\$1.98	\$4.62	\$3.57	\$1.98	\$2.01	\$1.78	\$1.40	
4-axle	\$6.93	\$5.36	\$2.47	\$6.93	\$5.36	\$2.47	\$2.52	\$2.17	\$1.48	
5-axle	\$13.86	\$10.71	\$4.41	\$13.86	\$10.71	\$4.41	\$4.55	\$3.52	\$1.97	
6-axle+	\$17.33	\$13.39	\$5.51	\$17.33	\$13.39	\$5.51	\$5.69	\$4.40	\$2.21	

Time Periods:

Peak Period is defined as southbound from 6:00 to 9:00 AM Mon to Fri, northbound from 3:00 to 7:00 PM Mon to Fri, and both directions from 12:00 to 2:00 PM Sat and 2:00 to 5:00 PM Sun.

Off-Peak Period is defined as southbound from 5:00 to 6:00 AM/9:00 AM to 9:00 PM Mon to Fri, northbound from 5:00 AM to 3:00 PM/7:00 to 9:00 PM Mon to Fri, and both directions from 5:00 AM to 12:00 PM/2:00 to 9:00 PM Sat and 5:00 AM to 2:00 PM/5:00 to 9:00 PM Sunday.

Overnight is defined as 9:00 PM to 5:00 AM every day.

1.2.2 FY 2023 Temporary Business Rule Changes

On March 17, 2020 MDTA implemented systemwide cashless tolling until further notice like most other larger toll agencies in the United States that had the capability to do so. Permanent cashless tolling on all MDTA facilities was announced on August 6, 2020 to provide convenience for motorists, less engine idling for better fuel efficiency and reduced emissions, decreased congestion, and increased safety. Mailing of Notice of Toll Due (NOTD) video invoices was paused in March 2020 but was resumed in the fall of 2020. This resulted in a backlog of NOTD transactions. To assist customers having to pay these backlogged transactions, the MDTA board approved a customer assistance plan on February 24th, 2022 which was effective immediately. This plan included a civil penalty waiver grace period and ceased referring toll bills to the Central Collection Unit (CCU) and MDOT Motor Vehicle Administration (MDOT MVA) temporarily. The customer assistance plan was terminated on December 14th, 2022.

While all these video invoices have been mailed, these policy changes are important to recall when reviewing historical trends for collected transactions and revenue in Chapter 2.



1.2.3 Upcoming Toll Rate Changes

There is no future toll rate change assumed for the forecasting period through FY 2034 on the Legacy System and Intercounty Connector. The only toll change assumed in the forecast presented in this report is the I-95 ETL northbound existing segment tolled distance change which will occur in conjunction with the opening of the first section of the northbound extension, which is assumed in the second half of FY 2025.

1.2.4 Civil Penalties and Enforcement

Due to the customer assistance plan discussed in 1.2.2, civil penalties were not assessed on unpaid video invoices until after the termination of the customer assistance plan. Assessment of the \$25 civil penalty resumed beginning December $1^{\rm st}$, 2022 for all unpaid video transactions, including those from video invoices issued prior to the expiration of the customer assistance plan. Normal civil penalty collection and enforcement measures such as tax intercept and motor vehicle registration hold/suspension are assumed for this forecast.

1.3 Report Structure

Chapter 2, Historical Traffic and Revenue Trends, provides a summary of historical trends and variations of traffic and revenue on the Legacy bridges, tunnels, and highways operated by the MDTA. Trends in different payment shares are also provided.

Chapter 3, Socioeconomic Review, provides a summary of updated historical trends and forecasts of socioeconomic variables to provide the context for the traffic and revenue growth projections. The socioeconomic trend review consisted of data collection such as the compilation and updating of pertinent variables such as population, employment, income, gasoline prices, and real gross regional product from a number of public and private sources. These included the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), US Census, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Maryland State Data Center (MD SDC), U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), Woods & Poole Economics (W&P), and Moody's Analytics (Moody's).

Chapter 4, Forecasts by Facility, provides a summary of the underlying assumptions and methodology used in the traffic and revenue forecasting process. Also presented in this Chapter are the 10-year traffic and revenue forecasts by facility and vehicle class for each of the MDTA facilities, including forecasted other revenue.

Chapter 5, Total Forecast Results, summarizes the forecasts for the MDTA system.

Chapter 6, Forecast Comparisons, provides a comparison of the updated forecasts to previous forecasts for the MDTA facilities.



Chapter 2

Historical Trends

This chapter includes analysis of historical traffic, revenue, and payment type trends on the MDTA facilities. Analysis of traffic trends on other routes in Maryland is also provided for context. Recent historical data is especially important as an input to developing the updated forecast documented in this report.

2.1 Maryland Vehicle Miles Traveled

Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) trends were reviewed to better understand the general trends in traffic growth nationally and within Maryland. The Federal Highway Administration develops annual estimates of national and state-wide VMT by roadway type, which have been summarized in **Table 2-1** for years 2007 through 2022 for the United States (U.S.) and Maryland. Data was not yet available for 2023.

Total VMT growth trends for both Maryland and the U.S. have been generally similar during the Great Recession impacted years (2007 to 2009) and years following (2009 to 2019). In general, the trends indicate that total national and statewide Maryland VMT growth is similar. However, growth on Maryland's Interstate highways at 0.6 percent per annum was much lower than the U.S. average of 1.5 percent per annum for the period between 2009 and 2019. Growth on the Maryland interstate system is still occurring, albeit at a lower rate than the nation. The percent of total VMT occurring on Interstate routes has remained relatively constant throughout the past 16 years. Approximately 25 percent of national VMT and 30 percent of Maryland VMT are made on interstate routes, which account for 2.5 percent and 3.9 percent of all roads in the nation and Maryland, respectively.

In 2020, due to travel restrictions and stay-at-home mandates from the COVID-19 pandemic, interstate VMT in the United States and Maryland declined by 13.1 and 19.1 percent, respectively. In 2021, interstate VMT increased by approximately 13 percent over 2020 levels in both the U.S. and Maryland. The U.S. interstate and total VMT in 2021 were still 1.6 percent below prepandemic levels of 2019. Maryland interstate and total VMT recovered to 4.3 and 3.0 percent below 2019 levels. In 2022, VMT continued to increase post-pandemic, albeit at a slower rate in Maryland compared to the nationwide average. Interstate and total VMT increased by 2.9 and 2.1 percent, respectively, while Maryland interstate VMT increased by 1.2 percent and total VMT increased by just 0.3 percent. This variation in trend for Maryland could be indicative of an increased share of remote working compared to the national average.



United States (1) Maryland Interstate Total Interstate Percent VMT Calendar VMT Percent Percent VMT Percent Percent VMT Percent Change Year (Millions) Change of Total (Millions) Change (Millions) Change of Total (Millions) 745,457 3,049,027 17,015 2007 24.4 30.1 56,503 2008 725,078 (2.7)24.2 2,992,705 (1.8)16,710 (1.8)30.4 55,023 (2.6)2,975,804 30.7 55,293 0.5 2009 722,655 (0.3)24.3 (0.6)16.965 1.5 2010 729,015 0.9 24.4 2,985,854 0.3 17,040 0.4 30.4 56,126 1.5 2011 2,968,990 16,964 (0.4)30.2 56,221 0.2 725,787 (0.4)24.4 (0.6)2012 735,915 1.4 24.6 2,988,021 0.6 17,054 0.5 30.2 56,475 0.5 2013 745.106 1.2 24.8 3,006,911 0.6 17.064 0.1 30.1 56,688 0.4 2014 756,374 1.5 24.9 3,040,220 1.1 17,057 (0.0)30.2 56,432 (0.5)2015 782,111 3.4 25.1 3,109,937 2.3 17,102 0.3 29.7 57,516 1.9 2016 810,264 3.6 25.4 3,188,972 2.5 17,584 2.8 29.7 59,137 2.8 1.8 25.6 1.2 17,937 2.0 29.9 2017 824,910 3,227,358 59,892 1.3 2018 833,803 25.6 3,255,347 0.9 17,932 (0.0)30.1 59,629 (0.4)1.1 2019 842,604 1.1 25.7 3,276,482 0.6 18,059 0.7 30.0 60,136 0.9 (11.0)2020 732.078 (13.1)25.1 2.917.383 14.604 (19.1)28.0 50.592 (15.9)815,183 25.9 7.8 16,545 29.2 56,601 2021 11.4 3,146,281 13.3 11.9 2022 839,105 2.9 26.1 3,211,120 2.1 16,739 1.2 29.5 56,746 0.3 **Average Annual Percent Change** 2007 to 2009 (1.5)(1.2)(0.1)(1.1)2009 to 2019 1.5 1.0 0.6 0.8 2019 to 2022 (0.1)(0.7)(2.5)(1.9)

Table 2-1
National and Statewide Trends in Vehicle Miles Traveled

2.2 MDTA Traffic and Revenue Trends

2007-2022 VMT Data source: Table VM-2, Highway Statistics, USDOT FHWA Office of Policy Information.

2.2.1 Collected Transactions and Revenue

⁽¹⁾ Includes Puerto Rico

This section provides a review of the historical collected toll transaction/trip trends and toll revenue trends for each of the seven MDTA Legacy facilities, I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETLs), and the Intercounty Connector (ICC). Toll revenue is the revenue that is collected by transponder or by various forms of video payment (and formerly by in-lane cash payment) for payment of published toll rates. Other revenue includes a combination of revenue collected and revenue deductions from unused Commuter Plan and Shoppers Plan trips, transponder fees and sales, the Hatem Bridge E-ZPass® program, violation recovery (civil penalties), and commercial vehicle fees and discounts (post-usage discount, high frequency discount, and over-sized permit fees). The historical transaction/trip and revenue trends by facility for passenger cars, commercial vehicles and total traffic are presented by fiscal year in **Table 2-2**, **Table 2-3**, and **Table 2-4**, respectively. The historical transaction/trip and revenue trends for total vehicles by facility are graphically presented in **Figure 2-1**. The figure also indicates the years during which a toll change occurred which would have impacted T&R trends including toll increases in FY 2010, 2012, and 2014, and the toll decrease in FY 2016.



Table 2-2
MDTA Passenger Car Historic Collected Transactions and Toll Revenue

	Kennedy			edy	Fort McHenry								Nice/Mid	dleton				
	Hatem B	Bridge	Highw	<i>y</i> ay	Harbor 1	Tunnel	Tuni	nel	Key Bri	dge ⁽¹⁾	Bay Br	idge	Brid	ge	ICC	(2)	I-95 E	ΓL ⁽²⁾
Fiscal																		
Year		Change		Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change
		sactions	(in million	ns)	21.221				40.000		10.100		2 4 4 2					
2007	5.286	-	12.874	- (4.2)	24.891	-	40.945	- (0.0)	10.970	-	12.409	- (0.0)	3.112	- (0.0)	-	-	-	-
2008	5.296	0.2	12.722	(1.2)	24.921	0.1	40.879	(0.2)	11.093	1.1	12.312	(0.8)	3.107	(0.2)	-	-	-	-
2009 2010	4.942 4.890	(6.7) (1.1)	12.794 12.977	0.6 1.4	24.795 24.553	(0.5) (1.0)	39.851 40.583	(2.5) 1.8	10.601 9.953	(4.4) (6.1)	11.902 12.093	(3.3) 1.6	3.097 3.134	(0.3) 1.2	-	-	-	-
2010	4.890	1.4	13.565	4.5	25.397	3.4	40.565	5.2	10.587	6.4	12.608	4.3	3.181	1.5		-	-	-
2011	4.961	(1.5)	13.154	(3.0)	25.397	(1.1)	42.704	(3.7)	10.587	(5.1)	12.766	1.3	3.100	(2.5)	-	_	-	-
2012	4.391	(10.1)	12.912	(1.8)	23.414	(6.8)	40.116	(2.4)	9.982	(0.7)	11.865	(7.1)	3.100	(0.9)	-	-	-	-
2013	4.779	8.8	12.690	(1.7)	24.325	3.9	38.290	(4.6)	9.427	(5.6)	11.878	0.1	3.040	(1.0)	_	-	_	_
2015	5.064	6.0	13.022	2.6	26.517	9.0	38.353	0.2	9.632	2.2	12.008	1.1	3.095	1.8	-	_	_	_
2016	4.880	(3.6)	13.401	2.9	27.653	4.3	38.876	1.4	10.185	5.7	12.398	3.2	3.172	2.5	-	-	_	_
2017	4.893	0.3	13.745	2.6	26.974	(2.5)	41.381	6.4	10.257	0.7	12.692	2.4	3.209	1.2	31.758	_	8.614	_
2018	4.881	(0.2)	13.576	(1.2)	27.327	1.3	40.546	(2.0)	10.330	0.7	12.631	(0.5)	3.123	(2.7)	33.433	5.3	8.915	3.5
2019	4.869	(0.2)	13.316	(1.9)	20.254	(25.9)	43.955	8.4	11.674	13.0	12.706	0.6	3.104	(0.6)	35.231	5.4	9.331	4.7
2020	4.182	(14.1)	10.669	(19.9)	13.709	(32.3)	38.242	(13.0)	10.793	(7.5)	10.723	(15.6)	2.571	(17.2)	31.850	(9.6)	7.341	(21.3)
2021	2.868	(31.4)	7.287	(31.7)	11.489	(16.2)	25.709	(32.8)	7.490	(30.6)	7.799	(27.3)	1.591	(38.1)	10.947	(65.6)	4.840	(34.1)
2022	4.207	46.7	13.419	84.1	25.065	118.2	38.186	48.5	10.636	42.0	13.580	74.1	3.049	91.7	40.030	265.7	8.321	71.9
2023	4.216	0.2	13.023	(3.0)	27.013	7.8	37.787	(1.0)	11.085	4.2	12.984	(4.4)	2.926	(4.0)	33.132	(17.2)	8.308	(0.2)
2024	4.371	3.7	12.512	(3.9)	26.659	(1.3)	38.237	1.2	7.820	(29.5)	12.589	(3.0)	2.953	0.9	33.071	(0.2)	8.440	1.6
		enue (in	millions o	f dollars														
2007	1.119	-	58.915	-	29.926	-	56.924	-	10.805	-	24.652	-	7.154	-	-	-	-	-
2008	1.242	11.1	58.013	(1.5)	30.320	1.3	56.381	(1.0)	10.822	0.2	24.452	(0.8)	7.055	(1.4)	-	-	-	-
2009	1.255	1.0	58.467	0.8	30.840	1.7	55.224	(2.1)	10.512	(2.9)	23.740	(2.9)	7.020	(0.5)	-	-	-	-
2010	1.468	16.9	59.246	1.3	31.141	1.0	57.211	3.6	10.299	(2.0)	24.510	3.2	7.190	2.4	-	-	-	-
2011	1.622	10.5	59.906	1.1	31.856	2.3	58.288	1.9	10.658	3.5	25.105	2.4	7.233	0.6	-	-	-	-
2012	2.354	45.1	67.640	12.9	42.558	33.6	75.089	28.8	13.800	29.5	31.786	26.6	8.589	18.7	-	-	-	-
2013	3.993	69.6	73.602	8.8	46.871	10.1	87.559	16.6	16.450	19.2	36.113	13.6	9.577	11.5	-	-	-	-
2014 2015	5.007	25.4	94.931	29.0 2.5	69.466 77.033	48.2	114.982 115.294	31.3 0.3	22.863 24.330	39.0	54.346 55.630	50.5 2.4	14.616 15.198	52.6	-	-	-	-
	5.113	2.1 3.2	97.301	-		10.9 4.7	115.294	0.3	24.330	6.4 0.6				4.0	F4 107	-	10.054	-
2016 2017	5.279 5.619	6.5	98.677 101.363	1.4 2.7	80.650 80.207	(0.5)	115.994	7.1	25.478	4.1	35.598 36.562	(36.0) 2.7	15.156 15.419	(0.3) 1.7	54.197 58.795	8.5	10.054	7.1
2017	5.215	(7.2)	100.008	(1.3)	81.602	1.7	124.262	(2.1)	25.476	0.8	36.294	(0.7)	14.947	(3.1)	61.320	4.3	11.055	2.7
2018	5.298	1.6	97.883	(2.1)	61.575	(24.5)	132.376	8.9	29.335	14.3	36.714	1.2	14.897	(0.3)	62.688	2.2	11.529	4.3
2020	4.852	(8.4)	77.730	(20.6)	40.715	(33.9)	113.816	(14.0)	26.513	(9.6)	30.174	(17.8)	12.012	(19.4)	51.830	(17.3)	8.820	(23.5)
2021	3.377	(30.4)	52.666	(32.2)	32.941	(19.1)	74.337	(34.7)	18.388	(30.6)	20.418	(32.3)	7.279	(39.4)	18.781	(63.8)	5.873	(33.4)
2022	9.278	174.7	103.954	97.4	83.449	153.3	125.465	68.8	30.784	67.4	43.499	113.0	16.577	127.8	74.373	296.0	10.631	81.0
2023	6.652	(28.3)	99.059	(4.7)	87.269	4.6	120.463	(4.0)	30.822	0.1	39.486	(9.2)	15.169	(8.5)	62.638	(15.8)	10.443	(1.8)
2024	7.294	9.7	95.235	(3.9)	85.682	(1.8)	121.677	1.0	22.092	(28.3)	39.235	(0.6)	15.408	1.6	62.182	(0.7)	10.657	2.0

⁽¹⁾ The Key Bridge collapsed on March 26, 2024.

⁽²⁾ Data for the ICC and I-95 ETL are presented beginning in FY 2017 for trips and FY 2016 for revenue due to vehicle class availability in data reporting. ICC transactions reported are trips.



Table 2-3
MDTA Commercial Vehicle Historic Collected Transactions and Toll Revenue

			Kennedy					Henry					Nice/Mic	ldleton				
	Hatem E	Bridge	Highway		Harbor 1	unnel	Tuni	nel	Key Bri	dge ⁽¹⁾	Bay Br	idge	Brid	ge	ICC	(2)	I-95 E	TL ⁽²⁾
Fiscal																		
Year	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change
Commer	cial Vehic	le Transa	actions (in	millions)													
2007	0.276	-	1.966	-	0.849	-	3.909	-	1.233	-	1.086	-	0.306	-	-	-	-	-
2008	0.260	(5.6)	1.930	(1.8)	0.850	0.1	3.950	1.1	1.250	1.3	1.058	(2.5)	0.284	(7.3)	-	-	-	-
2009	0.098	(62.1)	1.848	(4.2)	0.739	(13.1)	3.595	(9.0)	1.087	(13.0)	0.850	(19.7)	0.250	(12.0)	-	-	-	-
2010	0.103	4.9	1.773	(4.1)	0.672	(9.0)	3.480	(3.2)	1.006	(7.5)	0.901	6.0	0.220	(12.1)	-	-	-	-
2011	0.110	6.3	1.810	2.1	0.720	7.1	3.590	3.2	1.060	5.4	0.950	5.4	0.220	0.1	-	-	-	-
2012	0.150	36.6	1.670	(7.7)	0.637	(11.6)	3.420	(4.7)	1.000	(5.7)	0.900	(5.3)	0.190	(13.6)	-	-	-	-
2013	0.172	15.0	1.670	-	0.558	(12.3)	3.460	1.2	0.940	(6.0)	0.871	(3.2)	0.190	-	-	-	-	-
2014	0.169	(1.8)	1.687	1.0	0.568	1.6	3.586	3.6	0.993	5.6	0.881	1.1	0.203	7.0	-	-	-	-
2015	0.182	7.3	1.668	(1.1)	0.580	2.2	3.494	(2.6)	0.995	0.2	0.847	(3.8)	0.211	3.5	-	-	-	-
2016	0.210	15.6	1.762	5.7	0.633	9.1	3.763	7.7	1.010	1.5	0.874	3.2	0.209	(0.6)	-	-	-	-
2017	0.210	(0.2)	1.803	2.3	0.639	0.8	3.999	6.3	1.054	4.4	0.895	2.4	0.210	0.5	0.875	-	0.400	-
2018	0.205	(2.3)	1.875	4.0	0.685	7.3	4.174	4.4	1.096	3.9	0.887	(0.8)	0.203	(3.7)	0.968	10.6	0.478	19.5
2019	0.220	7.3	1.889	0.7	0.585	(14.6)	4.292	2.8	1.153	5.2	0.887	(0.1)	0.211	4.0	1.056	9.1	0.538	12.5
2020	0.212	(3.7)	1.830	(3.1)	0.459	(21.5)	4.055	(5.5)	1.142	(0.9)	0.824	(7.1)	0.183	(13.3)	1.096	3.8	0.448	(16.6)
2021	0.185	(12.8)	1.542	(15.8)	0.442	(3.7)	3.328	(17.9)	0.947	(17.1)	0.656	(20.3)	0.123	(32.5)	0.378	(65.5)	0.362	(19.3)
2022	0.268	45.2	2.229	44.6	0.793	79.3	4.888	46.9	1.354	43.0	0.928	41.4	0.252	104.1	1.431	278.4	0.679	87.8
2023	0.252	(6.1)	2.187	(1.9)	0.942	18.8	4.619	(5.5)	1.368	1.0	0.875	(5.8)	0.231	(8.2)	0.992	(30.7)	0.680	0.2
2024	0.233	(7.5)	2.045	(6.5)	0.949	0.7	4.499	(2.6)	1.119	(18.2)	0.839	(4.1)	0.218	(5.6)	0.961	(3.1)	0.678	(0.3)
		le Rever	ue (in mil	lions)														
2007	2.699	-	35.704	-	5.183	-	27.761	-	8.437	-	9.741	-	3.277	-	-	-	-	-
2008	2.652	(1.7)	34.695	(2.8)	5.007	(3.4)	27.652	(0.4)	8.586	1.8	9.427	(3.2)	3.024	(7.7)	-	-	-	-
2009	0.811	(69.4)	36.671	5.7	4.770	(4.7)	27.746	0.3	8.051	(6.2)	8.770	(7.0)	2.750	(9.1)	-	-	-	-
2010	1.145	41.2	48.103	31.2	5.869	23.0	36.809	32.7	10.238	27.2	12.284	40.1	2.956	7.5	-	-	-	-
2011	1.197	4.5	47.484	(1.3)	5.995	2.1	37.029	0.6	10.117	(1.2)	12.512	1.9	2.916	(1.4)	-	-	-	-
2012	2.896	142.0	48.370	1.9	6.176	3.0	43.730	18.1	12.020	18.8	14.956	19.5	3.011	3.3	-	-	-	-
2013	3.972	37.2	51.104	5.7	6.203	0.5	51.125	16.9	13.170	9.6	17.263	15.4	3.588	19.1	-	-	-	-
2014	5.168	30.1	67.872	32.8	8.093	30.5	68.147	33.3	17.396	32.1	25.410	47.2	5.781	61.1	-	-	-	-
2015	6.076	17.6	69.234	2.0	8.505	5.1	70.486	3.4	18.645	7.2	25.529	0.5	6.214	7.5		-		-
2016	6.524	7.4	72.499	4.7	9.222	8.4	75.293	6.8	18.805	0.9	17.193	(32.7)	6.047	(2.7)	5.116	-	1.331	-
2017	6.468	(0.9)	74.448	2.7	9.254	0.3	79.920	6.1	19.464	3.5	17.399	1.2	6.046	(0.0)	5.522	7.9	1.713	28.7
2018	6.368	(1.6)	77.192	3.7	9.786	5.8	83.458	4.4	20.208	3.8	17.136	(94.9)	5.794	(4.2)	6.190	12.1	2.093	22.2
2019	6.874	8.0	78.103	1.2	8.690	(11.2)	85.073	1.9	21.196	4.9	17.030	(0.1)	6.072	4.8	6.627	7.1	2.392	14.3
2020	6.534	(5.0)	76.356	(2.2)	6.794	(21.8)	80.530	(5.3)	21.036	(0.8)	15.823	(7.1)	5.307	(12.6)	6.312	(4.8)	1.931	(19.3)
2021	5.806	(11.1)	64.566	(15.4)	6.906	1.6	67.193	(16.6)	17.360	(17.5)	12.625	(20.2)	3.532	(33.4)	2.532	(59.9)	1.880	(2.7)
2022	8.975	54.6	93.030	44.1	12.226	77.0	100.144	49.0	25.071	44.4	18.117	41.4	7.512	112.7	10.529	315.8	3.459	84.0
2023	8.348	(7.0)	92.890	(0.2)	14.928	22.1	95.041	(5.1)	25.968	3.6	16.948	(5.8)	6.890	(8.3)	7.513	(28.6)	3.513	1.6
2024	7.956	(4.7)	86.048	(7.4)	13.360	(10.5)	91.005	(4.2)	21.338	(17.8)	16.004	(4.1)	6.337	(8.0)	6.865	(8.6)	3.410	(2.9)

⁽¹⁾ The Key Bridge collapsed on March 26, 2024.

⁽²⁾ Data for the ICC and I-95 ETL are presented beginning in FY 2017 for trips and FY 2016 for revenue due to vehicle class availability in data reporting. ICC transactions reported are trips.



Table 2-4
MDTA Total Traffic Historic Collected Transactions and Toll Revenue


	Kennedy			edy		Fort Mc					Nice/Mid	ldleton						
	Hatem E	Bridge	Highv	way	Harbor 1	unnel	Tunr		Key Bri	dge ⁽¹⁾	Bay Br	idge	Brid	ge	ICO	C ⁽²⁾	I-95 E	TL ⁽²⁾
Fiscal																		
Year	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change
	nsactions	(in milli																
2007	5.561	-	14.840	-	25.740	-	44.854	-	12.203	-	13.494	-	3.418	-	-	-	-	-
2008	5.556	(0.1)	14.652	(1.3)	25.771	0.1	44.829	(0.1)	12.343	1.1	13.370	(0.9)	3.391	(0.8)	-	-	-	-
2009	5.040	(9.3)	14.642	(0.1)	25.534	(0.9)	43.446	(3.1)	11.688	(5.3)	12.752	(4.6)	3.347	(1.3)	-	-	-	-
2010	4.993	(0.9)	14.750	0.7	25.226	(1.2)	44.063	1.4	10.959	(6.2)	12.994	1.9	3.354	0.2	-	-	-	-
2011	5.070	1.5	15.375	4.2	26.117	3.5	46.294	5.1	11.647	6.3	13.558	4.3	3.401	1.4	-	-	-	-
2012	5.034	(0.7)	14.824	(3.6)	25.750	(1.4)	44.523	(3.8)	11.048	(5.1)	13.666	0.8	3.290	(3.3)	11.562	-	-	-
2013	4.563	(9.4)	14.582	(1.6)	23.973	(6.9)	43.576	(2.1)	10.922	(1.1)	12.736	(6.8)	3.261	(0.9)	17.198	48.7	-	-
2014	4.948	8.4	14.377	(1.4)	24.893	3.8	41.875	(3.9)	10.419	(4.6)	12.759	0.2	3.243	(0.6)	20.476	19.1	-	-
2015	5.246	6.0	14.690	2.2	27.098	8.9	41.847	(0.1)	10.627	2.0	12.856	0.8	3.305	1.9	24.118	17.8	3.483	-
2016	5.090	(3.0)	15.163	3.2	28.287	4.4	42.639	1.9	11.195	5.3	13.272	3.2	3.381	2.3	29.975	24.3	8.048	131.0
2017	5.102	0.2	15.548	2.5	27.612	(2.4)	45.380	6.4	11.311	1.0	13.587	2.4	3.419	1.1	32.634	8.9	9.014	12.0
2018	5.086	(0.3)	15.451	(0.6)	28.012	1.4	44.720	(1.5)	11.425	1.0	13.518	(0.5)	3.325	(2.8)	34.401	5.4	9.393	4.2
2019	5.089	0.1	15.205	(1.6)	20.839	(25.6)	48.247	7.9	12.827	12.3	13.593	0.5	3.315	(0.3)	36.287	5.5	9.868	5.1
2020	4.394	(13.6)	12.499	(17.8)	14.168	(32.0)	42.297	(12.3)	11.935	(6.9)	11.547	(15.1)	2.753	(16.9)	32.946	(9.2)	7.789	(21.1)
2021	3.052	(30.5)	8.829	(29.4)	11.931	(15.8)	29.037	(31.3)	8.437	(29.3)	8.456	(26.8)	1.714	(37.8)	11.325	(65.6)	5.202	(33.2)
2022	4.475	46.6	15.648	77.2	25.858	116.7	43.074	48.3	11.990	42.1	14.508	71.6	3.301	92.6	41.461	266.1	9.000	73.0
2023	4.468	(0.2)	15.210	(2.8)	27.955	8.1	42.406	(1.6)	12.453	3.9	13.859	(4.5)	3.157	(4.4)	34.124	(17.7)	8.988	(0.1)
2024	4.604	3.0	14.557	(4.3)	27.608	(1.2)	42.736	0.8	8.939	(28.2)	13.428	(3.1)	3.171	0.4	34.032	(0.3)	9.118	1.4
Total Re	venue (in	millions	of dollars)														
2007	3.817	-	94.619	-	35.109	-	84.685	-	19.243	-	34.393	-	10.432	-	-	-	-	-
2008	3.894	2.0	92.707	(2.0)	35.328	0.6	84.032	(8.0)	19.408	0.9	33.879	(1.5)	10.079	(3.4)	-	-	-	-
2009	2.066	(46.9)	95.138	2.6	35.610	0.8	82.970	(1.3)	18.563	(4.4)	32.510	(4.0)	9.770	(3.1)	-	-	-	-
2010	2.613	26.5	107.349	12.8	37.010	3.9	94.020	13.3	20.537	10.6	36.794	13.2	10.146	3.8	-	-	-	-
2011	2.819	7.9	107.390	0.0	37.851	2.3	95.316	1.4	20.775	1.2	37.617	2.2	10.149	0.0	1.474	-	-	-
2012	5.250	86.2	116.010	8.0	48.734	28.8	118.819	24.7	25.820	24.3	46.742	24.3	11.601	14.3	18.063	1,125.4	-	-
2013	7.966	51.7	124.706	7.5	53.074	8.9	138.684	16.7	29.619	14.7	53.376	14.2	13.165	13.5	39.586	119.2	-	-
2014	10.174	27.7	162.803	30.5	77.559	46.1	183.130	32.0	40.260	35.9	79.756	49.4	20.397	54.9	48.029	21.3	-	-
2015	11.189	10.0	166.535	2.3	85.538	10.3	185.780	1.4	42.975	6.7	81.159	1.8	21.412	5.0	56.018	16.6	6.146	-
2016	11.803	5.5	171.176	2.8	89.872	5.1	191.287	3.0	43.279	0.7	52.791	(35.0)	21.203	(1.0)	59.312	5.9	11.385	85.3
2017	12.087	2.4	175.811	2.7	89.461	(0.5)	204.182	6.7	44.942	3.8	53.960	2.2	21.465	1.2	64.317	8.4	12.478	9.6
2018	11.582	(4.2)	177.199	0.8	91.388	2.2	205.063	0.4	45.878	2.1	53.429	(1.0)	20.741	(3.4)	67.511	5.0	13.148	5.4
2019	12.172	5.1	175.987	(0.7)	70.265	(23.1)	217.449	6.0	50.531	10.1	53.744	0.6	20.968	1.1	69.316	2.7	13.921	5.9
2020	11.386	(6.5)	154.086	(12.4)	47.509	(32.4)	194.346	(10.6)	47.549	(5.9)	45.997	(14.4)	17.319	(17.4)	58.142	(16.1)	10.751	(22.8)
2021	9.184	(19.3)	117.231	(23.9)	39.847	(16.1)	141.531	(27.2)	35.748	(24.8)	33.042	(28.2)	10.811	(37.6)	21.313	(63.3)	7.753	(27.9)
2022	18.253	98.8	196.984	68.0	95.675	140.1	225.610	59.4	55.855	56.2	61.615	86.5	24.089	122.8	84.903	298.4	14.090	81.7
2023	15.000	(17.8)	191.949	(2.6)	102.197	6.8	215.504	(4.5)	56.790	1.7	56.434	(8.4)	22.059	(8.4)	70.151	(17.4)	13.956	(0.9)
2024	15.250	1.7	181.283	(5.6)	99.042	(3.1)	212.682	(1.3)	43.430	(23.5)	55.239	(2.1)	21.745	(1.4)	69.047	(1.6)	14.067	0.8
		-		, <i>-</i> /		11		7		,/		, -/		7		1 1		

⁽¹⁾ The Key Bridge collapsed on March 26, 2024.


⁽²⁾ Data for the ICC and I-95 ETL are presented beginning in FY 2017 for trips and FY 2016 for revenue due to vehicle class availability in data reporting. ICC transactions reported are trips.


















Table 2-5 summarizes the average annual percent change in passenger car and commercial vehicle transactions and revenue trends by facility during the Great Recession years (FY 2007 to 2009), post-recession years (FY 2009 to 2019), and pandemic/post-pandemic years from 2019 to 2024 for the Legacy facilities based on the data provided in **Table 2-2** and **Table 2-3**. For all facilities, including the ICC and I-95 ETL, average annual percent change in passenger car and commercial vehicles transactions/trips and revenue are shown for the period from 2017 to 2019 due to data by vehicle class availability for the ICC and I-95 ETLs. FY 2019 to 2024 is shown for all facilities to show the period impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, cashless conversion, and back-office transition.

Table 2-5
Average Annual Percent Change in Collected Transactions and Revenue by Facility

	Hataus	V	Hauban	Fort	Key	Davi	Nice/							
-1 157	Hatem	Kennedy		McHenry		Bay	Middleton	(2)	(2)					
Fiscal Year	Bridge	Highway	Tunnel	Tunnel	Bridge ⁽¹⁾	Bridge	Bridge	ICC (2)	I-95 ETL (2)					
Passenger Car	Transactio	ns (in mill	ions)											
2007 to 2009	(3.3)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(1.3)	(1.7)	(2.1)	(0.2)	-	-					
2009 to 2019	(0.1)	0.4	(2.0)	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.0	-	-					
2017 to 2019	(0.2)	(1.6)	(13.3)	3.1	6.7	0.1	(1.6)	5.3	4.1					
2019 to 2024	(2.1)	(1.2)	5.6	(2.7)	(7.7)	(0.2)	(1.0)	(1.3)	(2.0)					
Passenger Car Revenue (in millions of dollars)														
2007 to 2009	14.8	(1.0)	3.8	(3.8)	(3.4)	(4.7)	(2.4)	-	-					
2009 to 2019	38.7	13.2	17.9	22.8	27.0	11.1	19.5	-	-					
2017 to 2019	(2.9)	(1.7)	(12.4)	3.2	7.3	0.2	(1.7)	3.3	3.5					
2019 to 2024	6.6	(0.5)	6.8	(1.7)	(5.5)	1.3	0.7	(0.2)	(1.6)					
Commercial Ve	hicle Trar	sactions (i	n millions	s)										
2007 to 2009	(40.2)	(3.0)	(6.7)	(4.1)	(6.1)	(11.5)	(9.7)	-	-					
2009 to 2019	8.4	0.2	(2.3)	1.8	0.6	0.4	(1.7)	-	-					
2017 to 2019	2.4	2.3	(4.3)	3.6	4.6	(0.4)	0.1	9.8	16.0					
2019 to 2024	1.2	1.6	10.2	0.9	(0.6)	(1.1)	0.7	(1.9)	4.8					
Commercial Ve	hicle Rev	enue (in m	illions of	dollars)	•		•							
2007 to 2009	(112.9)	3.4	(10.2)	(0.1)	(5.8)	(12.8)	(21.0)	-	-					
2009 to 2019	59.6	19.6	15.5	29.6	25.4	17.2	20.6	-	-					
2017 to 2019	3.1	2.4	(3.1)	3.2	4.4	(1.1)	0.2	9.6	18.2					
2019 to 2024	3.0	2.0	9.0	1.4	0.1	(1.2)	0.9	0.7	7.3					

⁽¹⁾ The Key Bridge collapsed on March 26, 2024.

As shown in **Table 2-5**, between FY 2007 and FY 2009, the passenger car transactions decreased on all seven Legacy facilities. The smallest decrease in passenger car transactions during this period was 0.2 percent per annum on the Harbor Tunnel and Nice/Middleton Bridge. The commercial vehicle transactions decreased significantly between FY 2007 and FY 2009 on all the legacy facilities, with the largest decrease of 40.2 percent per annum on the Hatem Bridge. Following these decreases associated with the Great Recession, continued economic uncertainty and several toll increases resulted in the total Legacy system transactions decreasing by 3.4 percent from 116.5 million in FY 2009 to 112.5 million in FY 2014. Due to the toll increases, the Legacy system revenue grew from about 277 million in FY 2009 to 595 million in FY 2015. Total



⁽²⁾AAPC for ICC and I-95 ETL transactions/trips and revenue presented beginning FY 2017 due to vehicle class data availability.

transactions increased by 2.8 percent in FY 2015 reaching FY 115.7 million, mostly due to the high growth on Hatem Bridge and Baltimore Harbor Tunnel, where transactions increased by 6.0 percent and 8.9 percent respectively, compared to FY 2014. The revenue decreased in FY 2016 by 2.2 percent due to the toll decrease implemented on July 1, 2015. The traffic increases between FY 2015 and FY 2017 on the system were the result of strong economic performance and the FY 2016 toll decrease. This upward trend came to an end in FY 2018, when the system transactions decreased by 0.3 percent. In FY 2019, the transactions decreased further by 2.0 percent, driven especially by the 25.6 drop in transactions on the Baltimore Harbor Tunnel due to construction. Revenue followed a similar trend decreasing by 2.1 percent and 0.7 percent in FY 2018 and FY 2019 respectively. Overall, between FY 2009 and FY 2019, the total legacy system transactions increased by 0.2 percent per annum and revenue increased by 7.8 per annum. Beginning in March 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic caused significant reductions in traffic on the MDTA system. This caused the FY 2020 Legacy system transaction to decrease by 16.4 percent and revenue to decrease by 13.8 percent compared to FY 2019. In FY 2021, ongoing pandemic impacts, back office transition collection issues, and the conversion to cashless tolling have caused a further 28.3 percent decline in transactions over FY 2020. In FY 2022, transactions and revenue increased by 66.3 and 75.0 percent, respectively, over the prior year. This is due to ongoing COVID-19 recovery as well as collections on transactions from previous years due to the business rule changes. In FY 2023, the Legacy system transactions increased by 0.5 percent and revenue decreased by 2.7, a result of fewer transactions collected from prior years after termination of the customer assistance plan. FY 2024 declined by 3.7 and 4.7 percent for transactions and revenue, respectively, primarily due to the impact from the Key Bridge collapse and video revenue collections. The initial impacts of the Key Bridge collapse on the Harbor Crossings and the residual impacts after the reopening of the Port of Baltimore will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 4 and how the impacts were considered in the forecast.

For the Intercounty Connector, tolling began on the second segment of the ICC from MD-97/Georgia Avenue to I-95 in FY 2012, making FY 2013 the first full fiscal year of I-370 to I-95 operations on the ICC. Trips then increased by 19.1 percent in FY 2014. This was due primarily to facility "ramp-up," when motorists adjust their travel patterns over time as they become aware of a new facility and the benefits that it offers over their current route of travel. This ramp-up period continued into FY 2015, with a 17.8 percent growth in trips and a 16.6 percent growth in toll revenue. FY 2015 growth also included the opening of the final segment of the ICC in November 2014; a 1.53-mile extension on the eastern end between I-95 and US 1. Trips in FY 2016 grew at a faster rate than FY 2015, which can be attributed in part to the toll reduction implemented on July 1, 2015. Toll revenue for FY 2016 was 5.9 percent higher than FY 2015, which reflects continued robust growth in trips offset in part by the negative revenue impact of the lower tolls. Trips growth for FY 2017 was strong at 8.9 percent. While FY 2018 and FY 2019 had trip growth around 5.5 percent. This strong growth is likely due to increasing regional population and employment as well as the ICC serving as a congestion relief route. As was seen with the Legacy facilities, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a 9.2 decrease in trips and 16.1 percent decrease in revenue in FY 2020 compared to FY 2019. FY 2021 transactions and revenue were 65.6 and 63.3 percent lower than FY 2020, respectively, due to ongoing pandemic impacts, back office transition collection issues, and the conversion to cashless tolling. In FY 2022 transactions and revenue nearly tripled over FY 2021 due to processing of transactions from the previous fiscal years as well as some recovery from COVID-19 traffic impacts. In FY 2023,



transactions and revenue decreased by around 17 percent, resulting from lower collections on transactions from prior years after termination of the customer assistance plan. As video collections from backlog transactions continued to diminish in FY 2024, transactions and revenue decreased over FY 2023 by 0.3 and 1.6 percent, respectively. Toll revenue of \$69.0 million shows a return to pre-pandemic levels, considering the FY 2019 toll revenue was \$69.3 million.

The I-95 ETLs opened in FY 2015, and FY 2016 was the first full fiscal year of operations. In FY 2017, transactions and revenue on the ETLs increased by 12.0 percent and 9.6 percent, respectively, compared to FY 2016. This was due primarily to facility ramp-up, the phenomenon that occurs with the opening of a new facility as explained above. This growth continued in FY 2018 and FY 2019, when transactions increased by 4.2 percent and 5.1 percent, respectively, over their previous years. Revenue grew at slightly higher levels than transactions with a 5.4 percent growth in FY 2018 and 5.9 percent growth in FY 2019. Due to COVID-19 pandemic, FY 2020 transactions and revenue decreased significantly by 21.1 percent and 22.8 percent, respectively, compared to FY 2019. Ongoing pandemic impacts, back-office transition collection issues, and the conversion to cashless tolling, caused FY 2021 transactions to be 33.2 percent lower than FY 2020 and revenue to be 27.9 percent lower. In FY 2022, transactions and revenue were 73 and 81.7 percent higher than FY 2021, respectively. Whereas, in FY 2023, transactions decreased by 0.1 percent and revenue decreased by 0.9 percent. In FY 2024, transaction and revenue growth stabilized to 1.4 percent and 0.8 percent, respectively, over FY 2023.

2.2.2 In-Lane Traffic

This section provides a brief review of the historical raw in-lane traffic trends for each of the seven MDTA Legacy facilities, I-95 ETLs, and the ICC. Data shown is for traffic at the toll gantry locations. Data for the ICC, which has several toll gantries, is shown as the total in-lane traffic at all toll gantries. This data allows analysis of traffic trends without the impacts of recent collection related challenges. **Table 2-6** summarizes this data annually for FY 2019 through FY 2024 for passenger cars and commercial vehicles.

Considering FY 2020 had just three and a half months of COVID-19 impacted travel, FY 2021 made a strong recovery over FY 2020 particularly on the Kennedy Highway and the Bay Bridge for passenger cars. Due to the completion of construction on the Harbor Tunnel, passenger car traffic has increased significantly over FY 2020 and has pulled some traffic back that had diverted to the Fort McHenry and Francis Scott Key Bridge. Commercial vehicle traffic has made a strong recovery and experienced significant growth over FY 2020 for all Legacy facilities. In FY 2022, all facilities had positive growth over FY 2021 with the Kennedy Highway, Bay Bridge, and Nice Bridge maintaining higher growth than the other facilities. In FY 2023, all facilities had positive growth, excluding the Hatem Bridge and Fort McHenry Tunnel which both decreased by around one percent for total vehicles. In FY 2024, the Key Bridge collapse influenced the Harbor Crossings, but the Legacy system overall had 0.5 percent growth for passenger cars and a 1.6 percent decline for commercial vehicles. The decline in commercial vehicles aligns with our growth forecast which predicted that the high growth that began after the pandemic from increases in e-commerce was not sustainable.

The ICC and I-95 ETLs did not recover at the same pace as the Legacy facilities after the pandemic due to their larger commuting share of traffic and the congestion relief nature of these two



facilities. This sector of traffic dropped significantly as remote working increased during the pandemic. Due to this, the ICC declined by 13.8 percent year-over-year in both FY 2020 and FY 2021 for passenger cars. The I-95 ETLs fared worse in FY 2021 and declined by almost 21 percent, compared to a decline of 17.5 percent in FY 2020. In FY 2022, the ICC and ETLs had positive growth of 24.2 and 34.4 percent, respectively, for passenger cars. Commercial vehicles make up a very small portion of traffic on both of these facilities, but similar to the Legacy facilities they showed less impact due to COVID-19 in FY 2020 and were recovered to 2019 levels between FY 2021 and 2022. In FY 2023, passenger car traffic on the ICC and ETL grew by 7.6 and 0.8, respectively. Similarly, commercial vehicle traffic on the ICC and ETL increased 0.9 and 3.3 percent, respectively. FY 2024 showed strong growth on both facilities of 5.6 and 5.8 percent for the ICC and ETL, respectively. This growth was primarily due to increasing passenger car traffic.

Figure 2-2 provides a graphical representation of these year-over-year trends for in-lane data from FY 2019 through FY 2023 for the total Legacy System passenger cars and commercial vehicles. **Figure 2-3** and **Figure 2-4** show the same information for the Intercounty Connector and I-95 ETLs.




Table 2-6
MDTA In-Lane Traffic by Fiscal Year

				Fort McHenry						Nice/Mic	ddleton							
	Hatem Bridge		Hatem Bridge Kennedy High		y Harbor Tunnel		Tun	nel	Key Bridge ⁽¹⁾		Bay Bridge		Bridge		ICC	(2)	I-95 E	TL ⁽²⁾
Fiscal																		
Year	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change
In-Lane Passenger Car Traffic (in millions)																		
2019	4.898	-	13.530	-	20.908	-	44.617	-	11.866	-	12.747	-	3.147	-	104.334	-	9.349	-
2020	4.450	(9.1)	11.367	(16.0)	15.189	(27.3)	40.757	(8.7)	11.821	(0.4)	11.703	(8.2)	2.803	(10.9)	89.920	(13.8)	7.709	(17.5)
2021	4.137	(7.0)	11.472	0.9	17.964	18.3	37.951	(6.9)	10.654	(9.9)	11.510	(1.6)	2.652	(5.4)	77.548	(13.8)	6.100	(20.9)
2022	4.535	9.6	13.445	17.2	26.441	47.2	38.986	2.7	10.936	2.6	12.443	8.1	3.076	16.0	96.283	24.2	8.199	34.4
2023	4.494	(0.9)	13.547	0.8	28.052	6.1	38.502	(1.2)	10.974	0.4	12.674	1.9	3.093	0.6	103.577	7.6	8.263	0.8
2024	4.559	1.5	13.514	(0.2)	28.700	2.3	41.093	6.7	7.982	(27.3)	12.930	2.0	3.097	0.1	109.661	5.9	8.760	6.0
In-Lane Co	In-Lane Commercial Vehicle Traffic (in millions)																	
2019	0.228	-	1.995	-	0.794	-	4.535	-	1.209	-	0.915	-	0.215	-	3.595	-	0.558	-
2020	0.228	(0.3)	2.022	1.4	0.652	(17.8)	4.496	(0.8)	1.247	3.2	0.923	0.9	0.202	(6.4)	3.528	(1.9)	0.490	(12.1)
2021	0.249	9.4	2.210	9.3	0.681	4.5	4.907	9.1	1.305	4.6	0.943	2.2	0.215	6.4	3.588	1.7	0.478	(2.6)
2022	0.270	8.4	2.349	6.3	0.921	35.2	5.156	5.1	1.402	7.4	0.946	0.3	0.265	23.3	3.758	4.7	0.678	41.9
2023	0.259	(4.1)	2.360	0.4	1.051	14.1	5.042	(2.2)	1.447	3.2	0.924	(2.4)	0.247	(6.8)	3.791	0.9	0.700	3.3
2024	0.256	(1.1)	2.350	(0.4)	1.071	1.9	5.115	1.4	1.210	(16.3)	0.912	(1.3)	0.234	(4.9)	3.732	(1.6)	0.724	3.5
Total In-La	ne Traffic (in million	s)															
2019	5.126	1	15.525	-	21.702	-	49.151	-	13.075	-	13.662	-	3.363	-	107.930	-	9.907	-
2020	4.677	(8.8)	13.389	(13.8)	15.842	(27.0)	45.253	(7.9)	13.068	(0.1)	12.626	(7.6)	3.004	(10.7)	93.448	(13.4)	8.200	(17.2)
2021	4.386	(6.2)	13.682	2.2	18.646	17.7	42.858	(5.3)	11.959	(8.5)	12.453	(1.4)	2.866	(4.6)	81.136	(13.2)	6.578	(19.8)
2022	4.805	9.6	15.795	15.4	27.362	46.7	44.141	3.0	12.338	3.2	13.390	7.5	3.340	16.6	100.041	23.3	8.877	34.9
2023	4.753	(1.1)	15.907	0.7	29.103	6.4	43.544	(1.4)	12.421	0.7	13.598	1.6	3.340	(0.0)	107.368	7.3	8.963	1.0
2024	4.815	1.3	15.864	(0.3)	29.771	2.3	46.208	6.1	9.193	(26.0)	13.842	1.8	3.331	(0.3)	113.393	5.6	9.484	5.8

⁽¹⁾ The Key Bridge collapsed on March 26, 2024.




Data for the ICC and I-95 ETL are presented beginning in FY 2017 for trips and FY 2016 for revenue due to vehicle class availability in data reporting. ICC transactions reported are trips.









TRANSACTIONS PER WEEK BY FISCAL YEAR TOTAL LEGACY SYSTEM







TRANSACTIONS PER WEEK BY FISCAL YEAR INTERCOUNTY CONNECTOR







TRANSACTIONS PER WEEK BY FISCAL YEAR I-95 EXPRESS TOLL LANES (ETL)

2.3 Historical Traffic on Other Major Highways

In order to better understand regional traffic growth patterns, historical traffic counts on select competing major routes were reviewed dating back to 2007. These roads include interstates and major highways that compete with or complement the MDTA Legacy facilities. The data presented in this section are based on calendar year average annual daily traffic volumes and associated growth rates at each location. Historical average annual daily traffic volumes and annual growth rates on six Maryland State Highway Authority (MSHA) roadways are presented in **Table 2-7**.

As shown in Table 2-7, the traffic volumes on the northern region MSHA roadway, US 1 (south of Sandy Hook Road), followed a more positive trend compared to the northern MDTA facilities, with an average annual growth of 1.1 percent between 2009 and 2019. This compares to a transaction growth of 0.4 percent for passenger cars and 0.2 percent for commercial vehicles during this period on the Kennedy highway. Toll increases implemented during this period would contribute to the more modest growth trends on the MDTA facilities. From 2019 to 2023, US 1 grew at an average annual rate of 2.7 percent, indicating recovery from COVID-19 impacts plus some additional growth.

The historical average annual daily traffic volumes and annual growth rates for the central region MSHA roadways are represented in Table 2-7 by I-95 (N of MD 100), I-97 (N of MD 176) and I-695 (E of MD 146), which are all located in the Baltimore area. Traffic volumes on the MSHA facilities decreased by an average of 2.0 percent in 2008, most likely due to the impacts of the Great Recession, while traffic volumes on the Central Region MDTA facilities did not experience significant effects of the recession until 2009 with volumes decreasing by 2.7 percent. Traffic volume decreases on the central MDTA facilities also occurred in years 2012 and 2013 due to toll rate increases. During the 2009 to 2019 post-recession period, traffic has increased by 0.2 percent on the MDTA facilities and 0.5 percent on the MSHA facilities in the central region. In the period from 2019 to 2023, MDTA facilities faired better in that they increased by 0.3 percent per year compared to MSHA facilities which decreased by 1.7 percent.

The historical average annual daily traffic volumes and annual growth rates on two southern region MSHA roadway is represented by MD 295 (N of MD 100) and US 301 (S of MD 234) in Table 2-7. During the 2009 to 2019 post-recession period, traffic has increased modestly, averaging 0.5 percent per annum on the southern MDTA facilities (Nice/Middleton and Bay Bridges) and 1.3 percent on the combined MSHA facilities. Traffic volume decreases on the southern MDTA facilities occurred in years 2012 and 2013 due to toll rate increases. Following this, both on the MDTA and on the combined Southern Region MSHA facilities, traffic grew at relatively higher levels outside of the pandemic. In the period from 2019 to 2023, the combined southern MSHA roadways declined by 1.4 percent per year, whereas the southern MDTA facilities increased by a modest 0.2 percent per year.



Table 2-7
Average Annual Daily Traffic Trends on Major Highways

	North	ern			Cent	ral				Sout	hern	
	US 1 S of	Sandy	I-95	5	I-9 ⁻	7	I-69	5	MD 2	295	US 3	01
	Hook I	Road	N of MI	D 100	N of M	D 176	E of M	146	N of MD 100		S of MD 234	
Calendar												
Year	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change	Value	Change
2007	11,600	-	191,900	-	102,600	-	155,300	-	91,600	-	22,500	-
2008	11,100	(4.3)	188,000	(2.0)	100,600	(1.9)	152,200	(2.0)	88,900	(2.9)	21,400	(4.9)
2009	11,300	1.8	192,100	2.2	105,100	4.5	153,700	1.0	88,900	-	21,800	1.9
2010	10,100	(10.6)	192,900	0.4	105,500	0.4	150,900	(1.8)	89,400	0.6	22,500	3.2
2011	9,900	(2.0)	193,100	0.1	105,600	0.1	151,000	0.1	93,400	4.5	22,100	(1.8)
2012	9,900	-	191,300	(0.9)	106,200	0.6	151,800	0.5	92,600	(0.9)	22,100	-
2013	9,300	(6.1)	193,000	0.9	107,200	0.9	149,500	(1.5)	92,800	0.2	20,800	(5.9)
2014	9,300	-	192,800	(0.1)	107,100	(0.1)	149,300	(0.1)	107,700	16.1	20,800	-
2015	10,100	8.6	207,300	7.5	111,800	4.4	160,500	7.5	108,500	0.7	22,600	8.7
2016	11,500	13.9	201,600	(2.7)	108,700	(2.8)	150,200	(6.4)	103,300	(4.8)	21,900	(3.1)
2017	11,800	2.6	206,400	2.4	111,300	2.4	153,800	2.4	105,400	2.0	22,400	2.3
2018	11,700	(0.8)	205,200	(0.6)	121,100	8.8	152,900	(0.6)	104,500	(0.9)	22,200	(0.9)
2019	12,600	7.7	180,200	(12.2)	122,000	0.7	161,300	5.5	104,500	-	21,800	(1.8)
2020	10,971	(12.9)	145,051	(19.5)	98,182	(19.5)	129,811	(19.5)	87,223	(16.5)	18,031	(17.3)
2021	13,032	18.8	164,052	13.1	104,800	6.7	146,822	13.1	93,880	7.6	21,422	18.8
2022	12,713	(2.4)	165,533	0.9	105,741	0.9	148,143	0.9	94,441	0.6	20,893	(2.5)
2023	13,650	7.4	173,770	5.0	108,602	2.7	152,560	3.0	95,862	1.5	23,360	11.8
Average A	nnual Perc	ent Chang	ge									
2007 to 20	09	(1.3)		0.1		1.2		(0.5)		(1.5)		(1.6)
2009 to 20	19	1.1		(0.6)		1.5		0.5		1.6		-
2019 to 20	23 ⁽¹⁾	2.7		(1.2)		(3.8)		(1.8)		(2.8)		2.3

Source: MSHA AADT Reports.



Trends over the past 13-year period for both the MDTA system and the other major highways were used as a reference in assessing the estimated ten-year traffic growth for the traffic and revenue forecasts presented in Chapter 4.


2.4 MDTA E-ZPass® Market Share

In recent years, electronic toll collection has played an increasingly important role in transaction processing for toll agencies across the nation. MDTA collects electronic tolls via E-ZPass® and after the official conversion to All-Electronic Tolling it remains an important component of MDTA tolling. **Figure 2-5** provides a graphic summary of the E-ZPass® market share for each of the seven Legacy facilities, the total Legacy system, the Intercounty Connector, and the I-95 Express Toll Lanes (ETL) from July 2016 through June 2024 for collected transactions.

From July 2019 to February 2020, E-ZPass® transactions accounted for an average of 80.8 percent of the total Legacy system transactions, an increase of 2.9 percent over the same period in FY 2019. Of these, 66.8 percent were made by Maryland E-ZPass® customers, including in-state E-ZPass® customers, commuter plans, shopper plans and Hatem Bridge plans. Over the same time period, in terms of individual facilities, the Thomas J. Hatem Memorial Bridge had the greatest percentage of E-ZPass® customers at 96.3 percent of total transactions over this time period, primarily due to the Hatem Bridge Toll Plans and its conversion to cashless tolling prior to March. The Governor Harry W. Nice Memorial/Senator Thomas "Mac" Middleton Bridge had the lowest percentage of E-ZPass® transactions during this time period at 64.4 percent. On a total system basis, between July 2019 and February 2020, cash transactions accounted for a combined 17.0 percent of all transactions, a decrease of 3.3 percent over same period in FY 2019. Video transactions accounted for 2.1 percent of all transactions made between July 2019 and February 2020.

On March 17, 2020 MDTA implemented systemwide cashless tolling to prevent the potential spread of COVID-19 during exchanges of cash at toll booths. Additionally, mailing of Notice of Toll Due (NOTD) video invoices was paused until October 2020. Due to these changes and other collection challenges related to the back-office transition, E-ZPass® transactions accounted for 94 percent of all Legacy system transactions in April 2020 and about 98 percent of the total transactions in May and June 2020. The pause of the NOTD invoicing mailings and the back-office transition caused FY 2021 and FY 2022 E-ZPass® trends to be more volatile than previous years. In particular, the ICC shows a significant drop in E-ZPass® market share in FY 2021 due to challenges with trip reconstruction related to the back-office transition. By the end of FY 2021 in July, E-ZPass® market share for all facilities was returning to levels seen initially after transition to all-electronic tolling. In FY 2022, as more NOTD invoices were mailed and paid from the paused period, the E-ZPass market share became volatile again as higher shares of video tolls were being paid. Due to this, the share of E-ZPass declined throughout the fiscal year before rebounding in May and June 2022. In FY 2023, there was a significant a drop in E-ZPass market share in November 2022 when the customer assistance plan terminated, causing another influx of video transactions being paid. Through FY 2024, occasional dips in market share are noticeable, particularly on the Nice Bridge, but the overall trend appears to be steadying compared to the volatility seen since FY 2021.





Note: FY 2021 Intercounty Connector toll revenue collection impacted by delay in trip reconstruction.

FY 2022 and FY 2023 impacted by video toll collection from delayed NOTDs from business rule changes.

FY 2024 impacted by Key Bridge collapse in March 2024.



Collected Transaction E-ZPass® Marketshare Trends by Facility

Chapter 3

Corridor Growth Review

3.1 Introduction

Trips on Maryland's tolled facilities are made for many purposes, including commuting, business, commerce, and recreation. Preparing facility traffic forecasts requires evaluating socioeconomic data (SED) that drive trip purposes, such as population, employment, and income. Therefore, historical and projected socioeconomic data are important in developing traffic forecasts. Socioeconomic data are provided by public and private sources for different geographies and time periods. This introduction overviews the socioeconomic data reviewed.

<u>Variables</u> – Include population, employment, unemployment rates, real per capita income, real gross domestic product (GDP), gross regional product (GRP), inflation, and fuel prices.

<u>Geographies</u> – Geographies profiled include national and census divisions (U.S., Mid Atlantic, South Atlantic), as well as Maryland and six sub-state regions, as mapped in **Figure 3-1**.

<u>Sources</u> – Government and private sector data sources include:

- United States Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA)
- United States Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)
- Congressional Budget Office (CBO)
- United States Census Bureau (Census)
- Energy Information Administration (EIA)
- Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC)
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
- The State of Maryland Department of Planning State Data Center (MD SDC)
- Woods & Poole Economics, Inc., 2024 Complete Economic and Demographic Data Source (WP24)¹

<u>Analysis Horizon</u> – Historical socioeconomic data are presented annually, including annual growth rates, and compound annual growth rates (CAGR) in the preceding decade. Forecasts are provided for the next decade in five-year increments (2023-2028 and 2028-2033), as available.

¹Woods & Poole Economics, Inc. Washington, D.C. Copyright 2024. Woods & Poole does not guarantee the accuracy of this data. The use of this data and the conclusion drawn from it are solely the responsibility of CDM Smith.



3-1




Figure 3-1 Geographies Profiled



3.2 Recent Growth Trend Explanatory Factors

This section provides local explanatory context for traffic trends on the MDTA facilities, specifically focusing on the Port of Baltimore cargo tonnage, which impacts commercial vehicle traffic, and BWI airport passenger volumes, which impact Intercounty Connector passenger vehicle traffic.

3.2.1 Port of Baltimore Cargo Tons

Shipping and port activity were indirectly impacted by the pandemic, although total general cargo tonnage did not materially change relative to historical trends. Port trends are typically correlated with commercial vehicle movements, as ports are intermodal connectors to surface transportation modes. Port of Baltimore activity affects transactions on the MDTA Legacy facilities, particularly at the Central Region facilities.

Figure 3-2 compares monthly Port of Baltimore total general cargo tons to total Legacy commercial vehicle (CV) in-lane traffic from July 2018 through June 2024. Tonnage data are sourced from the Maryland Department of Transportation, Port Administration (MPA). Port of Baltimore exhibited some initial cargo declines early in the pandemic (April and May 2020), which subsequently rebounded during the summer, and returned to more typical levels and monthly fluctuations by the second half of 2022. Legacy facility CV transactions and port tonnage exhibit mostly paralleled 12-month moving averages; however, the monthly correlation is relatively weak.

Port volumes effectively ceased in April and May 2024 when the Francis Scott Key Bridge collapsed, effectively cutting off the Patapsco shipping channel and Port cargo vessel access. As debris was mostly cleared by June, some cargo activity resumed, with levels less than half historical averages. Reduced cargo trends are likely to continue through the remainder of 2024 (and likely beyond) as salvage and repair operations continue.






Figure 3-2
Port of Baltimore Cargo Tons vs. Legacy Facilities In-Lane CV Traffic, FY 2018 – 2024 (Monthly)

3.2.2 Baltimore/Washington International Airport (BWI) Passengers

Another transportation metric relating to leisure and business travel is airport activity. The Baltimore/Washington International Airport (BWI) passenger data (combined monthly enplanements and deplanements, sourced directly from the airport) are compared against the Intercounty Connector (ICC) monthly passenger car (PC) transactions, per **Figure 3-3**.

When domestic and international travel was halted in April 2020, passenger volumes dropped significantly more than toll transactions. Since the initial pandemic months, travel steadily increased year-over-year with some dampening occurring in winter months, due to seasonal cyclicality. Such seasonality is apparent in both BWI and ICC metrics. Monthly ICC in-lane PC traffic generally parallels BWI passenger volume data closely, not only in the 12-month moving averages, but also with a relatively high monthly correlation, exhibiting the heavy impact airport trips can have on ICC trips.






Figure 3-3
BWI Airport Passengers vs. ICC In-Lane PC Traffic, FY 2018 – 2024 (Monthly)

3.3 Socioeconomic Variables

Table 3-1 shows historical and forecast socioeconomic variable sources, terms and release dates. **Subsections 3.3.1-3.3.7** discuss historical and forecast trends for population, employment, unemployment rates, real per capita personal income, real gross domestic/regional product, inflation, and fuel prices. Note that the latest available MD SDC income data are from 2015, which are several years old, although population and employment were updated in 2022.



Table 3-1 Socioeconomic Variables: Terms and Sources

Variable	Term(s)	Historical Data	Forecast Data
Population	Persons	U.S. Census Bureau	Woods & Poole, 2024 MD SDC, Dec. 2022
Employment	Persons	U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics	Woods & Poole, 2024 MD SDC, Oct. 2022
Unemployment	Percentage	U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics	CBO, Jun. 2024 FOMC, Jun. 2024 OMB, Nov. 2023
Real Per Capita Income	2023\$	Woods & Poole, 2024	Woods & Poole, 2024 MD SDC, Jan. 2015
Real Gross Domestic/Regional Product	2023\$	U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Woods & Poole, 2024	CBO, Jun. 2024 FOMC, Jun. 2024 OMB, Nov. 2023 Woods & Poole, 2024
Inflation	Annual Percentage Change	U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics	CBO, Jun. 2024 FOMC, Jun. 2024 OMB, Nov. 2023
Fuel Prices	Price per Gallon, Price per Barrel	Energy Information Administration	Energy Information Administration



3.3.1 Population

Historical

Table 3-2 shows U.S. Census Bureau population for 2013 to 2023 (July 1st estimates). National population increased from 316.0 to 335.0 million, equating to 0.6% CAGR; the South Atlantic, which includes Maryland, grew faster at 1.0% annually, and Mid Atlantic growth was almost flat, at 0.1% annually.

Maryland's population grew 257,000, from 5.9 to almost 6.2 million, reflecting a 0.4% CAGR. The most populous sub-state region, Baltimore, grew 0.2% annually while Southern Maryland grew relatively fastest, at 0.8%. Annualized growth in Maryland's other regions ranged from a slight contraction in Western Maryland to a high of 0.7% CAGR in Washington Suburban.

Population growth has decelerated in Maryland, dropping from 0.6% in 2013 to 0.3% in 2023, with a 0.2% decline in 2022. Baltimore's population decelerated earlier than the state, with effectively no growth since 2015.

Table 3-2 Historical Population

Geography	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	'13-'23
Population (Thousands)												
United States	315,994	318,301	320,635	322,941	324,986	326,688	328,240	331,527	332,049	333,271	334,915	18,921
Mid Atlantic	41,258	41,304	41,307	41,287	41,263	41,217	41,138	42,373	42,137	41,906	41,824	566
South Atlantic	61,729	62,382	63,117	63,907	64,620	65,230	65,785	66,175	66,674	67,445	68,226	6,497
Maryland	5,923	5,957	5,986	6,003	6,024	6,036	6,046	6,174	6,175	6,164	6,180	257
Baltimore	2,720	2,731	2,741	2,745	2,749	2,751	2,750	2,793	2,792	2,783	2,782	62
Lower Eastern Shore	211	211	211	212	212	213	213	213	215	216	217	6
Southern Maryland	352	355	357	360	363	366	369	374	378	379	382	30
Upper Eastern Shore	241	241	241	241	242	243	243	244	245	247	249	8
Washington Suburban	2,147	2,168	2,184	2,194	2,207	2,213	2,220	2,299	2,293	2,288	2,299	152
Western Maryland	253	252	251	251	251	251	250	251	252	251	252	-1
Annual Percent Change												
United States	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%
Mid Atlantic	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.2%	3.0%	-0.6%	-0.5%	-0.2%	0.1%
South Atlantic	1.0%	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	0.9%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	1.2%	1.2%	1.0%
Maryland	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%	-0.2%	0.3%	0.4%
Baltimore	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	-0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
Lower Eastern Shore	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	-0.1%	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Southern Maryland	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.2%	1.1%	0.4%	0.7%	0.8%
Upper Eastern Shore	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.3%
Washington Suburban	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	3.6%	-0.3%	-0.2%	0.5%	0.7%
Western Maryland	-0.2%	-0.3%	-0.3%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	-0.2%	0.2%	0.0%

Forecast

Table 3-3 shows average annual population growth forecasts through 2033 by Woods & Poole (WP24) and the Maryland State Data Center (MD SDC, Dec. 2022).

WP24 projects 0.6% National annualized growth between 2023 and 2033, the same pace as recent decade history. WP24 predicts Mid-Atlantic CAGR of 0.1% and South Atlantic at 1.0%.



Both WP24 and MD SDC project Maryland's population growth at close-to the 0.6% national projections, and that Southern Maryland will grow relatively faster than other regions, at 1.0%, similar to recent history. In Baltimore and Washington Suburban, the two major metro areas, WP24 projects 0.5% and 0.6% CGAR, respectively, and MD SDC projects similarly. Maryland and sub-regional forecasts from WP24 and MD SDC appear optimistic given actual population growth (plateauing) observed in recent years.

Table 3-3
Forecast Population Growth

	Historical		WP24			MD SDC	
Geography	'13-'23	'23-'28	'28-'33	'23-'33	'23-'28	'28-'33	'23-'33
United States	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	1	-	-
Mid Atlantic	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	-	-	-
South Atlantic	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	-	1	-
Maryland	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
Baltimore	0.2%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%
Lower Eastern Shore	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Southern Maryland	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Upper Eastern Shore	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%
Washington Suburban	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%
Western Maryland	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%

3.3.2 Employment

Historical

Employment data in **Table 3-4** are from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) through 2022, with 2023 derived via applying the Bureau of Labor Statistics' (BLS) 2023/2022 growth. Between 2013 and 2023, employment increased faster than population, but notably declined in 2020 due to COVID-19 (especially in the first half-year). Growth in the South Atlantic was 2.4% CAGR, higher than the Mid-Atlantic (1.2%) and nationally (1.7%). Mid-Atlantic exhibited the relatively steepest employment decline in 2020 compared to the South Atlantic and the Nation.

Historical Maryland growth was 1.3% CAGR from 2013 to 2023, with a decline in 2020 slightly greater than the Nation, at 3.3% versus 3.1%. Growth in the substate regions was relatively close to statewide during the entire preceding decade, with some annual differences, excepting Western Maryland, which effectively plateaued since 2013 similar to population, with the most pronounced decline in 2020 and due to COVID-19.



Table 3-4 Historical Employment

Geography	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	'13-'23
Employment (Thousands	s)											
United States	182,328	186,240	190,326	193,426	196,394	200,292	201,635	195,287	202,752	212,442	215,503	33,175
Mid Atlantic	24,102	24,507	24,913	25,244	25,503	25,969	26,090	24,604	25,463	26,776	27,159	3,057
South Atlantic	34,674	35,561	36,541	37,359	38,207	39,204	39,665	39,072	40,852	42,801	43,784	9,110
Maryland	3,494	3,538	3,603	3,659	3,697	3,753	3,745	3,622	3,750	3,887	3,959	465
Baltimore	1,712	1,732	1,765	1,790	1,810	1,839	1,837	1,774	1,835	1,903	1,933	221
Lower Eastern Shore	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	117	123	127	132	16
Southern Maryland	153	155	159	164	165	164	165	164	171	175	180	26
Upper Eastern Shore	120	121	122	124	124	127	126	122	128	132	135	15
Washington Suburban	1,253	1,272	1,297	1,321	1,336	1,361	1,356	1,313	1,355	1,409	1,438	184
Western Maryland	140	140	141	141	141	141	140	132	138	140	141	2
Annual Percent Change												
United States	1.9%	2.1%	2.2%	1.6%	1.5%	2.0%	0.7%	<mark>-3</mark> .1%	3.8%	4.8%	1.4%	1.7%
Mid Atlantic	1.4%	1.7%	1.7%	1.3%	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%	-5 .7%	3.5%	5.2%	1.4%	1.2%
South Atlantic	1.9%	2.6%	2.8%	2.2%	2.3%	2.6%	1.2%	-1 .5%	4.6%	4.8%	2.3%	2.4%
Maryland	1.6%	1.3%	1.8%	1.6%	1.0%	1.5%	-0.2%	-3 .3%	3.5%	3.6%	1.9%	1.3%
Baltimore	1.6%	1.2%	1.9%	1.4%	1.1%	1.6%	-0.1%	<mark>-3</mark> .4%	3.4%	3.7%	1.6%	1.2%
Lower Eastern Shore	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0 .7%	0.3%	-3 .9%	5.6%	3.2%	3.8%	1.3%
Southern Maryland	1.0%	1.2%	2.4%	3.0%	0.8%	-0.2%	0.5%	-₫.8%	4.2%	2.7%	2.4%	1.6%
Upper Eastern Shore	2.1%	1.4%	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%	1.6%	-0.6%	-3 .1%	5.2%	2.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Washington Suburban	1.9%	1.5%	2.0%	1.8%	1.2%	1.8%	-0.4%	-3 .1%	3.2%	4.0%	2.0%	1.4%
Western Maryland	0.2%	0.1%	0.9%	0.2%	-0.3%	0.2%	-1.1%	-5 .4%	4.3%	1.8%	0.9%	0.1%

Forecast

Table 3-5 shows employment growth forecasts with 1.2% CAGR nationally through 2033, per WP24, decelerated from the recent historical decade. South Atlantic forecast CAGR (1.4%) is expected to be higher than the U.S. and Mid-Atlantic (1.1%). WP24 forecasts 1.1% CAGR for Maryland, slightly decelerated relative to recent history and close to national forecasts.

According to the MD SDC (Oct. 2022), Maryland's employment forecast is 0.8% through 2033; slower than forecasted by WP24, but closer aligned with recent history. For Baltimore and Washington Suburban, WP24 projects 1.3% and 1.1%, respectively, with Southern Maryland as the second relatively fastest region behind Baltimore, at 1.2%.



Table 3-5 Forecast Employment Growth

	Historical	I WP24 MD				MD SDC	
Geography	'13-'23	'23-'28	'28-'33	'23-'33	'23-'28	'28-'33	'23-'33
United States	1.7%	1.3%	1.2%	1.2%	-	-	-
Mid Atlantic	1.2%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	-	-	-
South Atlantic	2.4%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%	-	-	-
Maryland	1.3%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%
Baltimore	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%	0.6%	0.7%
Lower Eastern Shore	1.3%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%
Southern Maryland	1.6%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%
Upper Eastern Shore	1.2%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%
Washington Suburban	1.4%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%
Western Maryland	0.1%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%

3.3.3 Unemployment

Historical

Figure 3-4 shows annual unemployment rates from 2000 to 2023 from the BLS. Maryland's rate was almost universally lower than the Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Nation albeit paralleling very closely. In 2020, with the COVID-19 onset, unemployment rates unprecedentedly spiked very quickly, jumping enormously in April 2020, and then steadily declining in the following months. Unemployment rates steadily declined by early 2022 to a relative historical low around 3.6% and remained relatively close since. On an annualized basis, national unemployment was 3.6% in 2023, with Maryland at a very low 2.1%.

Figure 3-4
Historical Unemployment Rates (Macro Geographies)






Figure 3-5 shows annual unemployment rates for Maryland's regions. In every year, Southern Maryland and Washington Suburban exhibited lower unemployment rates than elsewhere; unsurprising given the federal (D.C.) jobs concentrated there. Conversely, the Lower Eastern Shore and Western Maryland exhibited relatively higher unemployment rates than elsewhere.

Annual unemployment peaked between 2009 and 2011, during and following the Great Recession, reaching 11.4% in the Lower Eastern Shore, 9.8% in Western Maryland, 8.9% in the Upper Eastern Shore, and 8.3% in Baltimore. In the Washington Suburban region, unemployment peaked at 6.7% while Maryland's statewide rate reached 7.8%. Following those recessionary peak years, unemployment rates steadily declined to historically low levels in 2019. However, similar to the national level, COVID-19 reversed that trend quickly, with extraordinary unemployment rates peaking in the second-and-third quarters of 2020, followed by a steady decline through early 2022, whereby rates have held steadily at relatively low historical levels. On an annual basis, 2023 resulted in unemployment rates ranging between very low levels of 2.0% and 2.8% for the state regions.




Figure 3-5
Historical Unemployment Rates (Maryland Regions)

Forecast

National unemployment rates in 2020 spiked from COVID-19 and subsequently declined to near-historical lows. Unemployment rate projections from the Congressional Budget Office, the Federal Reserve (FOMC), and Office of Management and Budget expect the annual rates to increase slightly through 2025 from the current historical lows, mostly expecting responses to the FED FOMC's continued monetary tightening policy (to stem inflation) and political-cycle uncertainties. After 2025, the forecasts are for around 4.0% to 4.5% thereafter, per **Figure 3-6**.



Table 3-6 provides additional detail on the short-term unemployment outlook for 2024, 2025, and 2026, sourced from a wide variety of professional SED forecasters. The table is organized from most optimistic to most pessimistic forecasts for 2024. Data were compiled in August 2024 with most forecasters publishing data between June and August; the 2024 forecasts are narrowly ranging from 3.9% to 4.1%, averaging 4.0% and then to 4.2% in 2025.




Figure 3-6 Forecast U.S. Unemployment Rate

Table 3-6 Short-Term Forecast U.S. Unemployment Rate

Source	Release Date	2024	2025	2026
Congressional Budget Office (CBO)	June 18, 2024	3.9%	4.0%	4.2%
Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)	May 2, 2024	3.9%	4.0%	#N/A
University of Michigan: Research Seminar in Quantitative Economics (RSQE)	May 17, 2024	3.9%	4.0%	#N/A
TD Economics	June 18, 2024	3.9%	4.0%	#N/A
International Monetary Fund (IMF): World Economic Outlook	April 16, 2024	4.0%	4.2%	4.3%
Conference Board	July 11, 2024	4.0%	3.9%	#N/A
Energy Information Administration (EIA): Short-Term Energy Outlook	August 6, 2024	4.0%	4.3%	#N/A
ScotiaBank Global Economics	July 18, 2024	4.0%	4.1%	#N/A
National Association of Realtors	June 25, 2024	4.0%	4.3%	#N/A
PNC Financial Services Group	August 1, 2024	4.0%	4.3%	4.0%
Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	March 8, 2024	4.0%	4.0%	3.9%
Royal Bank of Canada (RBC) Economics	July 12, 2024	4.0%	4.2%	#N/A
Federal Reserve Bank: Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC)	June 12, 2024	4.0%	4.2%	4.1%
Wells Fargo Economics Group	August 7, 2024	4.1%	4.3%	#N/A
Bank of Montreal (BMO) Capital Markets Economics	August 2, 2024	4.1%	4.4%	#N/A
Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia: Survey of Professional Forecasters*	August 9, 2024	4.1%	4.3%	4.2%
Average		4.0%	4.2%	4.1%



3.3.4 Per Capita Personal Income

Personal income indicates the relative affluence of a region's residents. Real per capita income includes the sum of wages and salaries, other labor income, proprietors' income, rental income of persons, dividend income, personal interest income, and transfer payments, less personal contributions for government social insurance, on a per-person basis. Real (above inflation) increases in per capita income can lead to an increased willingness to pay tolls.

Historical

Historical real personal income per capita, in constant 2023\$2, is presented in **Table 3-7**, from WP24. Per capita personal income nationally increased from \$55,799 in 2013 to \$70,172 in 2023, or 2.3% CAGR. In the Mid-Atlantic and South Atlantic, the CAGRs were 2.0% and 2.5%, respectively. Maryland's growth was 1.5%. In Maryland's regions, historical growth was lower than the nation, ranging from 1.0% in Washington Suburban to 2.1% in the Upper Eastern Shore.

While historical growth was relatively slower in Maryland than nationally, the absolute real income per capita was relatively higher. At \$75,461, Maryland's per capita personal income was 7.5% higher than the Nation, and 13.0% higher than the South Atlantic in 2023. The Washington Suburban region, at \$79,465 in 2023, was 13.2% higher than the nation, and Baltimore's \$76,768 was 9.4% higher.

Table 3-7
Historical Real Personal Income Per Capita (2023\$)

		****					****	****				1.0.100
Geography	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	'13-'23
Total Real Personal Incor	ne/Capita	a (2023\$)										
United States	55,799	57,368	59,457	59,979	61,400	62,890	64,601	68,051	71,153	67,920	70,172	14,373
Mid Atlantic	64,160	65,370	67,739	68,956	70,952	72,236	73,850	77,293	79,848	75,144	78,570	14,410
South Atlantic	52,335	53,912	56,203	56,878	58,405	59,633	61,394	64,188	67,474	64,811	66,772	14,438
Maryland	65,203	65,797	68,098	69,344	70,129	70,690	71,766	74,573	76,255	72,861	75,461	10,259
Baltimore	64,116	65,194	67,217	68,181	69,187	70,039	71,728	75,441	77,178	73,949	76,768	12,652
Lower Eastern Shore	47,420	48,755	51,098	50,509	51,470	51,108	51,173	53,705	56,642	53,280	55,503	8,083
Southern Maryland	63,129	63,468	65,655	66,460	66,710	67,044	68,285	71,887	71,464	68,328	69,749	6,620
Upper Eastern Shore	58,229	58,998	60,825	62,154	63,271	64,125	66,376	69,784	72,426	69,553	71,645	13,416
Washington Suburban	71,622	71,393	74,186	76,007	76,559	76,782	77,046	78,507	80,281	76,625	79,465	7,843
Western Maryland	46,207	47,583	48,723	49,744	50,020	51,024	51,933	55,298	56,972	53,424	54,072	7,865
Annual Percent Change												
United States	- 0 .9%	2.8%	3.6%	0.9%	2.4%	2.4%	2.7%	5 .3%	4.6%	-4 .5%	3.3%	2.3%
Mid Atlantic	-0.8%	1.9%	3.6%	1.8%	2.9%	1.8%	2.2%	4.7%	3.3%	-5 .9%	4.6%	2.0%
South Atlantic	2 .3%	3.0%	4.2%	1.2%	2.7%	2.1%	3.0%	4.6%	5.1%	-\$.9%	3.0%	2.5%
Maryland	-2.4%	0.9%	3.5%	1.8%	1.1%	0 .8%	1.5%	3.9%	2.3%	-4.5%	3.6%	1.5%
Baltimore	-1 .4%	1.7%	3.1%	1.4%	1.5%	1.2%	2.4%	5.2%	2.3%	-4 .2%	3.8%	1.8%
Lower Eastern Shore	0.9%	2.8%	4.8%	-1.2%	1.9%	-0.7%	0.1%	4.9%	5.5%	-5 .9%	4.2%	1.6%
Southern Maryland	4 .7%	0.5%	3.4%	1.2%	0.4%	0.5%	1.9%	5.3%	-0.6%	-4 .4%	2.1%	1.0%
Upper Eastern Shore	0.1%	1.3%	3.1%	2.2%	1.8%	1.3%	3.5%	5.1%	3.8%	4.0%	3.0%	2.1%
Washington Suburban	-4.3%	-0.3%	3.9%	2.5%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%	1.9%	2.3%	-4.6%	3.7%	1.0%
Western Maryland	-0.9%	3.0%	2.4%	2.1%	0.6%	2.0%	1.8%	6.5%	3.0%	-6.2%	1.2%	1.6%

 $^{^2}$ WP24 provides real income per capita in 2017\$, per current BEA data conventions; dollars in inflated to 2023\$ using WP24's PCE index.



3-13

Forecast

Table 3-8 provides real personal income per capita forecasts. According to WP24, national growth is projected at 1.5% CAGR between 2023-2033; the Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, Maryland, and sub-state regions are expected to exhibit similar growth patterns, ranging between 1.4% and 1.7%. Maryland's SDC forecasts a relatively slower growth than WP24, with decelerating growth around or below 1.0% CAGR; however, the data are outdated, from 2015.

WP24 Historical MD SDC '23-'<u>28</u> '13-'23 '28-'33 Geography '23-'28 '23-'33 '28-'33 '23-'33 **United States** 2.3% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% 2.0% 1.7% 1.6% Mid Atlantic 1.6% 2.5% 1.5% South Atlantic 1.6% 1.6% Maryland 1.5% 1.6% 1.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 0.8% Baltimore 1.8% 1.8% 1.6% 1.7% 1.0% 0.8% 0.9% 1.3% Lower Eastern Shore 1.6% 1.3% 1.3% 0.9% 0.9% 0.9% 1.0% 1.5% 1.4% 1.4% 1.0% 0.9% 1.0% Southern Maryland **Upper Eastern Shore** 2.1% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.0% 0.8% 0.9% Washington Suburban 1.0% 1.5% 1.3% 1.4% 0.8% 0.7% 0.8% 1.4% 0.9% Western Maryland 1.6% 1.4% 1.4% 1.0% 1.0%

Table 3-8
Forecast Real Personal Income Per Capita Growth

3.3.5 Gross Domestic/Regional Product

Gross domestic product (national level) and gross regional product (state- and county-level) measure the value of all goods and services produced within a geographic area and are general indicators of a region's economic activity.

Historical

Historical real gross domestic product (GDP) and gross regional product (GRP), in real 2023\$3, are presented in **Table 3-9**. Real GDP grew at 2.3% CAGR between 2013-2023, and a relatively large 2.2% annual decline in 2020 from COVID-19 effectively reset the national economy to 2018 levels. However, 2021 rebounded beyond pre-COVID 2019 levels and grown steadily since. Growth in the Mid-Atlantic was 2.6%, and the South Atlantic was 1.5%. At 1.4%, Maryland's real GRP historical growth rate was slightly more than half the U.S.

Data in **Tables 3-2** and **3-4** showed in 2023, Maryland comprised 9.1% of the South Atlantic's population and 9.0% of employment, respectively. **Table 3-9** shows that Maryland accounted for

³ BEA provides real GDP and GRP in 2017\$; dollars in inflated to 2023\$ using WP24's PCE index. BEA provided recent county-level data between 2017 and 2022; data prior-to 2017 were culled from the BEA as well, but the vintage release with 2012\$ denomination (also inflated to 2023\$ using W&P PCE index); 2023 county data are based on WP24's growth.



٠

13.1% of the South Atlantic's real GRP. Within Maryland, the sub-state regions of Baltimore and Washington Suburban accounted for 89.8% of Maryland's real GRP in 2023.

Table 3-9
Historical Real Gross Domestic/Regional Product (2023\$)

C	2012	201.4	2015	2016	2017	2018	2010	2020	2024	2022	2023	142 122
Geography	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	'13-'23
Gross Regional Product (2023\$ billions)												
United States	21,442	21,983	22,631	23,043	23,609	24,309	24,909	24,358	25,771	26,269	26,937	5,495
Mid Atlantic	3,849	3,940	4,071	4,180	4,290	4,401	4,502	4,425	4,704	4,838	4,981	1,131
South Atlantic	3,343	3,400	3,469	3,518	3,574	3,655	3,731	3,591	3,754	3,831	3,878	535
Maryland	438.9	445.8	455.9	471.8	481.2	484.5	484.3	467.7	488.6	496.3	506.8	67.9
Baltimore	209.6	212.9	218.1	225.1	236.5	239.0	240.5	232.2	243.4	248.1	260.2	50.6
Lower Eastern Shore	11.0	11.5	12.0	12.1	12.3	12.2	12.0	11.5	12.5	13.0	13.3	2.3
Southern Maryland	19.5	19.9	20.3	21.3	22.8	21.6	21.7	21.8	21.5	21.3	21.7	2.1
Upper Eastern Shore	11.3	11.4	11.7	12.2	12.9	13.2	13.2	12.5	13.3	13.4	13.8	2.5
Washington Suburban	162.0	164.2	168.6	174.8	182.8	184.5	183.3	176.8	184.3	187.1	195.0	33.0
Western Maryland	12.3	12.6	12.6	13.1	13.9	14.0	13.7	12.9	13.6	13.4	13.7	1.4
Annual Percent Change												
United States	2.1%	2.5%	2.9%	1.8%	2.5%	3.0%	2.5%	2.2%	5.8%	1.9%	2.5%	2.3%
Mid Atlantic	1.8%	2.4%	3.3%	2.7%	2.6%	2.6%	2.3%	1.7%	6.3%	2.9%	2.9%	2.6%
South Atlantic	1.1%	1.7%	2.0%	1.4%	1.6%	2.2%	2.1%	3.8%	4.5%	2.1%	1.2%	1.5%
Maryland	0.8%	1.6%	2.3%	3.5%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	3.4%	4.5%	1.6%	2.1%	1.4%
Baltimore	1.6%	1.6%	2.5%	3.2%	5.1%	1.1%	0.6%	3.4%	4.8%	1.9%	4.9%	2.2%
Lower Eastern Shore	4.5%	4.7%	3.9%	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%	1.7%	4.5%	9.4%	3.8%	2.2%	1.9%
Southern Maryland	0.6%	1.8%	2.2%	4.8%	7.2%	5.3%	0.4%	0.5%	1.3%	0.9%	1.5%	1.0%
Upper Eastern Shore	4.1%	1.2%	3.1%	3.9%	5.4%	2.6%	0.2%	5.1%	6.6%	0.9%	2.5%	2.0%
Washington Suburban	1.1%	1.3%	2.7%	3.7%	4.6%	0.9%	0.7%	3.5%	4.2%	1.5%	4.2%	1.9%
Western Maryland	1.0%	2.2%	0.3%	3.8%	5.8%	1.2%	1.9%	6.0%	4.9%	1.4%	2.4%	1.1%

Forecast

Table 3-10 provides gross domestic/regional product forecasts. WP24 projects 2.0% annual real growth through 2033 nationally, and for the South Atlantic slightly faster (2.2%), with Maryland's GRP closer to the national 2.0%. Within Maryland, the highest real GRP growth is expected in Baltimore (2.2%) and Washington Suburban (2.0%).

Table 3-10 Forecast Real Gross Domestic/Regional Product Growth

	Historical		WP24	
Geography	'13-'23	'23-'28	'28-'33	'23-'33
United States	2.3%	2.0%	1.9%	2.0%
Mid Atlantic	2.6%	2.0%	1.8%	1.9%
South Atlantic	1.5%	2.2%	2.1%	2.2%
Maryland	1.4%	2.1%	1.9%	2.0%
Baltimore	2.2%	2.3%	2.1%	2.2%
Lower Eastern Shore	1.9%	1.6%	1.5%	1.6%
Southern Maryland	1.0%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%
Upper Eastern Shore	2.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%
Washington Suburban	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%	2.0%
Western Maryland	1.1%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%



Table 3-11 provides detail on short-term GDP outlook for 2024, 2025, and 2026, sourced from various private and public sector agencies, and is organized from most optimistic to most pessimistic for 2024. As shown, most forecasters expect continued growth in 2024 and some deceleration in the next couple years, with an average of 2.3% in 2024 and 1.9% in 2025.

Table 3-11
Forecast Short-Term Real GDP Growth

Source	Release Date	2024	2025	2026
International Monetary Fund (IMF): World Economic Outlook	April 16, 2024	2.7%	1.9%	2.0%
Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia: Survey of Professional Forecasters*	August 9, 2024	2.6%	1.9%	2.3%
Wells Fargo Economics Group	August 7, 2024	2.6%	1.9%	#N/A
PNC Financial Services Group	August 1, 2024	2.6%	2.0%	2.3%
University of Michigan: Research Seminar in Quantitative Economics (RSQE)	May 17, 2024	2.6%	2.1%	#N/A
Congressional Budget Office (CBO)	June 18, 2024	2.6%	2.1%	1.8%
Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)	May 2, 2024	2.6%	1.8%	#N/A
Bank of Montreal (BMO) Capital Markets Economics	August 2, 2024	2.5%	1.8%	#N/A
World Bank	June 14, 2024	2.5%	1.8%	1.8%
ScotiaBank Global Economics	July 18, 2024	2.4%	1.8%	#N/A
TD Economics	June 18, 2024	2.4%	2.0%	#N/A
Energy Information Administration (EIA): Short-Term Energy Outlook	August 6, 2024	2.4%	1.6%	#N/A
Royal Bank of Canada (RBC) Economics	July 12, 2024	2.2%	1.5%	#N/A
Conference Board	July 11, 2024	2.1%	1.6%	#N/A
Federal Reserve Bank: Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC)	June 12, 2024	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%
Woods & Poole Economics, Inc.	July 2, 2024	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%
Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	March 8, 2024	1.7%	1.8%	2.0%
National Association of Realtors	June 25, 2024	1.4%	1.9%	#N/A
Average		2.3%	1.9%	2.0%

Figure 3-7 shows real GDP historical growth from 2010-2023 and forecasted growth for about the next decade by the CBO, FOMC, OMB, and WP24. In 2024/5, the sources forecast a range between 1.7% and 2.6% growth. After that, all sources forecast an expected convergence around 2.0% into the future, close to long-term historical averages.






Figure 3-7
Forecast Mid-Term Real GDP Growth

3.3.6 Inflation

Comparing inflation rates with future toll policy plans can indicate the relative real cost of tolls over time. For example, if toll rates are unchanged during an inflation period, the real toll costs become relatively less expensive.

Historical

From 2000-2023, the national inflation rate⁴ via the BLS averaged 2.6%, ranging from a high of 8.0% recently in 2022 to a low of -0.4% in 2009. **Figure 3-8** shows that inflation rates in the Northeast,⁵ South,⁶ and Washington DC MSA⁷ closely tracked the U.S. rate. Although inflation was quite high since 2021 as a function of COVID-19 related factors (pent up demand, supply chain restrictions, etc.), the FED FOMC has implemented monetary tightening policies aimed at curtaining further inflation, and has had some success in 2023 and into 2024, with mid-year year-over-year inflation coming down to the low-3.0% range.

⁷ Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-MD-VA-WV Metropolitan Statistical Area.



3-17

⁴ Measured by the Consumer Price Index for urban consumers (CPI-U).

⁵ Northeast census defined as CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, and VT.

⁶ South census defined as AR, AL, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, and WV.




Figure 3-8 Historical Inflation (CPI-U)

Forecast

Figure 3-9 shows the national inflation forecasts by the CBO, FOMC, and OMB. In 2024, the sources expect U.S. inflation to reduce further to around 2.9%, on average, and continuously decrease to around 2.3% by 2025. FOMC measures inflation via the Personal Consumption Expenditure (PCE) index, which closely parallels the CPI-U measure. Inflation is thereafter expected to remain at, or close to, the official 2.0% FOMC PCE target rate (that is, monetary policy will work as expected).




Figure 3-9
Forecast Inflation (CPI-U)



3.3.7 Fuel Prices

Fuel prices are another important variable related to traffic forecasting. Fuel price increases beyond inflation leads to increasing vehicle operating cost and generally less travel, including less travel on toll facilities. In the reverse, declining fuel prices results in generally more travel. Such divergences and behavioral responses are typically short-lived (within a year).

Historical

Figure 3-10 illustrates the monthly crude oil⁸ and retail gasoline prices⁹ from 2000 to mid-2024. The price data in Figure 3-10 are shown in nominal dollars (i.e., current dollars)¹⁰ and are measured by price per barrel (crude oil) and price per gallon (gasoline).

U.S. gasoline prices ranged from a low of \$1.13 per gallon in December 2001 to a high of \$5.03 per gallon in June 2022. Monthly gasoline since declined to around \$3.60. Retail gasoline prices in the Central Atlantic¹¹ and Lower Atlantic¹² generally tracked national prices, with the Central Atlantic typically 1.9% higher and the Lower Atlantic 3.4% lower.




Figure 3-10
Historical Fuel Prices (Current \$)

Gasoline retail prices generally mirrors crude oil prices since crude oil historically accounted for approximately 50% of gasoline's production costs. **Figure 3-10** shows that crude oil ranged from \$16.55 in April 2020 to \$133.88 in June 2008, a with some pronounced volatility in certain months attributable to various reasons (recessions, OPEC, hurricanes, supply/storage shortages, etc.).

¹² Lower Atlantic includes FL, GA, NC, SC, VA and WV.



3-19

⁸ Cushing OK WTI (West Texas Intermediate) spot price per barrel, free on-board delivery.

⁹ Retail price per gallon of unleaded gasoline, all grades, all formulations.

¹⁰ 2000 data are presented in 2000 dollars, 2001 data in 2001 dollars, etc.

¹¹ Central Atlantic includes DE, DC, MD, NJ, NY and PA.

months attributable to various reasons (recessions, OPEC, hurricanes, supply/storage shortages, etc.).

Crude oil averaged approximately \$65.00 per barrel in 2018, \$57.00 in 2019, dropping to \$41.00 in 2020, mostly due to the precipitous drop in late-Spring/early-Summer with the onset of COVID-19. In 2021, prices increased to \$68.00 per barrel and in 2022 to \$95.00 then down to \$78.00 in 2023; as of June 2024, prices are \$82.00

Forecast

Figure 3-11 provides national gasoline price forecasts in current dollars. Retail gasoline prices, averaging \$4.06 in 2022 increased notably since 2016; however, the EIA expects prices to steadily reduce through 2025 to \$3.38 and thereafter increase about a dime annually.




Figure 3-11
Forecast Fuel Price (Current \$)



Chapter 4

Forecasts by Facility

This chapter summarizes the development of the forecasts of future year transactions and toll revenue for the MDTA system. Separate sections and discussions are provided for the overall assumptions, the Legacy facilities, ICC, I-95 ETLs, and other revenue. The 10-year annual forecast results by facility through FY 2034 are included in this chapter. Monthly forecasts for FY 2025 and FY 2026 are also included.

4.1 Assumptions

Transaction and revenue forecasts were predicated upon the following basic assumptions, which are considered reasonable by CDM Smith for purposes of the forecast:

- 1. The MDTA toll facilities and approach roads will continue to be well-maintained and effectively signed;
- 2. No competing highway projects other than those identified in this report will be constructed or significantly improved during the forecast period;
- 3. MDTA will continue to operate within its business rules and practices;
- 4. For the purposes of this forecast, it is assumed that no toll rate or toll schedule adjustments will be made during the forecasting period other than those presented in **Chapter 1**;
- 5. Annual revenue estimates are expressed in future year dollars (nominal);
- 6. No major recession, natural disasters, future pandemics, or other significant exogenous events will occur that would significantly reduce travel in the region;
- 7. Socioeconomic growth, including related to population and employment, will occur as presented in this study; and
- 8. Motor fuel will remain in adequate supply, and future price increases will not significantly exceed the long-term rate of inflation.

Any significant departure from these basic assumptions could materially affect forecasted transactions and toll revenue.

Detailed Assumptions

In addition to the basic assumptions listed above, several other more specific assumptions were made as provided in **Table 4-1**.



Table 4-1
Detailed Forecast Assumptions

Assumption Category	Assumption Detail
Growth	The growth forecast produced by the econometric update (WT#12) and used in the last two annual forecasts was used for this study. Based on recent in-lane trends, minor adjustments were made to the near-term growth in FY 2025 and FY 2026.
Construction	Traffic impacts on FSK from the deck replacement and Curtis/Bear Creek projects were removed due to planned completion prior to the bridge reopening. Duration of significant impacts from I-895 AET conversion extended to 18 months, schedule shifted to occur after completion of new FSK bridge.
FSK Replacement	The new FSK bridge will be open to traffic in the fall of 2028, assuming November 1 st .
Backlog Transactions	Assuming no further backlog transactions will be processed and all transactions more than a year old can no longer be invoiced.
NOTD Collection Rates	Collection rates were reduced in the forecast based on recent trends in FY24 after Customer Assistance Plan termination and the resumption of enforcement measures. NOTD collection rates fell below what was forecasted last year and the latest trends fed the assumption for this year's forecast. Collection rates at the NOTD level were reduced by 10 percentage points (compared to our assumption in last year's forecast), and the rate of increase in collection rates over the next three years was tampered. This assumption was based on the recent MDTA collection rates and the comparison to similar sized facilities that also converted to AET. A slight increase in civil penalty collections in the forecast was assumed since a smaller payment rate at the NOTD level would push more customers to pay at the subsequent levels.
Toll Changes	No future systemwide toll rate changes are assumed. A correction to the I-95 ETL northbound existing tolled distance is assumed to occur in January 2025 when the first phase of the northbound extension is assumed to open.
Forecasting Approach	All transactions and toll revenue as well as civil penalty revenue are forecasted in the month of collection (cash accounting).

Assumptions related to the construction projects listed in **Table 4-1** are discussed in more detail later in this chapter.

4.2 Legacy System

This section provides an overview of the development of the traffic and toll revenue forecasts for the Legacy system. The inputs to the forecast included toll rates by payment method, traffic growth forecasts, E-ZPass® participation percentages, and the impacts associated with planned roadway improvements on the Legacy facilities.

4.2.1 Forecast Methodology

Econometric models were developed for the Legacy system traffic growth forecasts as detailed in in the report *Maryland Transportation Authority FY 2023 Traffic and Toll Revenue Forecast Update.* The econometric models sought to establish correlative relationships between various socioeconomic independent variables (such as population, employment, GRP, etc.) and the dependent variable, transactions. The traffic growth used in this current study is based on the growth from the econometric analysis with adjustments as necessary to account for the most recent traffic and economic trends related to inflation and gas prices, long-term pandemic-related commuting trend changes, as well as construction impacts summarized in the subsequent section. Passenger car and commercial vehicle transactions were forecasted independently by facility using these growth rates and by benchmarking to actual FY 2024 trends.

Assumptions including those related to the Key Bridge collapse, construction impacts, and NOTD payment rates were then applied to the estimated normal growth rates. The end-product of the



model was a baseline 10-year forecast of transactions and revenue by facility, by vehicle class (passenger cars and commercial vehicles), and by method of payment.

4.2.2 Francis Scott Key Bridge Collapse

This section summarizes the estimated diversion impacts directly after the collapse of the Francis Scott Key Bridge on March 26, 2024, and how the impacts were considered in this Legacy System annual forecast update.

To quantify the impacts of trip diversion after the collapse, CDM Smith requested the latest daily gantry transaction data from MDTA which captured the amount of traffic that shifted to the I-895 Baltimore Harbor Tunnel (BHT) and the I-95 Fort McHenry Tunnel (FMT), which are the two alternatives that cross the Patapsco River directly. The recapture rate at these two facilities was 44 percent based on data through September 15th. While many vehicles were able to divert to the tunnels on I-95 and I-895, certain commercial vehicles are banned from using these facilities due to their size and hazardous waste restrictions. Furthermore, as congestion increases on the tunnels due to the traffic shift, some additional MDTA customers may choose to divert or forego their trip altogether. Because of this, a small share of traffic could shift to non-MDTA facilities such as the I-695 outer loop around Baltimore or local roads through the downtown area.

To understand how many trips were lost to suppression or non-MDTA facilities, the daily data was reviewed for the total Harbor Crossings, which is the combined Baltimore Harbor Tunnel, Fort McHenry Tunnel, and Francis Scott Key Bridge. **Figure 4-1** shows the cumulative traffic impact since the day of the collapse for the combined Harbor Crossings.




Figure 4-1
Harbor Crossings Cumulative Impact Since FSK Collapse

At the time of the June 2024 forecast, data was available through May 20th, 2024. Currently, for this annual forecast update, the daily data was available through mid-September. The chart shows that since the June 2024 FSK forecast, passenger car impacts have remained essentially flat throughout the summer at around -8 percent, even after the reopening of the Port of Baltimore on June 12th. Commercial vehicles, however, showed a decline in impacts from around -10 percent in May 2024 to around -9 percent in September 2024. This improvement coincided with the opening of the various channels in the port until the full opening was announced. Since July when operations were back fully, commercial vehicle impacts have remained consistent. This information formulated the assumed impacts for traffic diversion in the forecast.

4.2.3 Construction Impacts

The major construction projects expected to impact traffic and revenue on the MDTA Legacy system are described below. In reviewing these projects and estimating the traffic impacts, it was estimated that during the construction periods, some traffic would divert to the next best alternative tolled or toll-free crossing if possible, while a small portion of more discretionary trips would be suppressed.

- **1. Eastbound Span of William Preston Lane, Jr Memorial Bridge (US-50)** This project will rehabilitate the deck of the eastbound span of the William Preston Lane (Bay) Bridge. Construction began in January 2023 and initial construction and material procurement will continue through the end of 2023. Major construction will be performed primarily during off-peak night-time closures which will begin in Winter 2023/2024. Preliminary completion is estimated for Fall 2026.
- 2. Baltimore Harbor Tunnel (I-895) AET Conversion This project supports the recent conversion of the facility to cashless tolling by permanently removing the existing toll plaza and installing a gantry system. The project scope also includes geometric improvements to the adjacent interchange ramps at Childs Street, Frankfurst Avenue, and Shell Road to comply with AASHTO standards, as well as removal and replacement of the Shell Road ramp, Frankfurst Avenue, and access road bridge structures along I-895. Consideration is being given to performing work excluding I-895 first to avoid impacting the increased traffic due to the FSK Bridge collapse. After the FSK Bridge Replacement project is open to service, the remaining construction impacts are anticipated to include concurrent single lane closures in both directions of I-895 for a duration of 18 months.
- 3. I-95 ETL Northbound Extension This project will involve the widening and reconstruction of I-95 northbound from MD 43 to north of MD 24 to accommodate two new ETL lanes in the northbound direction. The lane configuration from MD 43 to MD 24 will be four general purpose lanes and two ETLs. From MD 24 northbound the configuration will be three general purpose lanes and two ETLs. The ETLs will transition to a single lane ETL and then run concurrent to the three GP lanes until the four lanes transition back to three lanes in advance of the MD 136/Calvary Road Overpass approximately two miles north of MD 24. The completion of construction through the MD 152 Interchange is scheduled for the fall of 2024. The completion of construction through the MD 24 Interchange is scheduled for winter of 2027/2028. Coinciding with the completion of the northbound extension, direct connectors from I-695 eastbound and westbound to I-95 northbound will open as well. Upon completion of the program, there will be three northbound



tolling zones on the I-95 ETLs between the I-95/895 split and MD 24: from the I-95/895 split to MD 43, MD 43 to MD 152, and MD 152 to MD 24.

Additional construction projects on the MDTA facilities and competing non-MDTA highways and arterials were also reviewed, but it was determined that the construction activity associated with these projects will result in negligible impacts on MDTA traffic and toll revenue. This includes the subgrade improvements east of Bear Creek and the rehabilitation of decks at the Curtis Creek bascule span approaches on I-695. In prior years, these projects were forecasted to cause diversion from the Key Bridge to the Harbor Tunnel or Fort McHenry Tunnel. Due to the collapse of the Key bridge, these projects have been expedited and will be complete before the reopening of the bridge, therefore causing no impacts to traffic and revenue during the forecast period.

4.2.4 Forecast Results

Table 4-2 presents actual collected transactions and toll revenue for the Legacy system for FY 2024 and forecasted collected transactions and toll revenue for FY 2025 through FY 2034 by passenger cars and commercial vehicles. The forecasts reflect collections after assumed reductions due to unbillable and unpaid trips. **Table 4-3** provides historical and forecasted total transactions and toll revenue for the Legacy system by facility. FY 2025 transactions and revenue are forecasted to decrease slightly over FY 2024 due to reduced collections of backlogged transactions and from a full year of impacts from the Key Bridge collapse. The Key Bridge replacement is assumed to open in the fall of 2028, or FY 2029, which is the reason for the larger increase in transactions and revenue over FY 2028. Following the reopening, the Harbor Tunnel AET conversion will begin, as detailed previously in **Section 4.2.2**, allowing the new Key Bridge to absorb some of the potential diversion from the construction. After FY 2031, transactions and revenue are not assumed to be impacted by such large construction projects and reflect expected normal growth through the end of the forecast period in FY 2034.

Table 4-2
Total Legacy System Forecasted Transactions and Toll Revenue Collected by Class

Fiscal	Transa	ctions (Milli	ons) ⁽¹⁾	Toll Revenue (\$ Millions) (1)				
Year	PC	cv	Total	PC	CV	Total		
2024 (2)	105.2	9.9	115.1	386.6	242.1	628.7		
2025	102.0	9.4	111.4	372.4	233.4	605.7		
2026	102.7	9.5	112.2	377.2	235.3	612.5		
2027	103.6	9.5	113.1	381.6	236.5	618.1		
2028	104.8	9.6	114.4	386.8	238.4	625.1		
2029	108.9	10.0	118.9	398.2	246.2	644.4		
2030	111.1	10.2	121.4	405.2	251.0	656.2		
2031	112.9	10.3	123.2	411.1	252.5	663.6		
2032	113.9	10.4	124.3	415.0	254.2	669.2		
2033	114.4	10.4	124.8	416.8	254.5	671.4		
2034	115.2	10.4	125.7	419.6	255.6	675.2		

⁽¹⁾ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions.

⁽²⁾ Represents actual data.



Table 4-3 Legacy System Historical and Forecasted Transactions and Toll Revenue Collected by Facility

				raciiii	_	(4)			
(4)			1	nsactions				(2)	Percent
Fiscal Year (1)	JFK	Hatem	BHT	FMT	FSK	Bay	Nice	Total ⁽²⁾	Growth
2019	15.2	5.1	20.8	48.2	12.8	13.6	3.3	119.1	(2.0)
2020 ⁽³⁾	12.5	4.4	14.2	42.3	11.9	11.5	2.8	99.6	(16.4)
2021	8.9	3.1	12.0	29.2	8.5	8.6	1.7	72.0	(27.7)
2022	15.6	4.5	25.9	43.1	12.0	14.5	3.3	118.9	65.1
2023	15.2	4.5	28.0	42.4	12.5	13.9	3.2	119.5	0.6
2024 (3)	14.6	4.6	27.6	42.7	8.9	13.4	3.2	115.1	(3.7)
2025	14.4	4.6	28.8	47.0	0.2	13.3	3.1	111.4	(3.2)
2026	14.5	4.6	28.9	47.7	0.0	13.4	3.1	112.2	0.7
2027	14.6	4.6	29.2	48.1	0.0	13.4	3.2	113.1	0.9
2028 ⁽³⁾	14.7	4.6	29.6	48.7	0.0	13.5	3.2	114.4	1.1
2029	14.8	4.6	23.8	49.8	9.1	13.5	3.2	118.9	3.9
2030	14.9	4.6	18.3	52.3	14.4	13.6	3.3	121.4	2.1
2031	14.9	4.6	28.2	46.4	12.1	13.6	3.3	123.2	1.5
2032 ⁽³⁾	15.0	4.7	28.7	46.8	12.2	13.7	3.3	124.3	0.9
2033	15.1	4.7	28.9	47.0	12.2	13.7	3.3	124.8	0.4
2034	15.2	4.7	29.2	47.3	12.3	13.7	3.4	125.7	0.7
			Toll	Revenue (\$ Millions) ⁽⁴⁾			Percent
Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾	JFK	Hatem	ВНТ	FMT	FSK	Bay	Nice	Total (2)	Growth
2019	176.0	12.2	70.3	217.4	50.5	53.7	21.0	601.1	(0.7)
2020 ⁽³⁾	154.1	11.4	47.5	194.3	47.5	46.0	17.3	518.2	(13.8)
2021	117.5	9.2	40.1	142.2	36.0	33.3	10.9	389.3	(24.9)
2022	197.0	18.3	95.7	225.6	55.9	61.6	24.1	678.1	74.2
2023	191.9	15.1	102.2	215.5	56.8	56.4	22.1	660.0	(2.7)
2024 ⁽³⁾	181.3	15.3	99.0	212.7	43.4	55.2	21.7	628.7	(4.7)
2025	178.1	14.9	103.6	233.5	1.0	53.9	20.9	605.7	(3.7)
2026	179.4	15.0	105.0	238.1	0.0	54.1	21.0	612.5	1.1
2027					0.0	54.5	21.3	618.1	0.9
2027	180.7	15.1	106.4	240.3	0.0	34.3	21.5	010.1	
	180.7 182.4	15.1 15.2	106.4 108.1	240.3	0.0	55.0	21.5	625.1	1.1
2027 2028 ⁽³⁾ 2029									
2028 ⁽³⁾	182.4	15.2	108.1	243.0	0.0	55.0	21.5	625.1	1.1
2028 ⁽³⁾ 2029	182.4 182.9	15.2 15.2	108.1 87.0	243.0 240.0	0.0 42.6	55.0 55.0	21.5 21.6	625.1 644.4	1.1 3.1
2028 ⁽³⁾ 2029 2030	182.4 182.9 183.9	15.2 15.2 15.2	108.1 87.0 66.3	243.0 240.0 245.9	0.0 42.6 67.9	55.0 55.0 55.2	21.5 21.6 21.8	625.1 644.4 656.2	1.1 3.1 1.8
2028 ⁽³⁾ 2029 2030 2031	182.4 182.9 183.9 184.9	15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2	108.1 87.0 66.3 101.6	243.0 240.0 245.9 225.0	0.0 42.6 67.9 59.6	55.0 55.0 55.2 55.4	21.5 21.6 21.8 22.0	625.1 644.4 656.2 663.6	1.1 3.1 1.8 1.1

⁽¹⁾ Actual data presented for FY 2019 through FY 2024.

 $^{^{(4)}}$ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions.



⁽²⁾ Summations may not equal total due to rounding.

⁽³⁾ Leap Year

For purposes of budgeting and the tracking of actual versus forecasted transactions and revenue, monthly forecasts of transaction and toll revenue were developed for FY 2025 and FY 2026. **Table 4-4** provides the forecasted monthly transactions and **Table 4-5** provides the forecasted monthly toll revenue for the total Legacy system. Actual 2024 data is shown for July through August for both transactions and revenue. All other monthly data presented in these tables is forecasted.

Additionally, to support ongoing efforts related to the Key Bridge collapse and the impacts on MDTA revenue, **Table 4-6** provides the estimated lost revenue throughout the 10-year forecast period due to the bridge collapse. In order to estimate this value, a hypothetical forecast was created assuming the Key Bridge collapse did not occur. This forecast was then compared to the forecast presented in Table 4-2 and Table 4-3 to estimate the toll revenue lost strictly from the collapse of the bridge. As shown in the table, the lost revenue from ranges from \$9.2 million in FY 2024 to \$28.5 million in FY 2028. The combined lost revenue for all years is \$131.8 million. In addition to the lost toll revenue, an additional \$5.8 million is estimated to be lost in the other revenue category, yielding a combined revenue impact of \$137.7 million. This value is slightly lower than the lost revenue estimated in the June 2024 forecast because of the revised diversion impacts and refinements to the vehicle class distribution and video collection rates. Video collection rates were updated in this forecast based on the latest data available from MDTA and based on CDM Smith's experience with similar AET facilities this year. The collection rates reflect a reduction in collection at the NOTD stage, but a slightly higher share paying at the citation and vehicle registration hold levels. Lastly, the shifting of the BHT AET conversion construction project to after the reopening of the Key Bridge was another factor in the change to the lost revenue. Shifting this project to post reopening will allow traffic to divert to the new bridge and therefore have less overall diversion at the Harbor Crossings.



Table 4-4 Monthly Collected Transactions by Method of Payment FY 2025 and FY 2026

			Pass	enger Cars (2-A)	de)			Comme	rcial Vehicles (3	3+ Axle)	
	Commuters &		Full Fare E-			Hatem Plan A					(2)
Month	Shoppers	MD E-ZPass	ZPass	Video	Official Duty	& B	Total 2-Axle	E-ZPass	Video	Total 3+ Axle	Total ⁽¹⁾
FY 2025	1		1	1							
July	1.582	3.417	2.609	0.795	0.081	0.273	8.755	0.745	0.029	0.774	9.530
August	1.685	3.624	2.570	0.784	0.093	0.298	9.052	0.775	0.027	0.802	9.854
September	1.696	3.594	2.430	0.862	0.092	0.291	8.965	0.820	0.030	0.851	9.815
October	1.708	3.295	2.296	0.781	0.091	0.281	8.452	0.787	0.032	0.819	9.271
November	1.637	3.438	2.427	0.732	0.083	0.272	8.589	0.729	0.031	0.761	9.350
December	1.593	3.386	2.315	0.718	0.080	0.267	8.358	0.701	0.028	0.729	9.087
January	1.570	2.863	2.029	0.639	0.086	0.271	7.458	0.714	0.025	0.739	8.197
February	1.589	2.949	1.809	0.607	0.088	0.258	7.299	0.692	0.021	0.714	8.013
March	1.716	3.162	2.169	0.743	0.095	0.270	8.155	0.743	0.028	0.771	8.926
April	1.688	3.389	2.690	0.636	0.093	0.286	8.782	0.781	0.026	0.806	9.588
May	1.728	3.893	2.713	0.598	0.095	0.299	9.326	0.833	0.023	0.856	10.182
June	1.527	3.506	2.513	0.832	0.094	0.297	8.769	0.769	0.033	0.802	9.571
FY TOTAL	19.719	40.515	28.569	8.726	1.069	3.362	101.961	9.091	0.333	9.424	111.386
FY 2026											
July	1.414	3.393	2.660	0.917	0.087	0.298	8.769	0.755	0.038	0.792	9.561
August	1.523	3.430	2.598	0.814	0.081	0.294	8.739	0.790	0.030	0.820	9.559
September	1.692	3.678	2.446	0.864	0.093	0.289	9.061	0.824	0.031	0.855	9.916
October	1.706	3.373	2.310	0.795	0.092	0.279	8.555	0.790	0.033	0.823	9.379
November	1.634	3.518	2.443	0.741	0.084	0.270	8.690	0.733	0.032	0.764	9.454
December	1.590	3.465	2.329	0.726	0.081	0.265	8.455	0.704	0.028	0.732	9.188
January	1.569	2.931	2.041	0.652	0.087	0.269	7.550	0.717	0.026	0.743	8.293
February	1.588	3.019	1.819	0.617	0.089	0.256	7.387	0.695	0.022	0.717	8.105
March	1.715	3.237	2.182	0.746	0.096	0.268	8.244	0.746	0.029	0.775	9.019
April	1.685	3.469	2.708	0.648	0.094	0.284	8.889	0.784	0.026	0.810	9.700
May	1.723	3.984	2.731	0.605	0.096	0.297	9.436	0.837	0.024	0.860	10.296
June	1.523	3.586	2.529	0.855	0.095	0.295	8.884	0.773	0.034	0.806	9.690
FY TOTAL	19.362	41.083	28.797	8.982	1.074	3.363	102.661	9.147	0.352	9.498	112.159

⁽¹⁾ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions. Summations may not equal total due to rounding.



Table 4-5 Monthly Collected Toll Revenue by Method of Payment FY 2025 and FY 2026

			Passe	nger Cars (2-Ax	le)			Comme	rcial Vehicles (3+ Axle)	
Month	Commuters & Shoppers	MD E-ZPass	Full Fare E- ZPass	Video	Official Duty	Hatem Plan A & B	Total 2-Axle	E-ZPass	Video	Total 3+ Axle	Total ⁽¹⁾
FY 2025	Shoppers	IVID E-ZPass	ZPdSS	Video	Official Duty	Q D	Total 2-Axie	E-ZPdSS	video	TOTAL ST AXIE	TOTAL
July	\$2.325	\$11.349	\$13.441	\$5.176	_	-	\$32,290	\$18.072	\$0.834	\$18.906	\$51.196
August	2.469	12.744	13.152	5.071	_	_	33.437	18.844	0.754	19.598	53.034
September	2.491	12.508	12.454	5.526	_	_	32.979	20.092	0.828	20.920	53.899
October	2.509	11.618	11.740	5.054	-	-	30.921	19.229	0.886	20.115	51.036
November	2.406	12.057	12.520	4.732	-	-	31.716	17.896	0.880	18.776	50.491
December	2.343	11.839	11.893	4.645	-	-	30.720	17.527	0.796	18.323	49.043
January	2.298	9.905	10.323	4.177	-	-	26.702	17.860	0.727	18.587	45.290
February	2.326	10.211	9.185	3.908	-	-	25.630	17.151	0.615	17.767	43.397
March	2.517	11.029	11.073	4.705	-	-	29.324	18.608	0.796	19.404	48.729
April	2.474	11.753	13.508	4.109	-	-	31.844	19.160	0.742	19.901	51.746
May	2.538	13.821	13.731	3.842	_	-	33.932	20.476	0.660	21.135	55.067
June	2.256	12.541	12.839	5.228	-	-	32.865	19.028	0.892	19.921	52.785
FY TOTAL	\$28.952	\$141.377	\$145.859	\$56.173	-	-	\$372.361	\$223.944	\$9.410	\$233.353	\$605.714
FY 2026	•	•	•					•		•	
July	\$2.093	\$11.984	\$13.770	\$5.841	-	-	\$33.689	\$18.543	\$1.031	\$19.573	\$53.262
August	2.243	12.100	13.208	5.227	-	-	32.777	19.149	0.825	19.974	52.751
September	2.485	12.819	12.514	5.549	-	-	33.367	20.165	0.841	21.006	54.373
October	2.506	11.910	11.796	5.144	-	-	31.356	19.300	0.914	20.214	51.570
November	2.401	12.357	12.580	4.786	-	-	32.125	17.962	0.898	18.860	50.985
December	2.338	12.133	11.949	4.700	-	-	31.119	17.592	0.805	18.397	49.517
January	2.296	10.158	10.372	4.259	-	-	27.085	17.926	0.743	18.670	45.755
February	2.324	10.470	9.225	3.970	-	-	25.989	17.215	0.630	17.845	43.834
March	2.515	11.309	11.124	4.729	-	-	29.678	18.677	0.811	19.488	49.166
April	2.470	12.052	13.582	4.189	-	-	32.293	19.231	0.761	19.992	52.285
May	2.530	14.161	13.801	3.886	-	-	34.379	20.551	0.673	21.224	55.602
June	2.249	12.845	12.906	5.362	-	-	33.362	19.098	0.915	20.013	53.374
FY TOTAL	\$28.449	\$144.297	\$146.827	\$57.644	-	-	\$377.218	\$225.408	\$9.848	\$235.256	\$612.474

⁽¹⁾ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions. Summations may not equal total due to rounding.



Table 4-6
Estimate of Lost Transactions and Revenue from Key Bridge Collapse

			Transact	ions - Impa	ct of FSK C	Collapse			
		Differ	ence			Percent D	ifference		
				Total				Total	
Fiscal				Harbor				Harbor	
Year	ВНТ	FMT	FSK	Crossing	BHT	FMT	FSK	Crossing	
2024	0.4	0.8	(3.1)	(1.9)	1.6%	1.8%	-25.8%	-2.3%	
2025	2.1	3.4	(11.5)	(6.1)	7.7%	7.8%	-98.6%	-7.4%	
2026	2.0	3.2	(11.7)	(6.5)	7.4%	7.1%	-100.0%	-7.8%	
2027	2.0	3.2	(11.8)	(6.5)	7.4%	7.1%	-100.0%	-7.8%	
2028	2.0	3.2	(11.9)	(6.6)	7.4%	7.1%	-100.0%	-7.8%	
2029	0.7	1.1	(3.9)	(2.2)	2.9%	2.2%	-30.2%	-2.6%	
2030	0.0	0.0	(0.0)	(0.0)	0.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%	
2031	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
2032	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
2033	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Total	9.2	14.9	(53.9)	(29.8)	3.5%	3.2%	-43.8%	-3.5%	
	Toll Revenue - Impact of FSK Collapse								
			-				401070	-3.570	
		Differ	Toll Reve	nue - Impa	ct of FSK (
			Toll Reve		ct of FSK (Collapse		Total	
Fiscal			Toll Reve	nue - Impa	ct of FSK (Collapse			
Fiscal Year	ВНТ		Toll Reve ence FSK	enue - Impa Total	ct of FSK (Collapse		Total	
	\$1.1	Differo	Toll Reverence FSK (\$14.9)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2)	act of FSK (Collapse Percent D	ifference FSK -25.5%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5%	
Year		Differo FMT	Toll Reve ence FSK	Total Harbor Crossing	act of FSK (Collapse Percent D FMT	ifference FSK	Total Harbor Crossing	
Year 2024	\$1.1	Differo	Toll Reverence FSK (\$14.9)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2)	BHT	Percent D FMT 2.2%	ifference FSK -25.5%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5%	
Year 2024 2025	\$1.1 \$7.0	Differon FMT \$4.5 \$21.0	FSK (\$14.9) (\$56.2)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2) (\$28.2)	BHT 1.1% 7.3%	Percent D FMT 2.2% 9.9%	FSK -25.5% -98.3%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5% -7.7%	
Year 2024 2025 2026	\$1.1 \$7.0 \$7.9	Differon	FSK (\$14.9) (\$56.2) (\$57.6)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2) (\$28.2) (\$27.9)	BHT 1.1% 7.3% 8.2%	FMT 2.2% 9.9% 10.1%	FSK -25.5% -98.3% -100.0%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5% -7.7% -7.5%	
Year 2024 2025 2026 2027	\$1.1 \$7.0 \$7.9 \$8.0	FMT \$4.5 \$21.0 \$21.8 \$21.9	FSK (\$14.9) (\$56.2) (\$57.6) (\$58.1)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2) (\$28.2) (\$27.9) (\$28.2)	BHT 1.1% 7.3% 8.2% 8.1%	FMT 2.2% 9.9% 10.1% 10.0%	FSK -25.5% -98.3% -100.0%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5% -7.7% -7.5%	
Year 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028	\$1.1 \$7.0 \$7.9 \$8.0 \$8.1	FMT \$4.5 \$21.0 \$21.8 \$21.9 \$22.1	FSK (\$14.9) (\$56.2) (\$57.6) (\$58.1) (\$58.7)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2) (\$28.2) (\$27.9) (\$28.2)	BHT 1.1% 7.3% 8.2% 8.1% 8.1%	FMT 2.2% 9.9% 10.1% 10.0%	FSK -25.5% -98.3% -100.0% -100.0%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5% -7.7% -7.5% -7.5%	
Year 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029	\$1.1 \$7.0 \$7.9 \$8.0 \$8.1 \$2.8	FMT \$4.5 \$21.0 \$21.8 \$21.9 \$22.1 \$7.6	FSK (\$14.9) (\$56.2) (\$57.6) (\$58.1) (\$58.7) (\$20.4)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2) (\$28.2) (\$27.9) (\$28.2) (\$28.5) (\$9.9)	BHT 1.1% 7.3% 8.2% 8.1% 8.1% 3.3%	FMT 2.2% 9.9% 10.1% 10.0% 10.0% 3.3%	FSK -25.5% -98.3% -100.0% -100.0% -32.3%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5% -7.7% -7.5% -7.5% -7.5% -2.6%	
Year 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030	\$1.1 \$7.0 \$7.9 \$8.0 \$8.1 \$2.8	FMT \$4.5 \$21.0 \$21.8 \$21.9 \$22.1 \$7.6	FSK (\$14.9) (\$56.2) (\$57.6) (\$58.1) (\$58.7) (\$20.4)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2) (\$28.2) (\$27.9) (\$28.2) (\$28.5) (\$9.9)	BHT 1.1% 7.3% 8.2% 8.1% 8.1% 3.3% 0.0%	FMT 2.2% 9.9% 10.1% 10.0% 3.3% 0.0%	FSK -25.5% -98.3% -100.0% -100.0% -32.3% -0.1%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5% -7.7% -7.5% -7.5% -7.5% -0.0%	
Year 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031	\$1.1 \$7.0 \$7.9 \$8.0 \$8.1 \$2.8	FMT \$4.5 \$21.0 \$21.8 \$21.9 \$22.1 \$7.6	FSK (\$14.9) (\$56.2) (\$57.6) (\$58.1) (\$58.7) (\$20.4)	Total Harbor Crossing (\$9.2) (\$28.2) (\$27.9) (\$28.2) (\$28.5) (\$9.9)	BHT 1.1% 7.3% 8.2% 8.1% 8.1% 3.3% 0.0% 0.0%	FMT 2.2% 9.9% 10.1% 10.0% 3.3% 0.0% 0.0%	FSK -25.5% -98.3% -100.0% -100.0% -32.3% -0.1% 0.0%	Total Harbor Crossing -2.5% -7.7% -7.5% -7.5% -2.6% 0.0%	

⁽¹⁾ Actual data presented for FY 2024.



4.3 Intercounty Connector

4.3.1 Forecast Methodology and Assumptions

Base ICC annual collected trip and toll revenue forecasts were made using a review and analysis of the most recent historical trends (pre-pandemic) and the latest fiscal year, and adjusting base growth rates estimated in the most recent previous ICC forecast update, as necessary. Estimated trips and revenue reflects collected toll revenue by MDTA after assumed reductions due to leakage of unbillable and unpaid trips. The forecasts reflect the assumptions listed in **Section 4.1**, including those listed in **Table 4-1** related to MDTA business rules.

Related to other projects that may potentially impact the ICC, previous sketch-level modeling of the impacts of the Maryland I-495 and I-270 Managed Lanes Traffic Relief Plan (TRP) on the ICC showed the potential for impacts on ICC traffic. On May 12, 2021 the recommended preferred alternative (RPA) for the TRP program was announced to be **American Legion Bridge I-270 to I-370 (Phase 1 South)**. This RPA focuses solely on building a new American Legion Bridge and delivering two high occupancy toll (HOT) managed lanes in each direction on Phase 1 South. No action was taken on the remainder of I-495 east of the I-270 eastern spur. Based on sketch-level modeling, Phase 1 South is not anticipated to have any negative impacts on the ICC forecast projections and could instead have a positive impact. In the future should other phases of the TRP program advance, the potential impacts would need to be monitored. Sketch-level modeling has shown that the ICC appeared to be negatively impacted by priced managed lanes on the I-495 north beltway between I-270 and I-95, as this section of I-495 is parallel to and serves as an alternative route to the ICC for some trips.

4.3.2 Forecast Results

Table 4-7 provides the Intercounty Connector actual collected trips and revenue for FY 2024 and the forecasted collected trips and revenue for FY 2025 through FY 2034, by ETC and video. ETC transactions and revenue are both forecasted to increase in FY 2025, whereas video transactions are forecasted to decrease as backlog transactions dissipate over FY 2024. Latest daily in-lane traffic trends show the recovery from the pandemic for commuters has leveled off in the most recent fiscal year, so no additional recovery beyond normal growth was assumed in the forecast.

For purposes of budgeting and the tracking of actual versus forecasted transactions and revenue, monthly forecasts of transaction and toll revenue were developed for FY 2025 and FY 2026. **Table 4-8** presents the Intercounty Connector monthly forecasted trips and collected toll revenue for FY 2025 and FY 2026. Actual FY 2025 data is shown for July through August for transactions and revenue. All other monthly data presented in this table is forecasted.



Table 4-7
Intercounty Connector Forecasted Collected Annual Trips and Collected Toll Revenue

	Trip	Trips (Millions) ⁽¹⁾			Toll Revenue (\$ Millions)		
Fiscal Year	E-ZPass	Video	Total	E-ZPass	Video	Total	
2024 ⁽²⁾	30.7	3.3	34.0	59.6	9.5	69.0	
2025	32.5	2.8	35.3	62.8	8.1	70.8	
2026	33.2	2.9	36.1	63.8	8.4	72.1	
2027	33.9	3.0	36.9	65.1	8.6	73.7	
2028	34.7	3.1	37.8	66.6	8.9	75.5	
2029	35.3	3.2	38.4	67.7	9.1	76.8	
2030	36.0	3.3	39.2	69.0	9.3	78.3	
2031	36.5	3.3	39.8	70.1	9.4	79.5	
2032	37.2	3.4	40.5	71.4	9.6	81.0	
2033	37.6	3.4	41.1	72.3	9.7	82.0	
2034	38.2	3.5	41.7	73.4	9.9	83.3	

⁽¹⁾ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions.



⁽²⁾ Represents actual data.

Table 4-8 Intercounty Connector Forecasted Collected Monthly Trips and Collected Toll Revenue

		Trips (Mil	llions) (1)		T	oll Revenue ((\$ Millions) ⁽	(1)
Month	PC E-ZPass	CV E-ZPass	Video	Total	PC E-ZPass	CV E-ZPass	Video	Total
FY 2025								
July	3.613	0.105	0.265	3.984	\$6.384	\$0.758	\$0.752	\$7.894
August	2.607	0.074	0.255	2.935	4.738	0.570	0.725	6.034
September	2.887	0.089	0.257	3.234	5.114	0.628	0.733	6.475
October	2.649	0.080	0.229	2.958	4.728	0.562	0.657	5.947
November	2.375	0.066	0.254	2.695	4.158	0.472	0.728	5.357
December	2.440	0.070	0.232	2.742	4.318	0.507	0.662	5.487
January	2.234	0.061	0.212	2.506	3.918	0.435	0.608	4.961
February	2.296	0.064	0.201	2.561	4.166	0.510	0.574	5.249
March	2.690	0.071	0.221	2.982	4.783	0.511	0.628	5.922
April	2.504	0.074	0.232	2.809	4.494	0.529	0.659	5.682
May	2.769	0.077	0.218	3.064	4.924	0.554	0.616	6.093
June	2.529	0.071	0.262	2.862	4.486	0.506	0.745	5.737
FY TOTAL	31.593	0.900	2.839	35.332	\$56.211	\$6.541	\$8.086	\$70.838
FY 2026								
July	2.650	0.083	0.266	2.999	\$4.652	\$0.587	\$0.762	\$6.001
August	2.599	0.077	0.246	2.923	4.595	0.551	0.699	5.844
September	3.077	0.091	0.271	3.439	5.449	0.643	0.772	6.864
October	2.823	0.082	0.242	3.147	5.038	0.576	0.695	6.309
November	2.531	0.068	0.251	2.849	4.431	0.483	0.716	5.630
December	2.600	0.072	0.241	2.913	4.601	0.519	0.687	5.808
January	2.380	0.062	0.221	2.663	4.175	0.446	0.632	5.253
February	2.446	0.065	0.210	2.722	4.439	0.523	0.598	5.560
March	2.866	0.072	0.249	3.188	5.097	0.524	0.707	6.328
April	2.668	0.076	0.233	2.977	4.788	0.542	0.662	5.992
May	2.951	0.079	0.227	3.257	5.247	0.567	0.643	6.456
June	2.695	0.073	0.280	3.047	4.781	0.518	0.794	6.093
FY TOTAL	32.286	0.899	2.937	36.122	\$57.293	\$6.478	\$8.367	\$72.138

 $[\]overline{\ ^{(1)}}$ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions.



4.4 I-95 ETLs

4.4.1 Forecast Methodology and Assumptions

The I-95 ETL forecasts were made using a spreadsheet modeling methodology. The spreadsheet model was calibrated to actual FY 2024 I-95 ETL traffic and revenue performance and was then used to forecast future traffic and revenue for the existing ETL section and the future ETL extensions. To update the I-95 ETL forecast spreadsheet model for this forecast, a rebenchmarking was performed based on the latest collected transactions and revenue data to refine inputs such as E-ZPass market share and vehicle class distribution. The daily data was used to compare the raw traffic growth to the growth forecast assumed in the model.

Once the spreadsheet model was calibrated, it was used to develop the 10-year forecast. The I-95 ETL forecast used the assumptions described in **Section 4.1**, including the detailed assumptions related to the northbound tolled distance change in FY 2025. This change reduces the toll rate for only the existing northbound segment to assume a distance of 6.5 miles instead of 7.0 miles. Also included for the I-95 ETL forecast was the assumption of the future northbound extension. This project will include widening and construction of the I-95 ETLs northbound from MD 43 to beyond MD 24 to accommodate two ETL lanes and I-695 direct connectors as detailed in the construction impacts discussion within **Section 4.2**. A schematic showing the I-95 ETL extensions is included in **Chapter 1**. The toll rate change discussed above is assumed to occur in conjunction with the opening of the first extension. A baseline growth forecast was applied to estimate future volumes on the corridor. Based on the calibrated settings within the model, the future year models estimated what percent of traffic will choose to use the ETLs based on capacity, estimated future speeds within the corridor, value of time, toll rates, and travel time reliability.



4.4.2 Forecast Results

Table 4-9 provides the forecasted annual trips and toll revenue for the total of the existing section and planned extensions of the I-95 ETLs, including the I-695 direct connectors. Access changes to and from the ETLs are planned with the opening of the extensions

Table 4-9
I-95 ETL Total with Extensions Forecasted Collected Annual Trips and Toll Revenue

	Trip	s (Millions	s) ⁽¹⁾	Toll Revenue (\$ Millions) (1)			
Fiscal Year	E-ZPass	Video	Total	E-ZPass	Video	Total	
2024 (2)	8.8	0.3	9.1	13.4	0.7	14.1	
2025 ⁽³⁾	9.6	0.3	9.9	16.2	0.8	17.0	
2026	10.7	0.4	11.0	20.2	1.1	21.3	
2027	11.1	0.4	11.5	21.2	1.1	22.3	
2028 (4)	13.4	0.5	13.9	27.3	1.4	28.7	
2029	15.5	0.6	16.1	32.8	1.7	34.5	
2030	16.1	0.6	16.7	34.0	1.8	35.8	
2031	16.7	0.6	17.3	35.4	1.8	37.2	
2032	17.3	0.6	17.9	36.7	1.9	38.6	
2033	17.9	0.7	18.6	38.0	1.9	40.0	
2034	18.5	0.7	19.2	39.4	2.0	41.4	

⁽¹⁾ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions.

For purposes of budgeting and the tracking of actual versus forecasted trips and revenue, monthly forecasts of collected trips and toll revenue were developed for FY 2025 and FY 2026. **Table 4-9** provides the monthly forecasted collected trips and toll revenue for the I-95 ETLs by passenger car and commercial vehicle. Actual FY 2025 data is shown for July through August for transactions and revenue. All other monthly data presented in this table is forecasted.



⁽²⁾ Represents actual data.

⁽³⁾ Phase 1 of northbound extension assumed opening on Jan 1, 2025, toll distance change assumed for northbound existing section.

⁽⁴⁾ Phase 2 of northbound extension and I-695 DCs assumed opening on Jan 1, 2028.

Table 4-10 I-95 ETL Forecasted Monthly Collected Trips and Toll Revenue

	Trip	Trips (Millions) (1)			enue (\$ Mi	
Month	E-ZPass	Video	Total	E-ZPass	Video	Total
FY 2025						
July	0.801	0.026	0.828	1.173	0.057	1.230
August	0.826	0.029	0.854	1.217	0.062	1.279
September	0.819	0.034	0.853	1.414	0.085	1.499
October	0.836	0.033	0.869	1.440	0.082	1.523
November	0.822	0.030	0.852	1.406	0.075	1.481
December	0.789	0.029	0.818	1.360	0.072	1.432
January	0.672	0.024	0.696	1.185	0.060	1.245
February	0.628	0.021	0.648	1.101	0.053	1.154
March	0.769	0.026	0.794	1.349	0.065	1.413
April	0.876	0.030	0.906	1.487	0.074	1.561
May	0.879	0.030	0.909	1.513	0.075	1.588
June	0.883	0.032	0.915	1.514	0.080	1.595
FY TOTAL	9.599	0.344	9.942	\$ 16.159	\$ 0.841	\$ 16.999
FY 2026						
July	0.932	0.038	0.971	1.748	0.103	1.851
August	1.002	0.037	1.039	1.882	0.100	1.982
September	0.896	0.037	0.933	1.706	0.100	1.806
October	0.915	0.036	0.951	1.737	0.097	1.835
November	0.899	0.033	0.932	1.695	0.089	1.784
December	0.864	0.032	0.895	1.641	0.086	1.726
January	0.735	0.026	0.762	1.432	0.072	1.504
February	0.687	0.023	0.710	1.331	0.062	1.393
March	0.841	0.028	0.869	1.630	0.077	1.706
April	0.958	0.032	0.990	1.790	0.088	1.878
May	0.962	0.033	0.994	1.825	0.089	1.914
June	0.966	0.035	1.001	1.826	0.095	1.921
FY TOTAL	10.657	0.390	11.047	\$ 20.243	\$ 1.057	\$ 21.301

⁽¹⁾ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions.



4.5 Other Revenue

4.5.1 Forecast Methodology and Assumptions

In addition to collected toll revenue, MDTA also collects "Other Revenue" associated with the operation of its facilities. These have been summarized into the following categories:

- 1. Unused Commuter and Shoppers Plan Trips
- 2. Transponder Fees and Sales
 - a. Transponder sales
 - b. Monthly Service Fees
- 3. Hatem E-ZPass® program
- 4. Violation Recovery
- 5. Commercial Vehicle Fees and Discounts
 - a. Post-Usage Discount
 - b. Supplemental Rebate Plan
 - c. Over-Size Permit Fee

The following sub-sections provide a description of each of the other revenue categories that are considered in this forecast. Note that previously CDM Smith also included another category called concession revenue in the annual forecast update. At the direction of MDTA, in this forecast concession revenue is no longer included in other revenue.

Unused Commuter and Shoppers Plan Trips

MDTA provides customers the option to enroll in commuter plans which provide discounts for frequent trips. As discussed previously in **Chapter 1**, MDTA offers three different Commuter Plans based on the facilities included in the plan as well as a Shoppers Plan. All plans allow customers to purchase a large number of discounted trips that must be used in a specific time period. Any remaining balance after the time periods have expired is included in other revenue as "unused pre-paid trip revenue".

Transponder Fees and Sales

As of May 23, 2018, the \$7.50 cost for the Standard E-ZPass® transponder was eliminated, while costs for the Exterior Mount and Flex transponders are \$13.50 and \$16.50, respectively. The Standard is the more typical windshield mounted transponder, the Exterior is mounted to a passenger car's front license plate, and the Flex is for those traveling on Express Lanes and allows vehicles to indicate if they have the number of people in their vehicle to qualify for HOV discounts using the switch to display "HOV On".

Prior to July 1, 2015, account holders were subject to a monthly account fee of \$1.50. Accounts making three-or-more transactions per month were exempt from this fee, but any user with less than three transactions were charged. As of July 1, 2015, this monthly account fee was eliminated for Maryland E-ZPass® account holders. Monthly fees are still assessed on Maryland E-ZPass® accounts for out-of-state customers but were temporarily paused in FY 2022 as part of customer focused business rule changes. These fees were resumed in FY 2023 on August 10th, 2022.



Hatem E-ZPass® Program

The Hatem Bridge E-ZPass® Program provides drivers with two possible plan options. Choice A allows drivers with a two-axle vehicle to pay \$20 per year for unlimited trips without any additional fees or prepaid toll deposits. However, this plan allows the E-ZPass® to only be used on the Hatem Bridge, and cannot be used at other toll facilities or with other E-ZPass® discount plans. Choice B is an add-on to a standard Maryland E-ZPass® account. This allows drivers to pay \$20 per year for unlimited trips at the Hatem Bridge. There are associated account maintenance fees for non-Maryland accounts as well as a pre-paid toll balance, but this plan also gives drivers a discount off the base toll rate for two-axle vehicles at all Maryland toll facilities, excluding the Intercounty Connector and I-95 Express Toll Lanes, and can be combined with other discount plans. The discount provided is 37.5 percent for the Bay Bridge and 25 percent for all other facilities. Revenue associated with purchasing these plans is included in the other revenue.

Violation Recovery

Historical violation recovery data through FY 2023 have been provided by MDTA. Prior to FY 2016, "violation fees" were charged to drivers who chose not to initially pay their toll. Since video customers are no longer assessed "violations fees" but are instead assessed civil penalties if they do not pay their video tolls within 45 days, no estimates of future "violation fee" revenue for the Legacy facilities, the ICC and I-95 Express Toll Lanes are included in the other revenue forecast. Future forecasts of civil penalty revenue are based on the following assumptions:

- Civil penalties were reduced from \$50 to \$25 in FY 2021 for all transactions with civil penalties and will remain at \$25 for the duration of the forecast.
- Civil penalty collections in FY 2023 were impacted due to the MDTA customer assistance program which was initiated in February 2022 and terminated December 14, 2022. Civil penalty collections are assumed to be returned to normal procedure in FY 2024.

Commercial Vehicles Fees and Discounts

There are two available discount programs for commercial vehicles with five-or-more-axles. The first plan is the post-usage plan, which is account specific and can be used on all eligible facilities. With this plan, each account is assessed after 30 days and the post-usage discount is calculated based on the total toll usage. The fee estimates for this program were developed from existing data and historical trends.

The other available discount plan is similar in that it is account specific and can be used on all eligible facilities. With this plan however, the account assessment after 30 days calculates the discount based on the total trips per transponder.

In addition to the two discount plans available to commercial vehicles, there is a fee for over-sized and/or overweight vehicles. As of May 1, 2009, a \$25 permit fee was charged and covered all MDTA maintained roadways along the vehicle's route. This fee is a one-time charge and is not applied at any specific tolling location.



4.5.2 Forecast Results

Table 4-11 provides the historical and forecasted other revenue for the Legacy facilities, ICC, and I-95 ETLs. Historical data is shown for FY 2019 through FY 2024. Due to the business rule changes taken by MDTA, other revenue increased significantly from FY 2021 to FY 2024. This is due to an increase in processing of the backlogged video transactions, leading to an increase in civil penalty collections particularly in FY 2023 and FY 2024, after the termination of the customer assistance plan. Other revenue is forecasted to decrease in FY 2025 and again slightly in FY 2026 due to the depletion of backlog transactions. After FY 2028, other revenue is forecasted to grow in the range of 0.9 to 2.5 percent per year.

Table 4-12 provides the FY 2025 and FY 2026 monthly other revenue forecast for the combined Legacy facilities, ICC, and I-95 ETLs.



Table 4-10 Other Revenue by Facility

				Legacy	Facilities				Intercour	nty Connec	tor & I-95	
					Violation				Service I	Fees and	Violation	
	S	ervice Fee	s and Sale	S	Recovery	Com	mercial Veh	icles	Sa	les	Recovery	
	Unused							Over-				
	Pre-Paid	Trans-	Monthly	Hatem		Post-	High	size	Trans-	Monthly		
Fiscal	Trip	ponder	Account	E-Z Pass	Civil	Usage	Frequency	Permit	ponder	Account	Civil	Total Other
Year ⁽¹⁾	Revenue	Sales	Fees	Program	Penalties	Discount	Discount	Fee	Sales	Fees	Penalties	Revenue ⁽²⁾
2019	14.00	(0.60)	1.59	1.68	21.27	(8.58)	(1.20)	1.26	(0.10)	0.27	10.19	39.78
2020	10.64	0.22	2.05	1.69	16.93	(8.63)	(1.30)	1.06	0.04	0.34	11.93	34.96
2021	4.49	(0.12)	2.01	1.57	13.66	(6.76)	(0.84)	1.05	(0.00)	0.05	3.58	18.70
2022	11.41	0.33	(0.32)	1.76	18.03	(10.87)	(1.02)	1.19	0.04	(0.04)	6.17	26.68
2023	16.90	0.29	2.36	1.86	14.42	(8.54)	(0.97)	1.28	0.03	0.26	5.47	33.36
2024	17.18	0.31	3.16	1.85	44.39	(8.49)	(0.89)	1.18	0.04	0.37	12.13	71.23
2025	17.39	0.31	2.56	1.92	31.12	(7.86)	(0.82)	1.14	0.03	0.29	8.72	54.80
2026	17.33	0.30	2.42	1.87	28.72	(8.02)	(0.88)	1.16	0.04	0.29	8.37	51.59
2027	17.46	0.30	2.43	1.87	28.82	(8.06)	(0.88)	1.17	0.04	0.30	8.60	52.05
2028	17.63	0.31	2.46	1.88	29.02	(8.11)	(0.89)	1.17	0.04	0.32	8.88	52.71
2029	17.64	0.31	2.46	1.88	29.46	(8.45)	(0.92)	1.22	0.04	0.33	9.22	53.18
2030	17.68	0.31	2.47	1.88	30.70	(8.64)	(0.95)	1.25	0.04	0.34	9.41	54.49
2031	17.96	0.31	2.50	1.89	31.07	(8.70)	(0.95)	1.26	0.04	0.35	9.60	55.33
2032	18.13	0.31	2.53	1.90	31.47	(8.76)	(0.96)	1.27	0.04	0.36	9.78	56.07
2033	18.21	0.32	2.54	1.90	31.75	(8.77)	(0.96)	1.27	0.04	0.36	9.98	56.64
2034	18.33	0.32	2.56	1.90	31.90	(8.80)	(0.96)	1.27	0.05	0.37	10.14	57.08

Source: Historical data from MDTA

(1) FY 2019 - 2024 represents actual data.

(2) Summations may not match total due to rounding.



Table 4-11 Forecasted Monthly Other Revenue

	Total Other
Month	Revenue
FY 2025	Revenue
July	\$4.378
August	6.432
September	4.224
October	3.381
November	3.846
December	5.816
January	4.145
February	3.970
March	5.235
April	4.758
May	4.395
June	4.220
FY TOTAL	\$54.799
FY 2026	
July	\$4.069
August	3.790
September	4.129
October	3.455
November	3.694
December	5.820
January	4.025
February	3.903
March	5.300
April	4.733
May	4.405
June	4.264
FY TOTAL	\$51.586



Chapter 5

Total Forecast Results

This chapter provides a summary of the total MDTA system collected transactions/trips and revenue for all facilities. **Table 5-1** provides the total annual collected transactions for the Legacy system and total trips for the Intercounty Connector (ICC) and I-95 ETLs for FY 2024 actual and the FY 2025 to FY 2034 forecast.

Table 5-1
Total System Collected Transactions/Trips

	Total System concetta Transactions/ Trips								
		Transactions (millions)							
					Percent				
Fiscal Year	Legacy	ICC	I-95 ETL	Total (1)	Change				
2024 ⁽²⁾	115.1	34.0	9.1	158.2	-				
2025	111.4	35.3	9.9	156.7	(1.0)				
2026	112.2	36.1	11.0	159.3	1.7				
2027	113.1	36.9	11.5	161.6	1.4				
2028	114.4	37.8	13.9	166.1	2.8				
2029	118.9	38.4	16.1	173.4	4.4				
2030	121.4	39.2	16.7	177.3	2.2				
2031	123.2	39.8	17.3	180.3	1.7				
2032	124.3	40.5	17.9	182.8	1.4				
2033	124.8	41.1	18.6	184.5	0.9				
2034	125.7	41.7	19.2	186.6	1.1				

⁽¹⁾ Summations may not equal total due to rounding.

Table 5-2 provides the total system collected revenue, summarized by Legacy system toll revenue, ICC toll revenue, I-95 ETL toll revenue, and other revenue for all MDTA facilities for FY 2024 actual and the FY 2025 to FY 2034 forecast.

Figure 5-1 provides a graphical representation of the share of transactions/trips by facility for the first year and last year of the 10-year forecast, FY 2025 and 2034. In FY 2025, the Legacy system is forecasted to account for 71 percent of total transactions and trips, and the I-95 ETLs are forecasted to account for the smallest share at 6 percent. By FY 2033, due to comparatively higher growth rates on the ICC and I-95 ETLs, and the I-95 ETL extension, the Legacy system is forecasted to account for 67 percent of total transactions. ICC trips are forecasted to decrease slightly from 23 to 22 percent, and the I-95 ETL trips are forecasted to increase to 10 percent by FY 2034.




⁽²⁾ Represents actual data.

Table 5-2
Total System Collected Toll and Other Revenue

	Revenue (\$ millions)							
Fiscal Year	Legacy	ICC	I-95 ETL	Other ⁽¹⁾	Total ⁽²⁾	Percent Change		
2024 ⁽³⁾	628.7	69.0	14.1	71.2	783.0	-		
2025	605.7	70.8	17.0	54.8	748.4	(4.4)		
2026	612.5	72.1	21.3	51.6	757.5	1.2		
2027	618.1	73.7	22.3	52.0	766.1	1.1		
2028	625.1	75.5	28.7	52.7	782.0	2.1		
2029	644.4	76.8	34.5	53.2	808.9	3.4		
2030	656.2	78.3	35.8	54.5	824.8	2.0		
2031	663.6	79.5	37.2	55.3	835.6	1.3		
2032	669.2	81.0	38.6	56.1	844.8	1.1		
2033	671.4	82.0	40.0	56.6	850.0	0.6		
2034	675.2	83.3	41.4	57.1	856.9	0.8		

⁽¹⁾ Includes Other Revenue from Legacy, ICC, and I-95 ETL. Does not include concession revenue.

Figure 5-1
Share of Collected Transactions/Trips, FY 2025 and FY 2034





⁽²⁾ Summations may not equal total due to rounding.

⁽³⁾ Represents actual data.

Figure 5-2 provides the same graphical representation for collected total revenue, separated by facility toll revenue and other revenue. Due to the higher share of transactions, the Legacy system also provides the highest share of total revenue and is forecasted to decrease slightly from 81 percent in FY 2025 to 79 percent in FY 2034. The ICC and I-95 ETLs will increase slightly from FY 2025 to FY 2034 due to the I-95 ETL extension and the higher projected growth rate for ICC, while other revenue is forecasted to stay at seven percent in both years.

FY 2025 FY 2034 **Other** I-95 **Other I-95** 7% 2% **7**% 5% ICC ICC 9% 10% Legacy Legacy **79%** 81%

Figure 5-2 Share of Collected Total Revenue, FY 2025 and FY 2034

Table 5-3 summarizes the FY 2025 and FY 2026 monthly forecasted transactions, toll revenue, and other revenue for the combined Legacy system, ICC, and I-95 ETL's.



Table 5-3
Total System Collected Monthly Transactions, Toll Revenue, and Other Revenue

	Transactions	Rever	ıue ((\$ Million	s) ⁽¹⁾	(2)
Month	(Millions) (1)	Toll		Other		Total
FY 2025						
July	14.341	60.320		4.378		64.697
August	13.643	60.348		6.432		66.779
September	13.902	61.872		4.224		66.096
October	13.099	58.506		3.381		61.887
November	12.897	57.330		3.846		61.176
December	12.647	55.963		5.816		61.779
January	11.400	51.496		4.145		55.641
February	11.223	49.800		3.970		53.770
March	12.702	56.064		5.235		61.299
April	13.303	58.988		4.758		63.746
May	14.155	62.749		4.395		67.144
June	13.348	60.117		4.220		64.337
FY TOTAL	156.660	\$ 693.551	\$	54.799	\$	748.351
FY 2026						
July	13.530	61.114		4.069		65.183
August	13.521	60.577		3.790		64.367
September	14.287	63.044		4.129		67.173
October	13.477	59.714		3.455		63.168
November	13.236	58.400		3.694		62.094
December	12.995	57.051		5.820		62.870
January	11.717	52.511		4.025		56.536
February	11.536	50.787		3.903		54.690
March	13.076	57.200		5.300		62.500
April	13.667	60.155		4.733		64.888
May	14.547	63.972		4.405		68.378
June	13.738	61.388		4.264		65.652
FY TOTAL	159.328	\$ 705.912	\$	51.586	\$	757.499

⁽¹⁾ Includes impacts due to leakage, including unpaid transactions.



 $^{^{(2)}}$ Other revenue does not include concession revenue.

Chapter 6

Forecast Comparisons

This chapter provides comparisons of the current forecasts for the Legacy system, Intercounty Connector, and I-95 ETL's against the previous forecasts from the June 2024 FSK forecast update in the report "FSK Bridge Collapse Traffic and Revenue Forecast Update."

Table 6-1 provides the forecast comparison for the Legacy system, with actual revenue shown for FY 2024 in the current forecast. Passenger car revenue is forecasted to be higher than the previous forecast in all years due to increased E-ZPass transactions and updated construction impacts. Commercial vehicles are forecasted to decrease by more than 5.5 percent compared to the June forecast due adjustments to near-term growth rates and adjustments to video collection rates by vehicle class.

Table 6-1
Legacy System Toll Revenue Comparison

	ı	Passenger Cars	er Cars Comn			cles	T	Total Vehicles		
		% Diff -			% Diff -			% Diff -		
Fiscal		Current vs.			Current vs.			Current vs.		
Year	June 2024	June 2024	Current ⁽¹⁾	June 2024	Nov. 2022	Current ⁽¹⁾	June 2024	June 2024	Current (1)	
2024	\$ 386.4	0.1%	\$ 386.6	\$ 243.8	-0.7%	\$ 242.1	\$ 630.2	-0.2%	\$ 628.7	
2025	370.9	0.4%	372.4	246.5	-5.3%	233.4	617.5	-1.9%	605.7	
2026	378.2	-0.2%	377.2	249.6	-5.7%	235.3	627.7	-2.4%	612.5	
2027	377.5	1.1%	381.6	251.3	-5.9%	236.5	628.8	-1.7%	618.1	
2028	370.5	4.4%	386.8	252.5	-5.6%	238.4	623.0	0.3%	625.1	
2029	399.5	-0.3%	398.2	262.0	-6.1%	246.2	661.5	-2.6%	644.4	
2030	408.1	-0.7%	405.2	266.7	-5.9%	251.0	674.8	-2.8%	656.2	
2031	410.8	0.1%	411.1	267.7	-5.7%	252.5	678.5	-2.2%	663.6	
2032	413.6	0.3%	415.0	268.9	-5.5%	254.2	682.4	-1.9%	669.2	
2033	416.4	0.1%	416.8	270.4	-5.9%	254.5	686.8	-2.2%	671.4	
2034	-	-	419.6	-	-	255.6	-	-	675.2	

⁽¹⁾ Actual revenue shown for 2024.



Table 6-2 provides the forecast comparison for the Intercounty Connector. The current forecast is higher than the June 2024 forecast by 3.3 percent in FY 2025, tapering down to 2.9 percent by FY 2033. This reduction is due to benchmarking to the latest trends on the ICC including the average toll, which more accurately adjusts the vehicle class distribution and trip length in the transactions on the facility compared to last year's forecast.

Table 6-2
Intercounty Connector Comparison

		% Diff -	
Fiscal		Current vs.	(4)
Year	June 2024	June 2024	Current ⁽¹⁾
2024	\$ 71.8	-3.8%	\$ 69.0
2025	68.6	3.3%	70.8
2026	70.4	2.5%	72.1
2027	71.8	2.7%	73.7
2028	73.2	3.1%	75.5
2029	74.6	2.9%	76.8
2030	76.1	2.9%	78.3
2031	77.3	2.9%	79.5
2032	78.4	3.2%	81.0
2033	79.7	2.9%	82.0
2034	-	-	83.3

⁽¹⁾ Actual revenue shown for 2024.

Table 6-3 provides the forecast comparison for the I-95 ETLs. In the current forecast, near-term projections were revised to the latest FY 2024 trends and the distance correction was made to the northbound existing segment in FY 2025. Baseline growth from the June 2024 forecast was used.

Table 6-3 I-95 ETLs Comparison

Fiscal		% Diff - Current vs.		
Year	June 2024	June 2024	Current ⁽¹⁾	
2024	\$ 14.4	-2.6%	\$ 14.1	
2025	18.5	-8.1%	17.0	
2026	22.7	-6.1%	21.3	
2027	23.7	-6.1%	22.3	
2028	30.4	-5.5%	28.7	
2029	36.3	-5.0%	34.5	
2030	37.7	-5.0%	35.8	
2031	39.2	-5.1%	37.2	
2032	40.6	-5.0%	38.6	
2033	42.1	-5.0%	40.0	
2034	-	-	41.4	

⁽¹⁾ Actual revenue shown for 2024.



Table 6-4 provides the forecast comparison for other revenue. Actual FY 2024 other revenue came in 5.5 percent lower than forecast due to underperformance in civil penalty collections. FY 2025 other revenue is forecasted to be higher than the previous forecast due to the assumptions for collections enforcement and changes to the video collections assumptions. By reducing the share of customers that are paying at the NOTD level, there is a larger pool of customers that may pay at the citation level and therefore will pay civil penalties. Due to this, the civil penalty revenue was increased in the range of 10 to 12 percent compared to the June 2024 forecast.

Table 6-5 provides the forecasted total revenue comparison for the entire MDTA system.

Table 6-4
Other Revenue Comparison⁽¹⁾

Fiscal		% Diff - Current vs.	
Year	June 2024	June 2024	Current ⁽²⁾
2024	\$ 75.4	-5.5%	\$ 71.2
2025	48.1	14.0%	54.8
2026	46.6	10.7%	51.6
2027	46.9	11.0%	52.0
2028	47.1	12.0%	52.7
2029	49.1	8.2%	53.2
2030	49.6	10.0%	54.5
2031	50.0	10.7%	55.3
2032	50.4	11.3%	56.1
2033	50.7	11.7%	56.6
2034	-	-	57.1

⁽¹⁾ Other revenue forecasts do not include concession revenue.



⁽²⁾ Actual revenue shown for 2024.

Table 6-5
Total System Revenue Comparison

		Total System	
		% Diff -	
Fiscal		Current vs.	
Year	June 2024	June 2024	Current ⁽¹⁾
2024	\$ 791.8	-1.1%	\$ 783.0
2025	752.6	-0.6%	748.4
2026	767.4	-1.3%	757.5
2027	771.2	-0.7%	766.1
2028	773.7	1.1%	782.0
2029	821.6	-1.5%	808.9
2030	838.1	-1.6%	824.8
2031	844.9	-1.1%	835.6
2032	851.9	-0.8%	844.8
2033	859.2	-1.1%	850.0
2034	-	-	856.9

⁽¹⁾ Actual revenue shown for 2024.



Disclaimer

CDM Smith used currently-accepted professional practices and procedures in the development of the traffic and revenue estimates in this report. However, as with any forecast, it should be understood that differences between forecasted and actual results may occur, as caused by events and circumstances beyond the control of the forecasters. In formulating the estimates, CDM Smith reasonably relied upon the accuracy and completeness of information provided (both written and oral) by the MDTA. CDM Smith also relied upon the reasonable assurances of independent parties and is not aware of any material facts that would make such information misleading.

CDM Smith made qualitative judgments related to several key variables in the development and analysis of the traffic and revenue estimates that must be considered as a whole; therefore, selecting portions of any individual result without consideration of the intent of the whole may create a misleading or incomplete view of the results and the underlying methodologies used to obtain the results. CDM Smith gives no opinion as to the value or merit of partial information extracted from this report.

All estimates and projections reported herein are based on CDM Smith's experience and judgment and on a review of information obtained from multiple agencies, including MDTA. These estimates and projections may not be indicative of actual or future values, and are therefore subject to substantial uncertainty. Certain variables such as future developments, economic cycles, pandemics, government actions, climate change related events, or impacts related to advances in automotive technology etc. cannot be predicted with certainty and may affect the estimates or projections expressed in this report, such that CDM Smith does not specifically guarantee or warrant any estimate or projection contained within this report.

While CDM Smith believes that the projections and other forward-looking statements contained within the report are based on reasonable assumptions as of the date of the report, such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from the results predicted. Therefore, following the date of this report, CDM Smith will take no responsibility or assume any obligation to advise of changes that may affect its assumptions contained within the report, as they pertain to socioeconomic and demographic forecasts, proposed residential or commercial land use development projects and/or potential improvements to the regional transportation network.

The report and its contents are intended solely for use by the MDTA and designated parties approved by MDTA and CDM Smith. Any use by third-parties, other than as noted above, is expressly prohibited. In addition, any publication of the report without the express written consent of CDM Smith is prohibited.

CDM Smith is not, and has not been, a municipal advisor as defined in Federal law (the Dodd Frank Bill) to MDTA and does not owe a fiduciary duty pursuant to Section 15B of the Exchange Act to MDTA with respect to the information and material contained in this report. CDM Smith is not recommending and has not recommended any action to MDTA. MDTA should discuss the information and material contained in this report with any and all internal and external advisors that it deems appropriate before acting on this information.



ITEM

4



Wes Moore, Governor Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor Paul J. Wiedefeld, Chairman

Board Members:

Dontae Carroll Cynthia D. Penny-Ardinger William H. Cox, Jr. Jeffrey S. Rosen

W. Lee Gaines, Jr. Samuel D. Snead, MCP, MA

Mario J. Gangemi, P.E. John F. von Paris

Bruce Gartner, Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance and Administration Committee **FROM:** Director of Budget Jeffrey Brown

SUBJECT: Review of FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget vs. FY 2025 Final Budget

DATE: November 7, 2024

PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to request a recommendation of approval to the Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA) Board for the FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Key points regarding the FY 2025 Final Operating Budget relative to the FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget:

FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget Request

Summary of Major Changes (\$ millions)

FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget Request	\$450.0
FY 2025 Final Operating Budget	434.1
\$ Change FY 2026 vs FY 2025	15.9
% Change FY 2026 vs FY 2025	3.7%

The proposed FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget of \$450.0 million, represents a \$15.9 million, or 3.7%, increase versus the FY 2025 Final Budget. Overall, the key drivers of the increase are mandated personnel expenses, insurance premiums, E-ZPass® service center costs, engineering costs, collective bargaining vehicle purchases, and additional road repairs. These costs are partially offset by reduced information technology (IT) costs.

ANALYSIS

To better understand the budgetary changes and their associated drivers, the changes have been analyzed by mandated and discretionary expenses.

FY 2025 Operating Budget	\$434.1
Mandated Increases	6.1
Additions	12.9
Reductions	(3.1)
FY 2026 Prelim Operating Budget	\$450.0

Attachment 1 – identifies the mandated and discretionary additions and reductions.

The mandated changes increased the budget by \$6.1 million and are as follows:

- Base salaries (0101 excludes MSP) increase due to on time step increases and reclasses for sworn and civilian employees totaling \$3.2 million.
- Maryland State Police costs increase, primarily due to salaries (0101) and retirement (0165) costs totaling \$1.1 million.
- Worker's Compensation (0175), as provided by the Department of Budget and Management (DBM), increases \$0.8 million.
- Employee & Law Enforcement Officers Pension System (0161, 0169) retirement costs increase by \$0.8 million.
- Overtime (0104) increases by \$0.4 million.
- Deferred Compensation (0172) increases by \$0.4 million.
- Social Security (0151) increases \$0.2 million.
- Health Insurance (0152) decreases by \$0.1 million.
- Unemployment Insurance (0174) decreases by \$0.2 million due to rate changes provided by DBM.
- Other (other fringe benefits, accrued leave, etc.) decrease \$0.5 million.

The operating budget includes \$12.9 million in additional discretionary spending. The key variances are as follows:

- Engineers (0807) account for a \$1.7 million increase. This increase represents additional compliance costs, project costs, and updated market rates (labor and overhead).
- Insurance premiums (1309) increase by \$1.6 million due to market cost pressures for property and liability insurance.
- Vehicle Purchases (0701) & Vehicle Maintenance (0703) increase by \$2.3 million due to ongoing replacement activity and the purchase of collective bargaining vehicles.
- *E-ZPass*® Service Center Costs (0873) increased of \$1.0 million. The increase aligns with the projected increase in transactions.
- Building & Road Repair Maintenance (0812) increases \$0.8 million to align with FY 2024 activity and ongoing maintenance such as sludge removal, drawbridge maintenance.
- Large Vehicle Purchases (0730) & Large Vehicle Maintenance (0732) increase by \$1.2 million due to replacement needs for heavy duty vehicles such as tractors, utility trailer, safety equipment, concrete mixers, etc.
- IT-related expenses for Equipment Repairs & Maintenance (0809), Outside Services (0864), and Software Maintenance (0865) increase by \$1.6 million. The Equipment Repairs & Maintenance increase is the result of a triennial payment for the backup and recovery system that is due in FY 2026. A combination of increasing IT contract rates and a triennial payment for VM Ware account for the increase in the other two subobject codes.
- Electric Utilities (0620) increase by \$0.3 million to align with FY 2024 actual costs.
- Additional Equipment (1199) increases by \$0.3 million due to Intelligent Transportation Services
- The remaining \$2.1 million increase is spread across multiple line items such as advertising, radios, janitorial services, transponders, etc.


The key variances for the \$3.1 million reduction in operating budget spending are as follows:

- Application Software Maintenance (0862) decreases by \$0.7 million as due to reduced needs.
- Microcomputers (1033) & Building Repairs & Maintenance (0812) decrease \$0.7 million. MDTA is up to date on organizational needs due to prior year purchases.
- IT-related expenses (Outside Services 0869, Data Services 0841, Electronic Equipment 1019) decrease \$0.6 million due to reduced needs.
- Fiscal Services (0829 Credit Card fees) decrease \$0.2 million due to a reduction in interchange rates/fees.
- Other reductions that totaling \$0.9 million across multiple line items include Management Studies, Snow Removal, and other expenses.

Figure 1 graphically displays the FY 2026 budget by division and purpose.

Where It Goes: Budget by Division & Purpose

Figure 1



ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 - Summary of Major Changes Attachment 2 - FY 2026 SummaryByObject

Summary of Major Changes

	FY26 Prelim vs FY25
FY 2026 Final Preliminary Budget	\$450.0
FY 2025 Final Operating Budget	434.1
\$ Increase FY 2025 Final over 2026 Prelim	\$15.9
% Increase FY 2025 Final over 2026 Prelim	3.7%
FY 2025 Final Operating Budget	\$434.1
Mandated Increases	6.1
Additions	12.9
Reductions	(3.2)
FY 2026 Preliminary Budget Request	\$449.9
Mandated	
On Time Steps/Reclasses	\$2.9
Maryland State Police	1.1
Workers Compensation	0.8
Employee and LEOPS Pension	0.8
Overtime	0.4
Deferred Compensation	0.4
Social Security	0.2
Health Insurance	(0.1)
Unemployment Insurance	(0.2)
Other	(0.2)
Total Mandated	\$6.1
Additions	
Engineers	\$1.7
Insurance Vahiala Purahasas, Maintananas & Panair	1.6 2.3
Vehicle Purchases, Maintenance & Repair Building/Road Repairs & Maintenance	0.8
E-ZPass ® Service Center Costs	1.0
Large Vehicle Purchases, Maintenance, & Repair	1.2
Equipment Repairs & Maintenance	0.6
Outside Services - Systems	0.5
Systems Software Maintenance	0.5
Utilities - Electricity	0.3
Additional Equipment	0.3
Advertising Electronic Equipment	0.2 0.2
Janitorial Services	0.2
E-ZPass® Transponders	0.2
Ammunition	0.2
Additional Office Equipment	0.1
Additional Maintenance & Building Equipment	0.1
All Other	0.9

Summary of Major Changes

	FY26 Prelim vs
	FY25
Total Additions	\$12.9
Reductions	
Applications Software Maintenance	(\$0.7)
Microcomputers	(0.7)
IT Related expenses (Outside Svcs, Comp Usage, Data	
Processing, Radios)	(0.6)
Fiscal Services	(0.2)
Management Studies & Consultants	(0.2)
Salt/Snow Melting Materials	(0.2)
Replacement Maintenance & Building Equipment	(0.1)
Communications Controllers	(0.1)
All Other	(0.3)
Total Reductions	(\$3.1)
Total Change	\$15.9

		Final	Prelim	FY26 Prelim - FY25 Final	FY26 Prelim - FY25 Final
Object	Description	FY 2025 Budget	FY 2026 Budget	\$ Inc/Dec	% Inc/Dec
OR IFCT 01	Salaries and Wages				
101	REGULAR EARNINGS	144,727,005	148,418,391	3,691,386	2.6%
102	ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE	144,964	194,092	49,128	33.9%
104	OVERTIME EARNINGS	5,524,463	5,924,877	400,414	7.2%
104	OVERTIME EARNINGS - SNOW	1,338,344	1,379,686	41,342	3.1%
105	SHIFT DIFFERENTIAL	1,036,191	980,791	(55,400)	-5.3%
110	MISCELLANEOUS P/R ADJUSTMENTS	186,409	216,612	30,203	16.2%
111	ANNUAL LEAVE PAYOUTS	217,927	192,471	(25,456)	-11.7%
112	RECLASSIFICATIONS	403,865	403,865	0	0.0%
151	SOCIAL SECURITY CONTRIBUTIONS	10,375,642	10,618,883	243,241	2.3%
152	HEALTH INSURANCE	20,404,594	20,348,928	(55,666)	-0.3%
154	RETIREE'S HLTH INSURANCE PREM	12,487,606	12,453,531	(34,075)	-0.3%
161 165	EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE POLICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM	18,070,076	18,616,316	546,240	3.0% 19.6%
169	LAW ENFORCEMNT OFF PENSION SYS	3,879,976 22,876,047	4,638,650 23,180,143	758,674 304,096	1.3%
171	BURDEN EXPENSE	0	23,180,143	0	N/A
0172	DEFERRED COMPENSATION MATCH	0	358,077	358,077	N/A
174	UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION	405,238	216,178	(189,060)	-46.7%
175	WORKERS COMPENSATION	3,010,078	3,846,102	836,024	27.8%
189	TURNOVER	(12,663,613)	(12,613,204)	50,409	-0.4%
199	OTHER FRINGE BENE - CLOTH ALLOW	918,711	859,111	(59,600)	-6.5%
		233,343,523	240,233,500	6,889,977	3.0%
Object 02 Te	chnical and Special Fees				_
202	PER DIEM PAYMENTS	150,000	125,000	(25,000)	-16.7%
209	ADMIN/MGMT SERVICES SUPPORT	0	3,000	3,000	
211	EMPLOYEE AWARDS	1,000	1,000	0	N/A
220	SPECIAL PAYMENTS PAYROLL	1,328,934	965,978	(362,956)	-27.3%
Object 02 Co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,479,934	1,094,978	(384,956)	-26.0%
301	ommunications POSTAGE	83,227	51,600	(31,627)	-38.0%
302	TELEPHONE	873,771	881,504	7,733	0.9%
303	TELECOMMUNICATIONS	775,272	789,430	14,158	1.8%
305	STATE PAID TELECOMMUNCIATIONS	2,001,477	2,000,000	(1,477)	-0.1%
306	CELL PHONE EXPENDITURES	580,690	638,791	58,101	10.0%
		4,314,437	4,361,325	46,888	1.1%
Object 04 Tr					
401	IN STATE/ROUTINE OPERTN TRAVEL	63,193	60,889	(2,304)	-3.6%
402	INSTATE/CONF/SEMNR/TRNG TRAVEL	105,110	90,098	(15,012)	-14.3%
403	OUTSTATE/ROUTINE OPERTN TRAVEL	63,064	63,393	329	0.5%
404	OUTSTATE/CONF/SEMNR/TRNG TRAVL	407,878	369,978	(37,900)	-9.3%
Object 06 Fu	el and Utilities	639,245	584,358	(54,887)	-8.6%
603	FUEL-OIL #2	147,200	144,300	(2,900)	-2.0%
606	FUEL-NATURAL GAS/PROPANE	427,009	466,434	39,425	9.2%
620	UTILITIES-ELECTRICITY	3,951,804	4,248,405	296,601	7.5%
621	UTILITIES-WATER/SEWAGE	431,203	416,703	(14,500)	-3.4%
		4,957,216	5,275,842	318,626	6.4%
Object 07 M	otor Vehicle Operations and Maintenance			,	
701	PURCH VEH-CAR,LIGHT TRUCK	9,327,990	10,926,000	1,598,010	17.1%
702	VEHICLE GAS & OIL	4,025,750	4,025,750	0	0.0%
703	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & REPAIR	2,028,957	2,721,700	692,743	34.1%
703	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & REPAIR-SNOW	0	0	0	
704	INSURANCE	347,163	407,863	60,700	17.5%
721	VEHICLE GAS & OIL-WATERCRAFT	47,814	49,347	1,533	3.2%
722	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & REPAIR-WATERCRAFT	64,503	71,431	6,928	10.7%
724	BOAT SLIP RENTAL/LAUNCHING FEES	4,000	4,200	200	5.0%
730	PURCH VEH-OTHER LAND VEH - DUMP, TRACTOR	194,000	844,000	650,000	N/A
731	LG VEHICLE GAS & OIL	1,000,000	1,000,000	450,000	0.0%
732 789	LG VEHICLE MAINT & REPAIR COMMUTE CHARGES	2,050,000 (5,000)	2,500,000 (5,000)	450,000 0	22.0% 0.0%
/ 89	COMINIO LE CHARGES	(3,000)	(3,000)	U	0.0%

		Final	Prelim	FY26 Prelim - FY25 Final	FY26 Prelim - FY25 Final
Object	Description	FY 2025 Budget	FY 2026 Budget	\$ Inc/Dec	% Inc/Dec
799	OTHER MOTOR VEHICLE CHARGES	50,000	50,000	0	0.0%
		19,135,177	22,595,291	3,460,114	18.1%
•	ontractual Services				
801	ADVERTISING/LEGAL PUBLICATION	3,064,703	3,260,638	195,935	6.4%
802 804	APPLICATIONS SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE	100,000 46,200	100,000	0 (12,950)	0.0%
804 807	PRINTING/REPRODUCTION SERVICE ENGINEERS	34,775,000	33,250 36,440,000	1,665,000	-28.0% 4.8%
808	EQUIPMENT RENTAL	501,677	498,509	(3,168)	-0.6%
809	EQUIPMENT REPAIRS & MAINT	1,356,693	1,980,430	623,737	46.0%
810	EXTERMINATION SERVICE	16,839	17,413	574	3.4%
812	BUILDING/ROAD REPAIRS & MAINT	15,468,899	16,306,962	838,063	5.4%
813	JANITORIAL SERVICES	1,797,960	1,984,467	186,507	10.4%
814	GROUNDS MAINTENANCE	45,000	86,700	41,700	92.7%
815	LAUNDRY SERVICE	3,344	3,400	56	1.7%
816 817	HOUSEKEEPING SERVICES LEGAL SERVICES	50 226,300	0 219,300	(50) (7,000)	N/A -3.1%
819	EDUCATION/TRAINING CONTRACTS	1,337,238	1,299,688	(37,550)	-2.8%
820	MEDICAL CARE	531,720	594,720	63,000	11.8%
821	MGMT STUDIES AND CONSULTANTS	6,173,622	5,966,272	(207,350)	-3.4%
823	SECURITY SERVICES	1,216,976	1,216,976	0	0.0%
824	LABORATORY SERVICES	45,578	44,278	(1,300)	-2.9%
825	VETERINARY SERVICES	31,565	31,565	0	0.0%
826	FREIGHT AND DELIVERY	18,613	17,066	(1,547)	-8.3%
827	TRASH AND GARBAGE REMOVAL	463,606	474,648	11,042	2.4%
828	OFFICE ASSISTANCE	61,387	62,000	613	1.0%
829	FISCAL SERVICES	15,930,250	15,715,250	(215,000)	-1.3%
841 843	DP CENTRAL PROCESS SVC DP COMMUNICATIONS CONTROLLERS SVC	1,100,000	900,000	(200,000)	-18.2%
843 849	TELECOMM LINES, MODEMS & CONTRLLR	500,000 596,601	400,000 612,858	(100,000) 16,257	-20.0% 2.7%
854	COMPUTER MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS	185,000	100,000	(85,000)	-45.9%
858	SOFTWARE LICENSES	154,194	73,750	(80,444)	-52.2%
862	APPL SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE	2,226,200	1,501,000	(725,200)	-32.6%
864	SYSTEMS SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE	500,000	1,000,000	500,000	100.0%
865	OUTSIDE SVCS-SYS ANALYSIS&DSGN	7,465,000	8,000,000	535,000	7.2%
866	OUTSIDE SVCS-PROGRAMMING	415,000	500,000	85,000	20.5%
869	OUTSIDE SVCS-COMPUTER USAGE	775,000	550,000	(225,000)	-29.0%
873	OUTSIDE SVC - E-ZPASS® SVC CENTER	44,000,000	45,000,000	1,000,000	2.3%
874	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL FEE RETIREMENT AGENCY ADMIN FEE	43,411	44,265	854	2.0%
875 876	STATEWIDE DOIT SERVICES	233,463	232,127 125,000	(1,336) 64,038	-0.6% 105.0%
894	STATEWIDE DON'S SERVICES STATEWIDE PERSONNEL SYS ALLOC	60,962 40,535	42,559	2,024	5.0%
897	STATEWIDE ENTERPRISE BUDGET SYSTEM	12,110	27,574	15,464	127.7%
899	OTHER CONTRACTUAL SVC-NON DP	4,400,908	4,350,118	(50,790)	-1.2%
		145,921,604	149,862,783	3,941,179	2.7%
•	pplies and Materials				
901	AGRICULTURE	38,189	53,090	14,901	39.0%
902	OFFICE SUPPLIES	418,854	390,576	(28,278)	-6.8%
903 904	ELECTRICAL MATERIALS BUILDING & HOUSEHOLD SUPPLIES	412,635 388,518	487,291	74,656	18.1%
904	ROADWAY MAINT MATERIALS	664,618	421,893 761,477	33,375 96,859	8.6% 14.6%
906	SALT/SNOW MELTING MATERIALS	1,859,664	1,659,500	(200,164)	-10.8%
908	HOUSEKEEPING SUPPLIES	70,317	73,023	2,706	3.8%
909	MEDICAL SUPPLIES	36,910	39,422	2,512	6.8%
912	WEARING APPAREL-UNIFORMS EMPL	1,187,018	1,243,258	56,240	4.7%
915	LIBRARY SUPPLIES	29,675	24,675	(5,000)	-16.8%
917	SMALL TOOLS	282,950	335,347	52,397	18.5%
918	VETERINARY SUPPLIES	73,500	29,381	(44,119)	-60.0%
920	FOOD	189,695	184,232	(5,463)	-2.9%
926	DATA PROCESSING SUPPLIES	39,746	28,802	(10,944)	-27.5%
934	AMMO GUNS FIRING RNGE SUPPLIES	593,768	744,811	151,043	25.4%

		Final	Prelim	FY26 Prelim - FY25 Final	FY26 Prelim - FY25 Final
		FY 2025	FY 2026	\$	%
Object	Description	Budget	Budget	Inc/Dec	Inc/Dec
951	E-ZPASS TRANSPONDERS	3,960,000	4,115,000	155,000	3.9%
999	OTHER SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS	334,860	343,583	8,723	2.6%
	OTHER SCIT EIES MAD MATTERIALS	10,580,917	10,935,361	354,444	3.3%
Object 10 Re	eplacement Equipment	10,000,517	10,555,501	30.,	5.570
1013	REPL MAINTENANCE & BUILDING EQUIP	401,500	296,600	(104,900)	-26.1%
1015	REPL OFFICE EQUIPMENT	85,407	80,357	(5,050)	-5.9%
1019	REPL RADIOS & ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT	241,000	66,000	(175,000)	-72.6%
1031	REPL DP EQUIP-MAINFRAME	80,000	0	(80,000)	-100.0%
1033	REPL DP EQUIP-MICROCOMPUTER	1,373,080	705,200	(667,880)	-48.6%
1036	REPL DP EQUIP-PERIPHERALS	0	14,400	14,400	N/A
1099	OTHER REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT	528,900	541,266	12,366	2.3%
		2,709,887	1,703,823	(1,006,064)	-37.1%
Object 11 Ad	lditional Equipment				
1102	ADDT'L AUDIO-VISUAL EQUIP	9,500	6,500	(3,000)	-31.6%
1103	ADDT'L CLEANING EQUIPMENT	10,000	10,000	0	N/A
1107	ADDT'L EDUCATIONAL EQUIPMENT	0	0	0	N/A
1109	ADDT'L HUMAN ENVIRONMENTAL EQUIPMENT	1,000	1,000	0	0.0%
1113	ADDT'L MAINTENANCE & BUILDING EQUIP	139,715	253,000	113,285	81.1%
1115	ADDT'L OFFICE EQUIPMENT	49,700	168,590	118,890	239.2%
1119	ADDT'L RADIOS & ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT	475,000	665,000	190,000	N/A
1131	ADDT'L DP EQUIP-MAINFRAME	0	0	0	N/A
1133	ADDT'L DP EQUIP-MICROCOMPUTER	40,000	20,000	(20,000)	N/A
1199	OTHER ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT	459,835	748,585	288,750	62.8%
-		1,184,750	1,872,675	687,925	58.1%
Object 13 Fi	· ·				
1302	INSURANCE COVERAGE PAID TO STO	838,110	866,423	28,313	3.4%
1303	RENT PAID TO DGS	1,100	1,100	0	N/A
1304	SUBSCRIPTIONS	26,675	24,020	(2,655)	-10.0%
1305	ASSOCIATION DUES	372,272	404,934	32,662	8.8%
1308	LICENSES	7,800	9,720	1,920	24.6%
1309	INSURANCE (NON STO PAYMENTS)	8,500,000	10,086,212	1,586,212	18.7%
1320	1320 BAD DEBT EXPENSE (NON TOLLS)	50,000	50,000	0	0.0%
		9,795,957	11,442,409	1,646,452	16.8%
	Total	434,062,648	449,962,346	15,899,698	3.7%

ITEM 5



Wes Moore, Governor

Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor Paul J. Wiedefeld, Chairman

Board Members:

Dontae Carroll Cynthia D. Penny-Ardinger

William H. Cox, Jr. Jeffrey S. Rosen

W. Lee Gaines, Jr. Samuel D. Snead, MCP, MA

Mario J. Gangemi, P.E. John F. von Paris

Bruce Gartner, Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

TO: MDTA Finance and Administration Committee FROM: Assistant Capital Program Manager Jennifer Stump

SUBJECT: Final FY 2025-2030 Consolidated Transportation Program (CTP)

DATE: November 7, 2024

PURPOSE OF MEMORANDUM

The purpose of this presentation is to seek your recommendation for approval of the proposed Final FY 2025-2030 CTP. The Final CTP was recommended for approval by the Capital Committee on November 6, 2024, and will be presented to the full MDTA Board for final approval on November 21, 2024.

SUMMARY

The six-year FY 2025-2030 budget in the proposed CTP is \$5.1 billion. The proposed CTP reflects a net increase in the six-year FY 2025-2030 budget of \$93.9 million (Attachment #1 – Line 7). The net FY 2025-2030 increase is the result of the following:

- Decrease in the six-year CTP budget by \$391 thousand for the Francis Scott Key Bridge (Attachment #1 Line 1).
- Decrease in the six-year CTP budget by \$16.9 million for the Nice/Middleton Bridge (Attachment #1 Line 2).
- Decrease in the six-year CTP budget by \$1.9 million for the I-95 ETL Northern Extension (Attachment #1 Line 3).
- Increase in the six-year CTP budget by \$214.6 million for all projects except Key Bridge, Nice/Middleton Bridge, I-95 ETL Northern Extension, and Reserves (Attachment #1 – Line 4).
- Decrease in the Allocated and Unallocated Reserves by \$101.5 million (Attachment #1 Line 5).

FY 2024 expenditures were \$407.4 million vs. \$434.4 million in the Draft FY 2025-2030 CTP (Attachment #1 – Line 7). FY 2024 underspending was \$27.0 million and has been rolled over into the Final FY 2025-2030 CTP.

Draft FY 2025-2030 Consolidated Transportation Program (CTP) Page Two

Highlights of project and reserve changes incorporated in the proposed Draft FY 2025-2030 CTP are shown in Attachment #2.

Added New Projects

Added eleven system preservation projects for a net increase of \$12.5 million in the FY 2025-2030 period.

Added Construction Phase

The construction phase of five projects was funded for a total of \$137.5 million transferred from the reserves as design reached 60% level and cost estimates were developed on fully developed scopes.

Modified Budgets to Reflect Completed Projects

Twelve projects were completed or deleted for a net decrease of \$6.1 million in the FY 2025-2030 period.

Modified Active Projects Due to Cost Changes and Cash Flow Adjustments

Adjusted cash flows and funded changes in engineering and/or construction budgets for eighty-five projects for a net budget increase of \$51.5 million.

Reserve Changes

The allocated reserves decreased by \$101.5 million, and the unallocated reserves remained the same.

ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment #1 CTP Comparison Tables Draft v Final FY 2025-2030 CTP
- Attachment #2 Changes from Draft to Final FY 2025-2030 CTP
- Attachment #3 Where are the Projects?
- Attachment #4 What are the Categories of Projects?

	CTP Comparison Tables - Draft v Final FY 2025-2030 CTP						rison Tabl	es - Draft v	Final FY 2	2025-2030 CTP						
Line										Total	Total					Total
٥			2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2024-2029	2025-2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2025-2034
_														. 1		
	Francis Scott Kev	Draft 25-30	\$600	\$204,927	\$376,818	\$461,879	\$400,686	\$243,645	\$13,445	\$1,688,555	\$1,701,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,701,400
1	Bridge	Final 25-30	\$991	\$204,536	\$376,818	\$461,879	\$400,686	\$243,645	\$13,445	\$1,688,555	\$1,701,009	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,701,009
		Change	\$391	(\$391)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$391)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$391)
	Nice/Middleton	Draft 25-30	\$31,615	\$7,928	\$27,497	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$67,040	\$35,424	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$35,424
2	Bridge	Final 25-30	\$28,148	\$8,109	\$10,429	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,686	\$18,538	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,538
		Change	(\$3,467)	\$182	(\$17,068)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$20,353)	(\$16,886)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$16,886)
	I-95 ETL	Draft 25-30	\$147,594	\$185,003	\$141,208	\$87,894	\$37,414	\$17,731	\$0	\$616,844	\$469,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$469,250
3	Northern Extension (Including Reserves)	Final 25-30	\$149,531	\$173,858	\$141,901	\$95,133	\$38,905	\$17,516	\$0	\$616,844	\$467,314	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$467,314
	(iliciddilig Neserves)	Change	\$1,937	(\$11,144)	\$693	\$7,239	\$1,492	(\$215)	\$0	\$0	(\$1,937)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,937)
	Remainder of CTP	Draft 25-30	\$254,607	\$379,295	\$256,845	\$124,300	\$42,748	\$21,249	\$5,430	\$1,079,045	\$829,868	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$829,868
4	(Excluding Reserves)	Final 25-30	\$228,766	\$360,092	\$360,314	\$193,248	\$87,971	\$33,159	\$9,705	\$1,263,549	\$1,044,489	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,044,489
		Change	(\$25,842)	(\$19,203)	\$103,469	\$68,948	\$45,223	\$11,909	\$4,275	\$184,504	\$214,621	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$214,621
	Allocated and	Draft 25-30	\$0	\$32,665	\$167,284	\$361,156	\$476,912	\$490,863	\$490,100	\$1,528,880	\$2,018,980	\$524,245	\$539,972	\$556,171	\$572,856	\$4,212,224
5	Unallocated	Final 25-30	\$0	\$18,952	\$169,404	\$393,352	\$461,664	\$466,946	\$407,191	\$1,510,318	\$1,917,509	\$524,245	\$539,972	\$556,171	\$572,856	\$4,110,753
	Reserves	Change	\$0	(\$13,713)	\$2,120	\$32,196	(\$15,248)	(\$23,917)	(\$82,909)	(\$18,562)	(\$101,471)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$101,471)
	Remainder of CTP	Draft 25-30	\$254,607	\$411,960	\$424,129	\$485,456	\$519,660	\$512,112	\$495,530	\$2,607,925	\$2,848,848	\$524,245	\$539,972	\$556,171	\$572,856	\$5,042,092
6	(Including Reserves)	Final 25-30	\$228,766	\$379,044	\$529,718	\$586,600	\$549,635	\$500,105	\$416,896	\$2,773,867	\$2,961,998	\$524,245	\$539,972	\$556,171	\$572,856	\$5,155,242
	(4+5)	Change	(\$25,842)	(\$32,916)	\$105,589	\$101,144	\$29,975	(\$12,008)	(\$78,634)	\$165,942	\$113,150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$113,150
		Draft 25-30	\$434,417	\$809,818	\$969,653	\$1,035,229	\$957,759	\$773,489	\$508,975	\$4,980,364	\$5,054,922	\$524,245	\$539,972	\$556,171	\$572,856	\$7,248,166
,	Total	Final 25-30	\$407,435	\$765,548	\$1,058,866	\$1,143,612	\$989,226	\$761,266	\$430,341	\$5,125,953	\$5,148,859	\$524,245	\$539,972	\$556,171	\$572,856	\$7,342,103
	(1+2+3+6)	\$ Change	(\$26,982)	(\$44,270)	\$89,213	\$108,383	\$31,467	(\$12,223)	(\$78,634)	\$145,589	\$93,937	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$93,937
		% Change	-6%	-5%	9%	10%	3%	-2%	-15%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	Cumulative Char	ige	(\$26,982)	(\$71,252)	\$17,962	\$126,345	\$157,812	\$145,589	\$79,178	\$145,589	\$79,178	\$79,178	\$79,178	\$79,178	\$79,178	\$79,178

Changes from Draft to Final FY 2025-2030 CTP

	New Projec	ts Added (\$000)		
Facility	Project Name	TEC Change	FY 2025-2030 Budget Change	
KH	2664 - Replace I-95 Southbound Bridges over Big and Little Gunpowder (Engineering only)	5,500	5,500	
KH	2661 - Chesapeake House Water System Repairs	2,700	2,700	
MA	2659 - Highways Ramp Rehabilitation and Paving (Engineering Only)	1,000	1,000	
ICC	2625 - ICC Sign Panel Replacements (Engineering Only)	917	917	
MA	2662 - On-Call Facility & Building Repairs (Engineering Only)	706	706	
HT	2663 - I-895 Southbound Spur Approach Bridge Replacement (Engineering Only)	410	410	
FT	2615 - Replace and Rehabilitate Fort McHenry Tunnel Electrical Switchgear	400	400	
MA	2658 - Multi-Area Bridge Bearings and Joints Rehabilitation	400	400	
FT	2660 - Fort McHenry Tunnel Administration Building EZ-Pass Renovation (Engineering Only)	300	300	
BB	2666 - Remodel Southern Authority Operations Center (AOC) at Bay Bridge (Engineering only)	100	100	
FT	2667 - Fort McHenry Tunnel Facility Roof Replacements (Engineering only)	51	51	
	Total - New Projects Added (11)	12,484	12,484	

Projects Modified to Add Construction Phase (\$000)				
Facility	Project Name	TEC Change	FY 2025-2030 Budget Change	
KH	2582 - I-695 Ramps to I-95 Northbound Express Toll Lanes	73,600		
MA	2584 - Replace Dynamic Message Signs (DMS) and Toll Rate Signs (TRS) at Various Facilities	23,080	23,226	
HT	2614 - Baltimore Harbor Tunnel Facility-wide Signing Upgrades	20,000	19,762	
MA	2585 - Replace Closed Circuit TV's (CCTV) at Various Facilities	10,700	10,975	
HT	2587 - Baltimore Harbor Tunnel Lane Use Signals (LUS) Extension	9,186	9,345	
	Total - Projects Modified to Add Construction Phase (5)	136,566	137,499	

	Projects Completed or Deleted (\$000)					
Facility	Project Name	TEC Change	FY 2025-2030 Budget Change	Notes		
MA	2538 - On-Call Structural Repairs & Miscellaneous Modifications	350	(772)	Project completed.		
HT	0280 - Baltimore Harbor Tunnel I-895 Bridge Replacement	121	0	Project completed.		
HT	2506 - Baltimore Harbor Tunnel In-Tunnel Fiber Improvements	25	0	Project completed.		
MA	2483 - Small Drainage Rehabilitation	(38)	0	Project completed.		
HT	2447 - Replace Baltimore Harbor Tunnel 15KV Feeders	(41)	0	Project completed.		
MA	2485 - On-Call Miscellaneous Paving Repairs	(71)	0	Project completed.		
MA	2479 - On-Call Structural Repairs & Miscellaneous Modifications	(147)	0	Project completed.		
KH	2428 - Deck Replacement on I-95 Kennedy Highway Bridge over Little Northeast Creek	(233)	0	Project completed.		
BB	2476 - Bay Bridge Crossover Automated Lane Closure System	(392)	25	Project completed.		
	Projects Completed or Deleted - continued on Page 2					

Changes from Draft to Final FY 2025-2030 CTP

Projects Completed or Deleted (\$000) - continued					
Facility	Project Name	TEC Change	FY 2025-2030 Budget Change	Notes	
BB	2369 - Deck Rehabilitation and Miscellaneous Modifications to Bay Bridge Westbound Span	(400)	44	Project completed.	
KH	2646 - Resurface Northbound I-95	(500)	(/	Project deleted - northbound and southbound resurfacing combined in PIN 2645 Resurface I-95 from the Tydings Bridge to the MD 24 Interchange.	
MA	2559 - On-Call Civil Repairs	(4,970)	(4,970)	Project deleted.	
	Total - Projects Projects Completed or Deleted (12)	(6,296)	(6,074)		

	Active Projects Modified Due to Cost Changes and Cash Flow Adjustments (\$000)				
Facility	Project Name	TEC Change	FY 2025-2030 Budget Change	Notes	
KB	2521 - MDTA Police Training Academy	19,461	19,584	Increased CO to add Phase 2.	
BB	2317 - Rehabilitate Decks of Eastbound Span - Phase I Deck Widening & Replacement of Deck Truss Spans	13,137	16,042	Increased CO for scope change to include Change Orders 2 through 7, including CMI costs on Package 1 and design changes on Package 2.	
MA	2653 - On-Call Miscellaneous Paving Repairs	4,925	4,925	Increased CO for scope expanded to include concrete pavement repairs, concrete traffic barrier replacement, and drainage repairs.	
KH	2500 - Kennedy Highway Maintenance Facility Complex	3,933	4,079	Increased PE and CO for scope change resulting from MDE review.	
KH	0202 - I-95 Southbound Hard Shoulder Running	3,100	3,067	Increased PE due to MDE permitting issues one-year delay during which prices increased.	
FT	0218 - I-95 Southbound Auxiliary Lane – Washington Boulevard to Caton Avenue (Planning & Engineering Only)	2,000	2,066	Added PE as project progressed from planning to design phase.	
FT	2571 - Fort McHenry Tunnel Campus Fuel Oil Conversion	1,779	2,487	Increased CO for on-call task order construction activites.	
KH	2645 - Resurface I-95 from the Tydings Bridge to the MD 24 Interchange (Engineering only)	1,700	1,798	Increased PE to add NB resurfacing (PIN 2646) and for increased design effort.	
FT	2251 - Rehabilitate Fort McHenry Tunnel Vent Fans	1,200	1,232	Increased CO for additional work.	
HT	2637 - Replace Eastern Avenue Bridge Over I-895 (Utility only)	701	694	Increased PE to advance design.	
MA	0228 - On-Call Electrical/Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)	600	2,155	Increased PE to add FY25/2 funding for dual contracts.	
MA	2546 - Purchase Card Information System (PCARD)	217	165	Increased CO phase because interface development labor costs between PCARD & other systems (Maximo & Dynamics) have been higher than estimated.	
MA	2523 - On-Call Facility/Building Repairs	205	101	Increased PE for greater than expected design costs.	
MA	2545 - Civil Rights Compliance Information Management System (PRISM)	176	253	Increased CO to develop interfaces and necessary reports.	
MA	2634 - On-Call Facility/Building Repairs	162	862	Increased CO for revised capital/operating split.	
KB	2621 - Drainage Improvements of I-695 at MM 50.2 Quarantine Road	155	208	Increased PE to advance project from study to design.	
HT	2560 - Baltimore Harbor Tunnel Maintenance/Auto Building HVAC and Roof Replacement	80	12	Increased PE for additional task order.	
BB	2516 - William Preston Lane Jr. Memorial Bridge AET Conversion	72	25	Increased CO for additional Construction Management Inspection (CMI)	
BB	2504 - Bay Bridge Queue Detection System	53	130	Increased PE for for Phase II, MOU, and associated PE work.	
MA	2498 - On-Call Electrical/Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)	51	299	Increased PE for allocations.	
KB	2639 - Shoreline Restoration at Key Bridge Police Headquarters (Engineering only)	50	73	Increased PE to advance design from 60% through advertisement.	
HT	2263 - Replace Baltimore Harbor Tunnel Vent Fans	12	0	Increased CO for Phase V post construction services.	
PB	2398 - Demolition of Power Plant Building (Engineering only)	6	0	Increased PE to complete study report.	
	Active Projects Modified Due to Cost Changes and Cash Flow Adjustments - continued on Page 3				

Changes from Draft to Final FY 2025-2030 CTP

	Active Projects Modified Due to Cost Changes and Cash Flow Adjustments (\$000) - continued				
Facility	Project Name	TEC Change	FY 2025-2030 Budget Change	Notes	
MA	2147 - Replace Electronic Toll Collection and Operating System - 3rd Generation	(16)	7,858	Decreased PE to reflect overhead rate audit credits.	
FT	0200 - Rehabilitate Fort McHenry Tunnel Area-Wide Lighting	(25)	0	Decreased PE for completed design phase.	
FT	0239 - Holding Tank Replacement - South Fort McHenry Tunnel Vent Building	(1,706)	(1,706)	Deceased CO for scope change.	
MA	2235 - Program Management Services for System Preservation (Engineering Only)	(6,000)	0	Decreased PE for end-or-year allocations to various projects.	
NB	1024 - Replace Nice/Middleton Bridge	(20,353)	(16,886)	Decreased CO for lower CMI costs due to favorable weather conditions that allowed the contractor to stay ahead of schedule.	
KH	2477 - I-95/Belvidere Road Interchange	0	3,667	Cash flow adjustment.	
KB	2450 - I-695 Subgrade Improvements at Bear Creek	0	3,449	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2573 - On-Call Structural Repairs	0	1,643	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2471 - 10-Year Equipment Budget - FY 2018 through FY 2027	0	1,410	Cash flow adjustment.	
FT	0237 - Rehabilitate Substructure of I-95 Bridges over Race Street (Engineering only)	0	1,181	Cash flow adjustment.	
HT	2487 - AET Conversion with Frankfurst Avenue Interchange Modifications	0	832	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2524 - On-Call Building Systems Rehabilitation/Replacement	0	826	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2553 - DYNAC Maintenance Contract (Baltimore Harbor Tunnel, Fort McHenry Tunnel, and ICC)	0	603	Cash flow adjustment.	
HT	0240 - Resurfacing North and South of Baltimore Harbor Tunnel	0	534	Cash flow adjustment.	
FT	2517 - Convert to Cashless Tolling at the Fort McHenry Tunnel	0	478	Cash flow adjustment.	
BB	2593 - Bay Bridge On-Call Structural Repairs and Modification	0	432	Cash flow adjustment.	
BB	2501 - On-Call Structural Repairs & Miscellaneous Modifications for Bay Bridge	0	428	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2496 - On-Call Drainage and Stormwater BMP Remediation III	0	388	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	0231 - On-Call Signs, Sign Lights, and Sign Structures	0	382	Cash flow adjustment.	
KH	2436 - Replace I-95 Kennedy Highway Bridge over CSXT (Engineering only)	0	348	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2551 - Environmental On-Call Phase IV	0	337	Cash flow adjustment.	
ICC	1982 - Intercounty Connector (ICC)/MD 200	0	319	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2489 - Drainage Rehabilitation - Phase III - Outfalls	0	276	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2537 - On-Call Structural Repairs & Miscellaneous Modifications	0	272	Cash flow adjustment.	
BB	2609 - Replacement of Bay Bridge North Ferry Slip (Engineering only)	0	239	Cash flow adjustment.	
KH	1116 - Kennedy Highway I-95 Improvements with Express Toll Lanes	0	235	Cash flow adjustment.	
KB	2657 - Key Bridge Staging Dock (Engineering Only)	0	216	Cash flow adjustment.	
KB	0199 - Maintenance and Repairs of the I-695 Curtis Creek Drawbridges	0	186	Cash flow adjustment.	
KB	2652 - Rehabilitation of Curtis Creek Bascule Piers (Engineering only)	0	179	Cash flow adjustment.	
FT	2592 - Miscellaneous Structural Repairs Inside Fort McHenry Tunnel (Engineering only)	0	151	Cash flow adjustment.	
HT	2529 - Rehabilitate Lighting System Inside Baltimore Harbor Tunnel (Engineering only)	0	142	Cash flow adjustment.	
HT	2527 - Replace Bridges on I-895 over I-695 (Engineering only)	0	101	Cash flow adjustment.	
MA	2594 - Mill and Overlay Fort McHenry Tunnel and Baltimore Harbor Tunnel Bridges (Engineering only)	0	100	Cash flow adjustment.	
KB	2438 - MDTA Police Headquarters Building Envelope Renovations	0		Cash flow adjustment.	
	Active Projects Modified Due to Cost Changes and Cash Flow Adjustments - continued on Page 4				

Changes from Draft to Final FY 2025-2030 CTP

	Active Projects Modified Due to Cost Changes and Cash Flow Adjustments (\$000) - continued									
Facility	Project Name	TEC Change	FY 2025-2030 Budget Change	Notes						
KH	2570 - I-95 Kennedy Highway Wash Bay, Salt Barn and Fueling Facilities at Perryville (Engineering only)	0		Cash flow adjustment.						
FT	2580 - Fort McHenry Tunnel Box Girder Preservation	0	70	Cash flow adjustment.						
KH	2631 - Maryland House Water Tower Emergency Pipe Replacement	0	59	Cash flow adjustment.						
ВВ	2329 - Replace 5KV Feeder and Add Redundant Cable to Eastbound & Westbound Bay Bridge Spans	0	53	Cash flow adjustment.						
FT	2499 - MDTA Police Vehicle Storage Garage and Auto Repair Shop	0	51	Cash flow adjustment.						
MA	2590 - Replace Electronic Toll Collection and Operating System - 4th Generation (Engineering only)	0	31	Cash flow adjustment.						
BB	2656 - Bay Bridge Protection, Suspension Span Anchorage Concrete and Navigation Lighting Rehabilitation (Engineering Only)	0	29	Cash flow adjustment.						
FT	2565 - Fort McHenry Tunnel East Vent Building Facade and Roof Replacement (Engineering only)	0	25	Cash flow adjustment.						
KH	2569 - I-95 Kennedy Highway Maryland State Police Building Remodeling (Engineering only)	0	25	Cash flow adjustment.						
НТ	2591 - Rehabilitate Upper Plenum Liner and Ceiling (Engineering only)	0	22	Cash flow adjustment.						
MA	2583 - Generator Replacement at Various Facilities	0	15	Cash flow adjustment.						
KB	2638 - Rehabilitation of Bearings & Misc. Repairs - I-695 Bridges over Bear Creek (Engineering only)	0	14	Cash flow adjustment.						
KB	2643 - Maintenance and Repair of Curtis Creek Draw Bridges	0	8	Cash flow adjustment.						
FT	2442 - Port Covington Access I-95	0	5	Cash flow adjustment.						
MA	2497 - Radio Rebroadcast and Radiax in Baltimore Harbor Tunnel & Fort McHenry Tunnel	0	(3)	Cash flow adjustment.						
MA	2589 - License Plate Recognition (LPR) System Upgrade	0	(6)	Cash flow adjustment.						
FT	0217 - Fort McHenry Tunnel Facility-wide Zone Paint Program	0	(11)	Cash flow adjustment.						
KB	2619 - Rehabilitation of Curtis Creek Drawbridges Superstructure (Engineering only)	0	(19)	Cash flow adjustment.						
FT	2458 - Rehabilitate Tunnel 13 KV Cable, Conduit, and Concrete Wall	0	(25)	Cash flow adjustment.						
BB	2470 - Project Management Office and Maintenance Equipment Storage Building	0	(90)	Cash flow adjustment.						
HT	2651 - Replace I-895 Bridge over Lombard Avenue (Engineering only)	0	(103)	Cash flow adjustment.						
BB	2586 - Tier 2 NEPA Study (Planning only)	0	(263)	Cash flow adjustment.						
MA	2549 - On-Call Miscellaneous Paving Repair	0	(293)	Cash flow adjustment.						
KB	2655 - Replace the Francis Scott Key Bridge	0	(391)	Cash flow adjustment.						
FT	2513 - Structural Rehabilitation of Various Bridges on I-95	0	(456)	Cash flow adjustment.						
KH	Various - I-95 ExpressToll Lanes (ETL) Northbound Extension (NBE)	0	(1,937)	Cash flow adjustment.						
HT	2306 - Envelope Repair and Switchgear Replacements at Baltimore Harbor Tunnel Vent Buildings	0	(6,458)	Cash flow adjustment.						
НВ	2512 - Cleaning and Painting of the Hatem Bridge	0	(7,911)	Cash flow adjustment.						
	Total - Active Projects Modified Due to Cost Changes and Cash Flow Adjustments (85)	6,211	51,498							


Changes from Draft to Final FY 2025-2030 CTP

Reserves (\$000)		
	FY 2025-2030	
	Budget Change	
Allocated Reserve - System Preservation Projects	9,648	
Allocated Reserve - Enhancement Projects	(111,119)	
Unallocated Reserve	0	
Total Reserve Changes	(101,471)	

Changes from Draft to Final FY 2025-2030 CTP								
	FY 2025-2030							
	Budget Change							
Budget Changes - Projects	195,407							
Budget Changes - Reserves	(101,471)							
Net Changes	93,936							


FY 2025-2030 Final Consolidated Transportation Program Where are the Projects?

FY 2025-2030 CTP = \$5.1 Billion



FY 2025-2030 Draft Consolidated Transportation Program What are the Categories of Projects?

FY 2025-2030 CTP = \$5.1 Billion



ITEM

6



Wes Moore, Governor

Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor Paul J. Wiedefeld, Chairman

Board Members:

Dontae Carroll Cynthia D. Penny-Ardinger William H. Cox, Jr. Jeffrey S. Rosen

W. Lee Gaines, Jr. Samuel D. Snead, MCP, MA

Mario J. Gangemi, P.E. John F. von Paris

Bruce Gartner. Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance and Administration Committee **FROM:** Director of Finance Chantelle Green

SUBJECT: Unofficial Fiscal Year 2024-2030 Financial Forecast

DATE: November 7, 2024

PURPOSE OF MEMORANDUM

To update the Finance & Administration Committee on the financial affordability of the Preliminary fiscal year (FY) 2026 Operating Budget and the Final 2025-2030 Consolidated Transportation Plan (CTP).

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Notwithstanding the estimated reduction in toll revenue throughout the forecast period, the Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA) remains in compliance with its financial goals and legal standards through FY 2027.
- Despite the loss of the Francis Scott Key (FSK) Bridge:
 - The MDTA has sufficient cashflow and capital reserves in FY 2025 to support additional expenses associated with the FSK Bridge Replacement without going to the bond market.
 - It is estimated that the MDTA will recapture 44 percent of the passenger and commercial vehicle traffic from the FSK Bridge at the two other Harbor Crossings.
- Based on the current forecast estimates, beginning in FY 2028, a systemwide toll increase
 will be necessary to maintain 2.0 times debt service coverage throughout the remainder of
 the FY 2025-2030 forecast period. Tolls were last increased in FY 2014 followed by a
 reduction of tolls in FY 2016.

SUMMARY

This forecast includes the Fall 2024 Traffic and Revenue (T&R) Forecast, the Final FY 2025 - 2030 Consolidated Transportation Program (CTP), and the Preliminary FY 2026 Operating Budget. The summary table below shows the MDTA's adherence to its financial goals and requirements throughout the forecast period. See attachment.

Financial Metrics	Requirement	Current Forecast Period (FY 2024 – 2030)
Rate Covenant	≥ 1.0	Minimal level of 1.4 in FY 2030
Debt Service Coverage ≥ 2.0		Requirement met through FY 2027; Minimal
		level of 1.4 in FY 2030
Unencumbered Cash	> \$400M	Requirement met throughout the forecast
	≥ \$400M	period
Debt Outstanding	<u><</u> \$4.0B	Max Level of \$3.77B in FY 2030

ANALYSIS

The primary differences between the current 6-year forecast and June 2024 FSK Bridge Collapse T&R Update are:

- Decreased revenue: Toll revenue declines by \$45.7 million throughout the FY 2024 –
 2030 forecast period mostly due to a reduction in the MDTA's assumed Notices-of-TollDue collection rate and the re-benchmarking of traffic across all MDTA facilities to align
 with prior year actuals. These reductions are partially offset by revised constructionrelated diversion impacts resulting from the FSK Bridge Collapse and a modest increase
 in the assumed civil penalty collection rate.
- Reduced operating budget expenses: Operating budget expenses decline by \$64.8 million throughout the forecast period. The decrease is mostly adjustments associated with collective bargaining police patrol vehicles and slightly lower than anticipated FY 2026 operating budget expenses, both of which are compounded throughout the rest of the forecast period. These reductions are partially offset primarily by increases in personnel costs (e.g., step increases), engineering costs, E-ZPass® Service Center costs, and tunnel and property insurance costs.
- *Increased capital budget expenses*: Capital budget expenses increase by approximately \$93.9 million compared to the Draft FY 2025-2030 CTP. The net increase includes the rollover (cashflow shift) of \$27 million of unexpended funds from FY 2024 into subsequent fiscal years, 11 new projects, and summative minor budget increases in numerous other projects.
- Increased debt issuances and debt service: Over the forecast period, revenue bond issuances and projected debt service increase by \$275.1 million and \$46.9 million, respectively. The increase in financing needs and debt service costs are the result of lower toll revenues, a modest increase in anticipated capital budget needs, and adjustments to the external funding sources associated with the Key Bridge Rebuild that reduce the amount of projected net revenue available for PAYGO (cash) capital spending.

• *Insurance Funds:* The MDTA anticipates using insurance proceeds to fund \$25 million in federally eligible debris and salvage costs. The remaining \$325 million will be used to fund federally eligible expenses after the initial \$60M quick release funds are exhausted.

Insurance Proceeds		
Policy Limit		350
Retained by MDTA (to be Remitted to FHWA)		
Debris & Salvage Expenses		25
Federally Eligible Project Costs		325
Remaining	\$	

• Federal Funds: The MDTA anticipates receiving federal funds after the insurance proceeds are exhausted. Beginning in FY 2027, it is assumed that the MDTA will be reimbursed by the federal government for eligible project costs based on a one-year lag through FY 2031. The State is continuing to engage in ongoing discussions with the federal government about the feasibility of obtaining full federal funding for eligible project costs. If Congress acts to allow for 100 percent funding, MDTA's assumptions will be adjusted during the next forecast update. Ineligible project expenses will also be incorporated into future forecasts as these expenses are known.

	Funding Source								
Fiscal Year	Capital Budget			Insurance		ederal Funds 90% Share of Cost)	MDTA Reserves/ Bonds (10% Share of Cost)	Year of Federal Reimbursement	
2024	\$	1	\$	1	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	
2025		205		205					
2026		377		119		232	26		
2027		462				416	46	232	
2028		401				361	40	416	
2029		244				219	24	361	
2030		13				12	1	219	
2031								 12	
Total	\$	1,702	\$	325	\$	1,240	\$ 137	\$ 1,240	

• *Toll increase*: Based on the current forecast estimates, beginning in FY 2028, a systemwide toll increase will be necessary to maintain 2.0 times debt service coverage throughout the remainder of the FY 2025-2030 forecast period.

Unofficial Fiscal Year 2025-2030 Financial Forecast Page Four

Assumptions

- Traffic and Toll Revenue Forecast: CDM Smith Fall 2024 Update Report
- Final FY 2025 2030 CTP
- FY 2026 Preliminary Operating Budget (inflated by 4% with adjustments for police patrol vehicle purchases)

Evaluation Criteria

Adherence to MDTA goals and policies:

- >\$400 million unrestricted cash
- \geq 2.0 debt service coverage
- Rate covenant ratio ≥1.0 sum of 120% debt service plus deposits to M&O account
- Debt outstanding \le \\$4 billion
- Forecast tests the need for potential future toll increases. (Systemwide toll increases are needed beginning in FY 2028)

ATTACHMENT

• Financial Forecast

MARYLAND TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CASH FLOW FORECAST FY 2024 - 2030

In Millions \$	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030
Revenues							
Toll Revenues	\$783.0	\$748.4	\$757.5	\$766.1	\$782.0	\$808.9	824.8
Concessions Revenue	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Investment Income & Other Revenue	18.3	10.6	8.3	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
MDOT Loan Repayment - Interest	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
BWI/Port Police Reimbursement	40.0	40.8	40.9	42.6	44.3	46.0	47.9
Total Revenues	\$846.1	\$804.5	\$811.5	\$820.2	\$837.8	\$866.4	\$884.1
Operating Expenses							
Operating Account Budget	454.2	434.1	450.0	460.4	478.8	497.9	517.9
Debt Service	142.9	144.5	144.5	175.7	217.8	239.7	255.66
Total Operating Expenses	\$597.1	\$578.5	\$594.4	\$636.1	\$696.6	\$737.7	\$773.5
Operating Revenue Net of Expenses	\$248.9	\$226.0	\$217.0	\$184.1	\$141.2	\$128.7	\$110.6
·							
Capital Expenses							
2025-2030 Total CTP	407.4	765.5	1,058.9	1,143.6	989.2	761.3	430.3
Total Expenses (Operating + Capital)	\$1,004.6	\$1,344.1	\$1,653.3	\$1,779.7	\$1,685.8	\$1,498.9	\$1,203.9
Capital Funding Source / (Uses) and Intergovernmental							
Revenue Bonds	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$543.2	\$729.6	\$381.4	\$270.8	\$99.0
Surety Policy	(1.2)	-	(0.9)	(1.3)	(0.7)	(0.5)	(0.2)
MDOT Loan Repayment - Principal	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6
VDOT Contribution	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
I-895 Federal Grant	-	-	5.0	25.0	50.0	-	-
I-95 Interchange Partner Contribution	15.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Key Bridge Property Insurance	-	350.0	-	-	-	-	-
Key Bridge Federal Grant Total Current Year Sources (Uses) Available	- 17.7	60.0 411.5	- 548.8	204.6 959.5	415.7 848.0	360.6 632.5	219.3 319.7
Total Current Year Sources (Uses) Available	17.7	411.5	546.6	959.5	040.0	032.5	319.7
Annual Cash Requirements	986.9	932.6	1,104.5	820.2	837.8	866.4	884.1
Annual Cash Surplus/Deficit	(\$140.8)	(\$128.0)	(\$293.0)	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Total Cash Balance	\$854.1	\$726.1	\$433.1	\$433.1	\$433.1	\$433.1	\$433.1
Bonds Outstanding	\$2,126.3	\$2,072.2	\$2,571.6	\$3,259.5	\$3,577.5	\$3,765.3	\$3,765.9
Financial Coverage Ratios							
Unencumbered Cash (\$400M minimum)	\$821.1	\$693.0	\$400.0	\$400.0	\$400.0	\$400.0	\$400.0
Debt Service Coverage (>2.0x)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.4
Rate Covenant Compliance (Legal - 1.0x)	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.1

ITEM

7



Wes Moore, Governor

Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor Paul J. Wiedefeld, Chairman

Board Members:

Dontae Carroll Cynthia D. Penny-Ardinger

William H. Cox, Jr. Jeffrey S. Rosen W. Lee Gaines, Jr. Samuel D. Snead, MCP, MA

Mario J. Gangemi, P.E. John F. von Paris

Bruce Gartner. Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance and Administration Committee
FROM: Director of Finance Chantelle Green

SUBJECT: Bi-annual Review of Revenue Sufficiency

DATE: November 7, 2024

PURPOSE OF MEMORANDUM

To provide a bi-annual review of revenue sufficiency for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-2030 financial forecast period.

SUMMARY

The MDTA Board Operating Policy requires a bi-annual review of revenue sufficiency to determine if current rate and fee levels are appropriate based on levels of expected spending. The most recent financial forecast shows that current toll rates, fees, and discounts provide enough revenue through FY 2027 to meet forecasted spending and meet all legal and policy requirements.

ANALYSIS

The Board Operating Policy requires that the Executive Director or designee perform a bi-annual review of the adequacy of forecasted revenue as a function of forecasted traffic volumes, projected operating and capital budgets, and debt service obligations. Per the policy, the revenue review should include toll rates, service and administrative fees, and frequency of use and commuter discount programs. The results must be reported to the Board at a public meeting. This bi-annual test was last completed in June 2024.

The Unofficial November 2024 financial forecast update shows that the MDTA will meet all financial goals and legal requirements through FY 2027. The table below shows the results for the FY 2024-2030 timeframe.

Adherence to Financial Goals and Requirements

		FY						
	Required	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Rate Covenant	≥ 1.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.1
Debt Service Coverage	≥ 2.0	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.4
Unencumbered Cash	≥ \$400M	\$822M	\$693M	\$400M	\$400M	\$400M	\$400M	\$400M

Source: Unofficial November 2024 Financial Forecast Update

The MDTA's current toll rates (that have not been adjusted since 2015), fees, and discounts provide enough revenue through FY 2027 to meet forecasted spending as well as all legal and policy requirements.